Exhibit I

EXHIBIT 2

		Page 1
1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	IN RE: UBER)Case No.	
	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)3:23-md-03084-	
6	PASSENGER SEXUAL)CRB(LJC)	
	ASSAULT LITIGATION)	
7)	
	This Document Relates)	
8	to:	
	ALL ACTIONS)	
9)	
10		
11		
12	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
13	LACEY KELLER	
14	Denver, Colorado	
15	Monday, October 27, 2025	
16		
17		
18		
19	Reported By:	
20	CATHI IRISH, RPR, CRR, CLVS	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Job No. CS7684484	

Page 2 October 27, 2025 11:32 a.m. Remote videotaped deposition of LACEY KELLER, with all participants appearing via videoconference, before Cathi Irish, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public of the State of New York.

		Page 3
1		
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
4	CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the	
6	Witness	
7	615 Iron City Drive	
8	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15205	
9	BY: BETH WILKINS, ESQ.	
10	MICHAEL SWEET, ESQ.	
11		
12	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
13	Attorneys for Defendant	
14	1301 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	
15	Washington, D.C. 20004	
16	BY: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ.	
17	KATIE O'NEILL, ESQ.	
18		
19	WILLIAMS HART & BOUNDAS	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21	8441 Gulf Freeway	
22	Suite 600	
23	Houston, Texas 77017	
24	BY: MYLES SHAW, ESQ.	
25	STASJA DRECUN, ESQ.	

		Page 4
1		
2	APPEARANCES: (continued)	
3		
4	PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE CONWAY	
5	& WISE LLP	
6	Attorneys for MDL Plaintiffs	
7	1519 Robert C. Blakes Sr. Drive	
8	New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
9	BY: CRISTINE FARAH, ESQ.	
10	SARA CRAIG, ESQ.	
11	TIFFANY ELLIS, ESQ.	
12		
13	HAUSFELD LLP	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	1 Marina Park Drive	
16	Suite 1410	
17	Boston, Massachusetts 02210	
18	BY: STEVEN ROTMAN, ESQ.	
19		
20	ALSO PRESENT:	
21	LEE BOWRY, videographer	
22	BILL CRADDOCK, concierge	
23		
24		
25		
	I	

Page 5 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. 2 3 We are going on the record at 11:32 a.m. Eastern time on October 27, 4 2025. 5 Please note that this deposition 6 7 is being conducted remotely using 8 virtual technology. Quality of 9 recording depends on the quality of camera and Internet connection of 10 participants. What is seen from the 11 12 witness and heard on screen is what will be recorded. Audio and video 13 recording will continuing to take 14 place unless all parties agree to go 15 16 off the record. This is media unit 1 of the video 17 18 recorded deposition of Lacey Keller taken by counsel for defendants in the 19 20 matter of In Re Uber Technologies 21 Passenger Sexual Assault litigation, filed in the United States District 22 23 Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, 24 25 case number 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC).

		Page 6
1		
2	My name is Lee Bowry representing	
3	Veritext Corporate Services and I am	
4	the videographer. The court reporter	
5	is Cathi Irish and the concierge is	
6	Bill Craddock, also both with	
7	Veritext.	
8	I am not related to any party in	
9	this action, nor am I financially	
10	interested in the outcome. If there	
11	are any objections to proceeding,	
12	please state them at this time.	
13	Hearing none, counsel attending	
14	remotely will be noted on the	
15	stenographic record. Will the court	
16	reporter please swear in the witness	
17	and then counsel may proceed.	
18	LACEY KELLER, called as a	
19	witness, having been duly sworn by a	
20	Notary Public, was examined and	
21	testified as follows:	
22	EXAMINATION	
23	BY MS. LEVY:	
24	Q. Good morning, Ms. Keller. I am	
25	Jenny Levy. I'm an attorney for Uber. I	

Page 7 1 KELLER work with Kirkland & Ellis. I am 2 3 currently in Washington, D.C. It is nice to see you today. 4 5 Α. Same, it's been several years. You and I have met in other 6 Ο. litigation; correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. But it has been a number of 10 years. And it is nice to see you again 11 this morning. You understand that you are 12 here testifying under oath today? Α. I do. 13 14 And I know that you've been 15 deposed multiple times in the past. We've 16 done that together in the past so I know 17 that you understand generally how 18 depositions work, but I will ask you when 19 was the last time you had your deposition 20 taken? 21 It was a few months ago. Α. 22 date's in my report in my qualifications, 23 the specific date but it's been a few 24 months. 25 Q. There is -- is there anything

Page 8 1 KELLER 2 that is preventing you from giving true 3 and accurate testimony today? Α. Nothing is preventing me. 4 Can we agree that if you do not Ο. understand a question I ask, you will ask 6 me to clarify the question? 8 Α. Yes, of course. 9 And if you do answer a question, Q. I can assume that you understood the 10 question or are not able to answer it? 11 12 Α. That makes sense. 13 Okay. At any point in time if 14 you would like to take a break, we are --15 I'm entitled to seven hours on the record but you are the boss here. So if you need 16 17 to take a break, I ask you to let's finish 18 my pending question and you give your 19 answer, but then if you need a break, I 20 certainly would be happy to do that at any 21 It is my practice to try to take 22 breaks about every hour, but if you need 23 to get food or to check your e-mail or run to the restroom, let me know and we can 24 25 take a break at your leisure.

		Page 9
1	KELLER	
2	MS. LEVY: I'd like to go ahead	
3	and mark your expert report in this	
4	case as Exhibit 1. And I think Bill	
5	can pull that up.	
6	(Exhibit 1, expert report, marked	
7	for identification.)	
8	BY MS. LEVY:	
9	Q. Ms. Keller, where are you sitting	
10	today?	
11	A. I'm in Denver, Colorado.	
12	Q. And where in Denver?	
13	A. In Wagstaff Law Firm. I don't	
14	know exactly the address.	
15	Q. And who is with you today?	
16	A. Beth Wilkins.	
17	Q. Is anybody else with the two of	
18	you in the room where you are?	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. Do you have any documents or	
21	exhibits that you brought with you today?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. What did you bring with you to	
24	the deposition?	
25	A. I brought a complete copy of my	

Page 10 1 KELLER 2 report, as well as the appendices that 3 could be printed out. The ones that were produced in S3 I did not print out just 4 5 because they were voluminous. And then I brought a few of the materials from my --6 printed out versions of my materials 8 considered, specifically the interrogatory 9 responses, the Bliss/Jira field list and 10 my code as to the best it could be printed 11 out. 12 And do you have notes and Q. 13 personal markings on the copies of the 14 exhibits that you brought with you today? 15 The only thing I've done is add 16 tabs just for easier access to my report 17 but no notes. 18 Q. There are no notes or markings, 19 if we were to Xerox the exhibits you have 20 with you they would be the same as the 21 ones we have in our possession minus your 22 sticky tabs? 23 Α. Precisely. 24 MS. LEVY: Thank you. Bill, the 25 exhibit is showing on the -- I can't

Page 11 1 KELLER see the witness when the exhibit is 2 3 Is that how it's supposed to be? up. THE CONCIERGE: You may be able 4 to adjust your view in your screen. 5 6 MS. LEVY: Let me try to pin 7 Lacey. 8 BY MS. LEVY: 9 So we've marked as Exhibit 1 to 10 your deposition your expert report in this 11 case, page 55, or actually more 12 accurately, yes, 55 contains an electronic 13 signature. That's your signature; correct? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 Is Exhibit 1 a true and accurate 17 and up-to-date copy of your expert report in this case? 18 19 Α. This appears to be the main 20 report. Of course there's other 21 appendices but this is a true and accurate 22 copy of the main report. I also have copies with me of the 23 24 Uber safety reports. I just wanted to 25 make sure that that was also part of my

Page 12 1 KELLER answer of documents that I have in front 2 3 of me. Do you have any notes or markings 4 or highlighting on the Uber safety reports --6 Α. No. 8 -- that you have with you? Okay. 9 Is Exhibit 1 dated September 26, 2025 the 10 most accurate and complete version of your 11 expert report? 12 Yes, this is the most up-to-date Α. 13 copy of my report. 14 As you sit here today, have you 15 identified any errors in Exhibit 1? 16 Not that I'm aware of, no. And there's nothing as you sit 17 Q. 18 here today that you've identified that you need to amend or correct in your expert 19 20 report, Exhibit 1? 21 Not that I'm aware of, no. Α. 22 Are the opinions that you plan to 23 give at trial in this matter contained in 24 your expert report? 25 Α. Trial is some time away. I do

Page 13 1 KELLER 2 know that there's been a production of 3 Flack data and we are awaiting a deposition so I reserve my right to issue 4 some opinions based off of that data. Have you prepared additional 6 Ο. opinions already that are not included in 8 this expert report? 9 That data has just recently been Α. 10 produced so I have not had time to review it fully so I do not have opinions 11 12 formally at this time but I do --13 So as you sit here today, all of 0. 14 the opinions that you currently intend to 15 offer subject to that reservation of right 16 are contained in Exhibit 1? 17 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 18 THE WITNESS: Everything that I 19 have an opinion on as far as today is 20 included in Exhibit 1 as well as the 21 appendices that go with Exhibit 1. 22 BY MS. LEVY: 23 Ο. Thank you. Let's turn to Exhibit 24 C, or Appendix C to Exhibit 1. And can 25 you tell us what Appendix C is?

Page	2 14
KELLER	
A. I think it's a new exhibit.	
MS. LEVY: I'm sorry, Bill, we've	
marked that as tab 2.	
THE WITNESS: Do we have toe	
refresh each time? There it goes.	
(Exhibit 2, Appendix C, marked	
for identification.)	
BY MS. LEVY:	
Q. Do you have Exhibit 2 in front of	
you?	
A. Yes, but I forgot the question.	
I'm sorry.	
Q. What is Exhibit 2?	
A. This is a copy of my	
qualifications and remuneration.	
Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 2	
yourself?	
A. Yes.	
Q. Is Exhibit 2 accurate and up to	
date?	
A. Yes.	
Q. Is it a complete history of your	
education and your work experience to	
date?	
	A. I think it's a new exhibit. MS. LEVY: I'm sorry, Bill, we've marked that as tab 2. THE WITNESS: Do we have toe refresh each time? There it goes. (Exhibit 2, Appendix C, marked for identification.) BY MS. LEVY: Q. Do you have Exhibit 2 in front of you? A. Yes, but I forgot the question. I'm sorry. Q. What is Exhibit 2? A. This is a copy of my qualifications and remuneration. Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 2 yourself? A. Yes. Q. Is Exhibit 2 accurate and up to date? A. Yes. Q. Is it a complete history of your education and your work experience to

Page 15 1 **KELLER** Yes, it is. 2 Α. 3 Ο. Is there anything missing from your qualifications and experience that 4 5 you're aware of as you sit here today? Nothing. This is accurate and 6 Α. complete. 8 Let's start by just turning to 9 page 11. Is this your CV? It's a résumé, not a CV. CVs are 10 Α. typically longer but this is my résumé. 11 12 If you combine the document, your Q. 13 résumé and the rest of Exhibit C, would you refer to that as your CV? 14 15 That's a fair representation. 16 You don't have something Ο. different that you use as your CV than 17 what we see here in this Exhibit 2? 18 19 Α. You broke up. I think you asked 20 if I have anything different than this, 21 this is what I use, this document and this qualification, this résumé and then the 22 23 qualifications document. 24 Okay. Starting with your 25 education, you got your BA in economics

	Page 16
1	KELLER
2	from Washburn University in 2008; is that
3	correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. And Washburn University is in
6	Topeka, Kansas?
7	
	J
8	Q. Are you from Kansas?
9	A. I am.
10	Q. I think I knew that. And after
11	you graduated from Washburn, what did you
12	do, if anything, between Washburn
13	University and going to The New School in
14	New York?
15	A. I went straight to graduate
16	school so graduated in May and then
17	started immediately in the fall at The New
18	School.
19	Q. And how long was the master of
20	arts in economics at The New School, the
21	program you participated in?
22	A. I completed my master's there.
23	It was a two-year program and I finished
24	in two years.
25	Q. And The New School is in

Page 17 1 KELLER 2 New York City; correct? 3 Α. That's right. And did you attend the campus in 4 New York City? 5 6 Α. Yeah, campus is a generous word. It's like many office buildings separated 8 from one another. 9 There's been a recent like really 10 fancy update to The New School, air quote, 11 campus or main building in New York City; 12 is that correct? It's been some time since I've 13 Α. 14 been there but yeah, that main student 15 center was undergoing renovations when I 16 was there. The New School is now called The 17 18 New School but at the time you went there it was called The New School for Social 19 20 Research; is that correct? 21 It's a division. That's how The Α. 22 New School defines like the college of X, 23 Y and Z, so under The New School is The 24 New School for Social Research, so that's like a division within The New School. 25

Page 18 1 KELLER Just like Parsons, The New School is the 2 3 fashion area that everybody knows from that television show that was big in 2010. 4 Ο. Project Runway? 5 Yes, that's it, Project Runway. 6 7 Just so the record is clear I Ο. 8 think Project Runway is still big. Is the 9 economics program of The New School -- is economics under NSSR? 10 11 Α. Exactly. So economics is under 12 NSSR, alongside NSSR. NSSR is like 13 philosophy, political science, economics. Those were kind of the three main areas 14 15 that were on our floor. 16 When I was doing research on The 17 New School, my Internet searches indicated 18 that NSSR is widely recognized as a center for heterodox left wing thought. Does 19 20 that sound familiar to you, have you heard The New School described like that before? 21 22 MS. WILKINS: Form. 23 THE WITNESS: There's different divisions within The New School and 24 25 some are more left wing than others I

Page 19 1 KELLER 2 would say, but I went to that program because it took -- it allowed me to 3 take a critical view of all economic 4 theories that I was learning, not just 5 orthodox theories which would be like 6 7 the neoclassical approaches to 8 economics, what's known as the 9 rational man theory but also other 10 approaches to economics that from Keynesian economics to -- to economic 11 12 theory from including Keynesian or 13 Marxist economics and many others. So that's the reason I went to that 14 school was because of its critical 15 16 approach to all forms. BY MS. LEVY: 17 18 Q. What does heterodox left wing thought mean, what does that term mean? 19 I don't know. That's The New 20 Α. 21 School's term. 22 Okay. I read on the Internet Ο. 23 that The New School has a long history of challenging mainstream intellectual and 24 25 economic orthodoxies and providing a home

		Page 20
1	KELLER	
2	for left wing scholars. Is that true	
3	based on your own experience?	
4	MS. WILKINS: Objection to form.	
5	THE WITNESS: That might be true	
6	_	
	for other programs, maybe in the	
7	political science program, but I was	
8	in the masters program for economics	
9	and so most of my peers were working	
10	at institutions like The World Bank	
11	and other pretty established entities.	
12	I don't know that I would describe the	
13	vast majority of them as left wing. I	
14	think a lot of them were just there	
15	because of the rigor and the critical	
16	approaches to all economic theories.	
17	BY MS. LEVY:	
18	Q. Do you agree that the school has	
19	a long history of challenging mainstream	
20	intellectual and economic orthodoxies; is	
21	that true based on your experience?	
22	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
24	Again there's a lot of divisions	
25	there. Some of the professors may	

	Page 21	
1	KELLER	
2	hold themselves out to be more radical	
3	than others. I mean I went there	
4	because of the rigor of the program.	
5	I'm also a kid from Kansas so the	
6	Washburn University is an excellent	
7	institution and as pretty straitlaced	
8	as you get, so in my experience, I	
9	learned how to think critically and	
10	evaluate all theories. Beyond that I	
11	can't really speak to that.	
12	BY MS. LEVY:	
13	Q. Is The New School accredited?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Has it had problems with its	
16	accreditation status that you're aware of?	
17	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I	
19	haven't kept up on that. It hasn't	
20	come up.	
21	BY MS. LEVY:	
22	Q. Are you aware that The New	
23	School's current status is a noncompliance	
24	warning status?	
25	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	

	Page 22
1	KELLER
2	THE WITNESS: I was not aware of
3	that.
4	BY MS. LEVY:
5	Q. Did you get a communication
6	indicating that there was an accreditation
7	warning status for The New School?
8	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
9	THE WITNESS: No.
10	BY MS. LEVY:
11	Q. Okay. Turning to your
12	professional experience, when you
13	graduated from The New School, you went
14	immediately to work at the SEIU; is that
15	correct?
16	A. That's correct. I was I
17	immediately started there as an intern and
18	then worked through several positions,
19	ultimately being a lead researcher at that
20	institution.
21	Q. And you were there for about
22	three and a half years, from June of 2010
23	to October 2013?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Here on your résumé, you list

Page 23 1 KELLER 2 some of the areas that you worked on. 3 this a comprehensive list of all of the things you worked on or just a sample? 4 5 I would say it's maybe the most Α. notable things that I worked on while at 6 the union, such as the two reports that I 8 published while working there and some of 9 the work that I did at the Walter Reed 10 Medical Center, but it's by no means every 11 single thing that I did over the three and 12 a half years. The second bullet in this section 13 Ο. 14 of your résumé indicates that you 15 developed and executed strategic corporate 16 campaigns by identifying appropriate 17 tactics, relevant research, and necessary 18 resources. 19 What does it mean when you say 20 you developed and executed strategic 21 corporate campaigns, what is that? 22 Kind of a term of art within the 23 industry. So many times my primary role in that job was to deeply research the 24

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

history of a company that we were in

25

Page 24 1 KELLER bargaining for so that we would understand 2 3 its financial position. So many of these real estate companies would have LLC 4 5 corporations that owned each building so the main company would have several 6 subsidiaries, and so I'd have to trace all 8 of those subsidiary companies back to the 9 main company as well as review their 10-Ks, their DEF-14s for the -- DEF-14s 10 11 for the executive compensation, review 12 news history as well as any other public 13 filings that I could find about the 14 company to just identify what our 15 strategic position was. Working in that 16 real estate industry in 2010 following the 17 economic crisis was a particularly 18 difficult time for bargaining, and so understanding the true financial situation 19 20 of our counterparts to see where they 21 would be able to -- where they would be 22 able to negotiate or maybe they wouldn't, 23 maybe they were hemorrhaging cash and 24 didn't have and we'd have to work together 25 to mitigate costs.

Page 25

KELLER

- Q. Work you were doing that you just described was to help the union be able to better bargain for its union members; fair?
- A. I would say having more informed bargaining position. Whether it was better or worse it just helped them know as much as they could know.
- Q. You mentioned in the next bullet that you authored and managed the release of two papers about the conditions of the New York City public school facilities.

 What was that about? Just briefly. I don't need a lot of detail but why was the union interested in condition of school facilities?
- A. During that time, it was the era of Michael Bloomberg who said that he would not negotiate with any union and no union would get a contract. And so it was my directive from the union to identify issues that students and parents would care about alongside the workers. And so the first report that I authored was about

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 26 1 KELLER 2 the state of the physical plant of the 3 schools, so did they have appropriate electrical backgrounds, did they have --4 or electrical infrastructure. Was the 5 walls like in good physical condition, 6 were there leaks? The New York City 8 School Construction Authority publishes a 9 number of different data points that I 10 pulled down and analyzed and so I could put together a little report that 11 identified which schools had the lowest 12 scores and highest scores, that sort of 13 14 thing, and did a comparative analysis. 15 My second report then looked at 16 the racial makeup of the school building that had better or worse conditions based 17 off of those scores and identified 18 19 patterns that I saw city wide. 20 I notice that you mention in this Q. 21 bullet about those reports that the second 22 report was widely covered by the local 23 news. 24 Was that -- did you make an 25 effort to get your report covered by the

Page 27 1 KELLER local news? 2 The union has a communications 3 Α. department. I'm not sure what their 4 5 approach is. I was 20 something at the time so it was the first report that I had 6 written but I do recall testifying at the 8 City Council as a result of my report. 9 Did you send your report to the local news? 10 11 Α. Not me personally, no. 12 Okay. When you talk about Q. 13 appropriate tactics in the -- in 14 developing appropriate tactics for 15 strategic corporate campaigns, is the work 16 you just described like an example of what 17 you mean by that? 18 Α. Yeah, I think that when people think about labor unions, they think about 19 20 that ugly rat that they put out in front 21 of buildings when there's a dispute. And my job was to try to avoid using that rat 22 23 at any point in time, to use something 24 that was more compelling to the general 25 public, and so those reports are an

Page 28 1 KELLER 2 example of that. So -- and another 3 example was identifying potential fraud at the National Naval Medical Center and I 4 5 had done that through open source intelligence research. 6 7 Did any of your work at the SEIU 8 involve sexual assault or sexual 9 misconduct? 10 Α. My role is primarily in the 11 corporate side of things so working on 12 reviewing the corporate documents and data 13 around those -- the companies that we were working with. 14 15 You don't recall doing any work 16 on the issue of sexual assault or sexual 17 misconduct while you were at the SEIU? 18 Α. Not as a specific -- not as a 19 specific issue area or research area. 20 Again, most of my work was focused on the 21 financials and background of the company. Did you as -- you're caveating 22 23 Did you do any work at all, even if 24 it wasn't a specific research area on sexual assault or sexual misconduct while 25

	Page 29
1	KELLER
2	you were at SEIU?
3	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
4	THE WITNESS: I'm trying not to
5	caveat, I'm just clarifying that the
6	work that I did was predominantly in
7	those issue areas. I'm not saying
8	completely because there's three and a
9	half years of work history but as far
10	as that issue specifically, none of
11	our corporate research that I have
12	identified or that I am remembering
13	called upon that specifically. Is it
14	possible that one executive was
15	accused of malfeasance somewhere and
16	it was in a report? Possibly but I
17	don't I don't recall.
18	BY MS. LEVY:
19	Q. You actually anticipated my next
20	question. Did SEIU have a sexual
21	misconduct problem to your knowledge while
22	you were there?
23	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
24	THE WITNESS: I wasn't aware of
25	one. There wasn't anything that I'm

	Page 30	
1	KELLER	
2	aware of, no.	
3	MS. LEVY: Can we mark tab 39?	
4	THE WITNESS: Will we know when	
5	it's ready or do I have to refresh?	
6	MS. LEVY: I think it will come	
7	up on your screen. Do you see it now	
8	because I can see it on mine.	
9	THE WITNESS: I see it now.	
10	(Exhibit 3, article titled SEIU	
11	Has A Sexual Predator Problem, marked	
12	for identification.)	
13	BY MS. LEVY:	
14	Q. Can you see it?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. You can or cannot?	
17	A. I can see it.	
18	Q. This is an article from 2021 that	
19	postdates your time at SEIU but the writer	
20	is writing about the title of the	
21	article is SEIU Has A Sexual Predator	
22	Problem. Do you see that?	
23	A. Yes, I see that that's what it	
24	says on this document. I've never seen	
25	this document before so	

Page 31 1 KELLER Yeah, I wouldn't -- question 2 3 number 1 was going to be have you seen this document before and I understand that 4 you have not. The article references a number 6 of sexual misconduct allegations that 8 occurred previously at SEIU. My question 9 to you is: Were you aware during your time at SEIU that SEIU had a sexual 10 misconduct problem? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 13 THE WITNESS: Let me just read this. 14 15 (Witness perusing document.) 16 So this is an article talking 17 about -- hang on a second. 18 So again, I've not read this before so this is the first time I'm 19 20 seeing this. It appears that most -so when we're talking about SEIU you 21 22 have to remember there's multiple 23 local unions. There's SEIU the parent 24 organization which at the time was led 25 by Mary Kay Henry. I'm not sure if

Page 32 1 KELLER 2 she's still in charge over there. And then there was the division so there's 3 different locals. So I was part of 4 local 32 VJ and our president was --5 oh my gosh, I'm forgetting his name 6 7 but he passed away suddenly. And so 8 the individuals that are -- the only 9 individual that I see here from that union is Pedro Malave. I don't know 10 who that is. I never worked with that 11 12 person. He appears to be a staff member and not a member of executive 13 leadership so I don't know who that 14 15 is, where they were in that 16 organization and so really a large 17 organization. But yeah, that's all I 18 have to say about that. I was not 19 aware of anything, nor do I know any 20 of the people cited in this article. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 Do you have a reason to deny that 23 what this headline says, SEIU has a sexual 24 predator problem, or you just have never 25 heard of this one way or the other?

	Page 33
1	מת ז דתע
1	KELLER
2	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
3	THE WITNESS: I have not heard of
4	it one way or the other.
5	BY MS. LEVY:
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. I don't know even what the
8	Freedom Foundation is. It's not a
9	publication that I'm familiar with so I
10	can't really say.
11	Q. We can pull that one down.
12	During your three and a half-ish years at
13	SEIU you were never asked to study data or
14	look into and specifically research
15	anything to do with sexual misconduct or
16	allegations of sexual assault; is that
17	correct?
18	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.
19	THE WITNESS: Are you asking with
20	regard to the union itself and its own
21	practices or with regard just
22	generally as a topic?
23	BY MS. LEVY:
24	Q. Both.
25	A. The answer is the same for both.

		Page 34
		J
1	KELLER	
2	My directive was to review corporate	
3	documents and financials as well as the	
4	types of work that I did for the school	
5	system, especially in the last year to two	
6	years of my time being at the union.	
7	MS. LEVY: Can we mark Exhibit	
8	38, please? Sorry, tab 38. It should	
9	be Exhibit 4.	
10	(Exhibit 4, article titled	
11	Student Sues University Over Response	
12	to Sexual Misconduct, marked for	
13	identification.)	
14	BY MS. LEVY:	
15	Q. Have you ever heard of The New	
16	School Free Press?	
17	A. Yeah, I am somewhat familiar with	
18	them.	
19	Q. Was The New School Free Press a	
20	publication that existed during your time	
21	there?	
22	A. I don't remember. There was an	
23	active publication at the time. I don't	
24	know if it was The New School Free Press	
25	or something else.	

Page 35 1 KELLER This article which was posted 2 Ο. 3 during your time at New School is dated April 17, 2018. It refers to a lawsuit 4 5 brought by a student over the school's response to sexual misconduct claims. Are 6 you familiar with that situation? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: Not at all. This 10 is the first that I'm seeing this. BY MS. LEVY: 11 12 Do you -- have you heard of or do 13 you remember at NSSR psychology department 14 co-chair Emanuele Castano, is that 15 somebody you ever encountered? 16 I don't know who that is. And before today, in this 17 Q. 18 article, you have not heard about any 19 sexual misconduct that happened between 20 this professor and a student or multiple 21 students at The New School? 22 Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 23 THE WITNESS: Let me read because 24 you're asking about the background of 25 it but --

	Page 36
1	מס ז ז דס
1	KELLER
2	BY MS. LEVY:
3	Q. I'm just asking if you ever heard
4	of this issue.
5	A. This
6	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
7	THE WITNESS: The issue being the
8	lawsuit or the issue being the
9	allegations?
10	BY MS. LEVY:
11	Q. Either one.
12	A. I am not aware of either. I have
13	not heard of this until today so I
14	couldn't say but again, I've not heard of
15	any lawsuit being filed as far as the
16	faculty at The New School while I was
17	there.
18	Q. When you were at The New School,
19	did the school have a problem with sexual
20	misconduct?
21	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
22	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware
23	of. Again, I went to school, I did my
24	studies, I didn't have any problems
25	and my classmates as far as I was

Page 37 1 **KELLER** aware didn't have any problems but I 2 3 really don't know. BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Okay. And you don't know whether Ο. the allegations that are referred to in 6 this article which refers to a lawsuit, 8 you have no idea anything about the 9 allegations, whether they are true or false? 10 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, this is years 13 after. When is this dated, April 14 2018, that's eight years after I 15 graduated so I have no idea what this is about. This is the first time I'm 16 seeing it. 17 BY MS. LEVY: 18 19 And do you believe that The New Ο. 20 School is immune from sexual misconduct? 21 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered, 22 outside the scope of her report. 23 She's not here to talk about The New School and any potential allegations 24 25 that have been made about it.

Page 38 1 KELLER THE WITNESS: I haven't given it 2 3 any thought, to be honest. So this is the first that these issues have been 4 brought to me so this is -- I haven't 5 given it thought before this. 6 BY MS. LEVY: 8 When you left the SEIU, did 9 you -- while you were at SEIU, did you 10 study any participant in the transportation industry? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Boy, you're making 14 me think back almost 20 years. Most 15 of our studies were on theoretical 16 economics, econometrics and statistics and then my thesis which was based off 17 18 of pensions. To the extent that I 19 mean the pensioners that I was 20 studying worked in the transportation. Because that industry is often 21 22 unionized or sometimes unionized, I 23 should say, I might have overlap there 24 but that's kind of the major areas of 25 study while I was there.

Page 39 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 Okay. To ask you a more specific question, you've never studied safety of 4 taxis, for example? 5 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I have looked at 8 some public datasets a long time ago relating to public safety for the data 9 10 availability even of that data, the availability of that data, but I am 11 12 not offering any opinions on that in 13 my report. 14 MS. LEVY: And Bill, you can pull 15 down that exhibit. 16 BY MS. LEVY: Let me make sure I understand 17 Ο. 18 your answer. For purposes of work in this 19 case are you saying you looked for the 20 availability of safety information about 21 taxis? 22 So I'm saying in the past while I Α. 23 was at the AG's office, even for my -- not 24 my graduate, my senior level students that 25 I teach, I think they look at some bike

Page 40 1 KELLER share data. We've looked at 2 transportation data specifically. I know 3 that when I'm at the AG's office I've 4 looked at a number of datasets that are 5 publicly available. So I'm not offering 6 opinions on that. That's not the purpose 8 of my report, so I don't have anything 9 more to say. But generally, have I ever 10 looked at data? It's possible. I looked at a lot of data when I was in previous 11 12 positions. 13 For purposes of this report, you 14 haven't made any comparison of the safety 15 of Uber to any other alternative; correct? 16 The purpose of my report was in 17 some ways similar to the purposes of 18 reports that I've done before, and I think 19

you're very familiar with them where showing the data that in the past I would have said known or could have known because we were working with different datasets. In this litigation the purpose of my report is to show what Uber -- what data Uber had in its possession and the

20

21

22

23

24

25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 41 1 KELLER 2 knowledge that it had about that data 3 compared to what it was showing the public. So making comparisons to the taxi 4 industry was not necessary to do to carry 5 6 out my primary purpose of the report. 7 So the answer is no, you have not Q. 8 looked at safety data for alternatives to 9 Uber, you've only looked at Uber's data; 10 correct? MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 11 12 THE WITNESS: The answer is it 13 was not necessary to carry out my goal which was to show the data that Uber 14 15 had in its possession, the knowledge 16 that it had about that data and what 17 it was doing with it compared to what 18 it was telling the public. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 Q. Okay. And given that you have 21 not looked at or studied or provided 22 opinions on the alternatives, I can assume 23 that you don't intend to give opinions in 24 this case about Uber's relative safety 25 compared to other things; is that correct?

		Page 42
1	KELLER	
2	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
3	Also asked and answered.	
4	THE WITNESS: You're breaking up	
5	a tiny bit for me but I think you	
6	asked whether I intended to offer any	
7	opinions. Again, the Flack data was	
8	just produced a week ago I would say,	
9	so I have reserved my right to review	
10	that data. I'm not sure of my	
11	opinions that I will offer at this	
12	time.	
13	BY MS. LEVY:	
14	Q. You are not intending to offer	
15	any opinions on the relative safety	
16	between Uber and other alternatives to	
17	Uber, true or false?	
18	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
19	THE WITNESS: Again, the data is	
20	still has just been produced and I	
21	reserve my right to analyze that data	
22	so I can't say one way or another. I	
23	don't know.	
24	BY MS. LEVY:	
25	Q. Do you think the Flack data has	

		Page 43
1	KELLER	
2	information about other alternatives to	
3	Uber?	
4	A. You're asking me if I intend to	
5	offer any opinions about the relative	
6	risk, and to do such a thing would require	
7	analyzing Uber data and I haven't analyzed	
8	that dataset yet and so it is I just	
9	don't want to theorize what I might be	
LO	doing because I haven't given that dataset	
L1	a full review.	
L2	Q. As you sit here today, you have	
L3	done no research and no looking at other	
L4	data that's not Uber, alternatives to	
L5	Uber; is that true?	
L6	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.	
L7	THE WITNESS: My report shows	
L8	what data Uber has in its possession,	
L9	what it knows about that data and how	
20	it has used that data. And I compare	
21	those facts to what Uber tells the	
22	public. That's what's in my report	
23	and that's the opinions that I am	
24	currently offering.	
25	///	

	Page 44
1	KELLER
2	BY MS. LEVY:
3	Q. When you left the SEIU you went
4	to work at the New York State Attorney
5	General's office?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. And you were there for just over
8	four years from 2013 in October to 2017
9	November; correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Who was the AG at the time you
12	worked for the AG's office?
13	A. Eric Schneiderman.
14	Q. Did you know Attorney General
15	Schneiderman?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And the work that is listed on
18	your résumé under New York State AG's
19	office, is that a true and accurate
20	summary of the work, the highlights of the
21	work you did during your four years there?
22	A. Can I pull that back up?
23	Q. Sure.
24	A. This is definitely the highest of
25	highlights but there are other cases that

Page 45 1 KELLER I discuss in my qualifications document in 2 3 text form that also highlight some of my work at that office. 4 While you were in the New York State AG's office, did you ever study or 6 research sexual assault and sexual 8 misconduct specifically? 9 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how 10 you are defining sexual misconduct and 11 12 here's what else I did work on. 13 worked on -- what can I say without 14 violating confidentiality -- I would 15 say I worked on a potential human 16 trafficking case using public datasets 17 and I don't think anything has been 18 disclosed publicly about that case so I think that's about the extent to 19 20 which I can talk about that. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 Ο. Understood. At a very general 23 level, was that work an investigation of a potential defendant or like a -- let me 24 25 back up and ask it a different way.

Page 46

KELLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the past you've described the gun trafficking dashboard that you developed while at the AG's office in New York, and my understanding at a high level of that work was you took large sets of data and were attempting to pinpoint where illegal activity was. Is that a fair summary?

The qun trafficking dashboard Α. unit was paired with additional investigative work and leads but that dashboard was to provide the public with -- realtime wasn't available, but with the most up-to-date data that we had from ATF on the state of qun trafficking to allow the public to identify the trends and patterns that they found to be of interest. Many of the reports that ATF, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms were putting out at the time were very high level. They would give overall totals for the whole state which means New York City would be dwarfing the rest of the trends for like Buffalo and

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 47 1 KELLER 2 Rochester and smaller cities Upstate. 3 the tool that we created allowed users, whether it was local leaders or the 4 5 general public, to drill into specific use cases -- or I'm sorry, specific patterns 6 that they wanted to see. 8 Was the goal to try to reduce or 9 eliminate illegal gun trafficking? 10 I think the goal was to give --Α. give leaders and decision-makers more 11 12 information than they ever had previously 13 because that information just was made 14 available in these very aggregated 15 The specific investigative reports. 16 pieces of those and specific leads, it was public data so I don't think that that --17 18 you couldn't go creating leads out of that 19 dataset, that public dashboard, because it 20 was scrubbed public data. There's a 21 number of other dashboard that we created 22 that was for trafficking investigations, 23 that were law enforcement sensitive. Has the State of New York been 24 25 able to eliminate illegal gun trafficking?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 48 1 KELLER 2 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 3 outside the scope of her report and the opinions that she's here to talk 4 about today as she's offering information. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I haven't been in 8 contact with leaders at New York AG's 9 office about this issue and so I 10 wouldn't be able to say one way or the other. I know that dashboard is still 11 12 live and that we continue to work with law enforcement officials nationwide 13 14 on another gun trafficking platform. BY MS. LEVY: 15 16 Ο. The New York -- studying an issue 17 and applying data analytics and learning 18 everything you can learn about it, you've done a lot of that in your career. 19 20 fact, you've been on the forefront of some 21 efforts on that in various areas that we 22 see in your résumé; true? 23 The New York Attorney General's office was the first AG's office to hire a 24 25 data scientist nationwide so I would say

Page 49 1 KELLER western at the forefront in that division 2 3 and that division is really large now. think it's well over 10 people, if I 4 recall. 5 And I assume you would agree with 6 Ο. me that when it comes to safety and trying 8 to reduce illegal activity, it's a good 9 thing to hire data scientists and study 10 the issues and try to use data to that end; correct? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Our role was 13 revolutionary to the office because we 14 15 were able to -- and I remember vividly 16 my first report at the office was on 17 Airbnb and they came into 18 New York City and we had received data 19 from that company and I was able to 20 identify where that company was 21 operating -- or not the company, where 22 people were renting out their 23 apartments on that platform and doing 24 so illegally. The illegality part of 25 that, of course, I needed the

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 50 1 KELLER assistance of a lawyer for, but my 2 3 department was able to do things like that which would have primarily been 4 5 through anecdotes or surveys prior to my arrival. And after my arrival they 6 7 were able to look at the whole 8 picture, analyze all of the data that 9 was obtained through subpoena or 10 through public records. BY MS. LEVY: 11 12 And you applied that kind of work Q. 13 to gun trafficking, illegal Airbnb rentals 14 and other issues; right? 15 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 16 vaque. 17 THE WITNESS: Because I was the 18 head of the data team we used data to 19 support the investigative or 20 litigation efforts -- I would say investigative would be the -- the 21 22 investigative, the pre-investigative 23 efforts of the office no matter what the topic was. So I would work with 24 25 the Internet Protection Bureau to the

Page 51 1 KELLER 2 Consumer Protection Bureau all the way 3 to the Labor Bureau. We would see just a myriad of different cases 4 throughout my time there. BY MS. LEVY: 6 7 Were you ever asked to perform Ο. 8 research, data analytics, data mining on 9 sets of data relating to sexual assault or 10 sexual misconduct while you worked at the New York State AG's office? 11 12 So when you say relating to, I'm Α. 13 still thinking of that prostitution/human trafficking case because we did mine a 14 15 number of data sets including a dataset 16 that was basically a clearinghouse for reviews. But that's --17 18 Q. Without saying anything at all 19 confidential, we're getting close to it, 20 was that an effort to find a single 21 perpetrator or to identify trends of 22 misconduct or multiple perpetrators? 23 Both. It was to identify -- if 24 there was a trend, I would say if there 25 were red flags, to borrow from previous

Page 52 1 KELLER 2 work, red flags within an industry that we 3 were reviewing and then identify actors worthy of investigation as a next step in 4 that work. As a result of that effort, did 6 Ο. you expect to eliminate all prostitution 8 or eliminate all human trafficking? 9 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. That was -- I don't 10 THE WITNESS: want to say too much about the 11 12 industry but we were focused on a 13 particular industry, not human 14 trafficking at large, it was a 15 particular industry. 16 BY MS. LEVY: Was the State of New York able to 17 18 fully eliminate prostitution and human trafficking in that industry? 19 20 Object to form and MS. WILKINS: 21 again it's outside the scope of the opinions Ms. Keller is offering in her 22 23 report in this litigation. 24 THE WITNESS: I cannot say what 25 the outcome of the investigative work

Page 53 1 KELLER is because it is under 2 confidentiality. I don't think that 3 anything has been released publicly 4 about that work, unfortunately, so I 5 can't say what the result of that work 6 7 is. 8 BY MS. LEVY: 9 Let me ask you more generally as 10 a data scientist who's worked at assisting law enforcement. Do you agree or disagree 11 12 that even if you apply the best study and the best research, that does not 13 necessarily mean you can completely 14 eradicate illegal activity, do you agree 15 with that or do you disagree with that? 16 MS. WILKINS: Object, overbroad, 17 18 vaque. 19 THE WITNESS: I agree that data 20 provides us with information and we can use data such as how we did at the 21 22 AG's office to do data-driven law 23 enforcement or policing or another phrase is like evidence-based 24 25 policing. Those are key phrases in

		Page 54
1	KELLER	
2	that industry. Data can help you	
3	prioritize resources and that was a	
4	really effective use of data for us is	
5	when you have limited resources at the	
6	AG's office, being able to prioritize	
7	your investigative efforts, data	
8	allows you to do that. So it allows	
9	you to make informed decisions and use	
10	your resources wisely.	
11	BY MS. LEVY:	
12	Q. Can data stop criminals from	
13	committing crimes?	
14	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
15	We're really outside of the scope of	
16	Ms. Keller's opinions in this	
17	litigation.	
18	MS. LEVY: This is like the	
19	I've been trying to be patient with	
20	speaking objections. You can object	
21	to form. We are as you know, from	
22	the court order you are not allowed to	
23	say them.	
24	MS. WILKINS: That is not	
25	accurate for expert witnesses. I'll	

Page 55 1 KELLER 2 restart. 3 Ms. Levy, what you said is not accurate. I believe what you are 4 referencing is a court order that was 5 in place for witnesses, custodial 6 7 witnesses, but doesn't apply to expert 8 witnesses. I have not made speaking 9 objections. Objecting to form as 10 being vague or overbroad is proper. Objecting to questions being outside 11 12 of the scope of the expert's report is 13 also proper. MS. LEVY: I'm referring to the 14 15 federal rules. We can agree to 16 disagree. If I need more time, we will address that at the back end. 17 18 You do that at your own risk. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 Ms. Keller, do you know -- let me 21 make sure I got an answer to my last 22 question. Do you believe, yes or no, that 23 data, reviewing data and studying data can 24 prevent criminals from committing crimes? 25 MS. WILKINS: Same objections.

Page 56 1 KELLER THE WITNESS: Data allows law 2 enforcement officers, advocates, never 3 studying that area, to make informed 4 decisions about what that criminal behavior might be. If you're looking 6 7 for as exemplified in the Airbnb 8 report, repeat offenders or large 9 operators of operations within New York City, if you're looking for 10 in the trafficking data those 11 12 individuals who have purchased a 13 number of guns that did not -- that they did not keep themselves and that 14 15 they had passed on to others and 16 someone else was in possession of 17 those guns, data allows law 18 enforcement officers or the people who 19 are studying that to make informed 20 decisions, potentially policies, potentially laws about that data. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 23 And from your observations and 24 what you've seen in the materials you've 25 reviewed for this case, you're aware that

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 57 1 **KELLER** Uber has, in fact, taken -- employed data 2 3 scientists and data teams to do exactly that, to study the issue of sexual assault 4 and misconduct, you're aware of that; right? 6 7 Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 8 THE WITNESS: I have a number of 9 opinions in my report about the 10 information that Uber has, what it knew about that information and ways 11 12 that it has chose to employ that. One 13 example that comes to mind is the 14 S-RAD program that Uber used and 15 created to, quote, prevent sexual 16 assaults and I offer a number of 17 opinions in my report on that program 18 specifically. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 And S-RAD is a technology that 0. 21 Uber invented from scratch, it doesn't 22 exist before Uber developed it; correct? 23 Like I said, S-RAD was a program 24 that Uber created to, quote, prevent 25 sexual assaults. They did so starting in

Page 58 1 KELLER 2017 using the data that it had been 2 3 collecting for a number of years. And at the time, I think they looked at over 200 4 different metrics to include in the model. 5 And you don't know of any other 6 Ο. model that's anything like S-RAD to study 8 this issue, do you? 9 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 10 THE WITNESS: So S-RAD has a very specific role in Uber. 11 It's a tool 12 that Uber has at its disposal to -- as 13 part of its pairing algorithm. I 14 would not describe it fully as a 15 study. It's something beyond a study. 16 BY MS. LEVY: 17 Can you point me to any other 18 corporation or any other model that's been developed that you're aware of to study 19 20 sexual assault and misconduct that's like 21 S-RAD or that's better than S-RAD, have you ever seen anything else like that? 22 23 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 24 THE WITNESS: So at its core, 25 Uber is a machine-learning algorithm.

		Page 59
1	KELLER	
2	Companies and corporations have made	
3	their millions and billions off of	
4	machine-learning algorithms. Netflix	
5	recommends movies to you. That is a	
6	machine-learning algorithm.	
7	Machine-learning algorithms can be	
8	used wherever Uber has chosen to use	
9	machine learning this	
10	machine-learning algorithm to prevent	
11	sexual or in their words to prevent	
12	sexual assaults. And only Uber has	
13	the data to do that because it is	
14	their own data that they have been	
15	collecting on users, trips, drivers,	
16	user's phones for a number of years.	
17	BY MS. LEVY:	
18	Q. Do you remember my question?	
19	A. I do and I just answered it.	
20	Q. What was the question?	
21	A. Am I aware of any other company	
22	that developed I can't get the wording	
23	exactly right a program like S-RAD to	
24	study sexual assault.	
25	Q. Let me be more specific because I	

Page 60 1 KELLER don't think you were understanding me. 2 3 Can you identify for me any other company that has developed a complex model 4 to study sexual assault? 5 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 6 7 also asked and answered at least 8 twice. 9 THE WITNESS: S-RAD at its core 10 is a machine-learning algorithm. Companies use them all the time to 11 12 study -- or not even to study -- to 13 identify and predict a particular outcome. It would be impossible for 14 15 me to study every company's algorithms 16 and they probably wouldn't even let me because those are proprietary. And 17 18 even Uber was very specific about how I had to access their data due to the 19 20 sensitive and proprietary natures of 21 such things. So S-RAD is not unique in that it uses at its core machine 22 23 learning. That is something that's 24 available to all companies. 25 MS. LEVY: I'm going to move to

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 61 1 KELLER strike as nonresponsive and if we 2 3 can't get a straight answer to various straightforward questions we're going 4 5 to have to get another day of time. So just letting you guys know. 6 7 BY MS. LEVY: 8 My question is: Can you identify 9 any other corporation that has developed a 10 tool to study sexual assault and sexual misconduct, can you identify one, name 11 12 one? 13 MS. WILKINS: I'm going to object 14 to the improper motion to strike. You 15 know it's not allowed in this case and 16 also again to the question as having been asked and answered and it's 17 18 vaque. You can ask her a more 19 specific question. I won't object to 20 it. 21 BY MS. LEVY: Identify the company that has 22 23 done something like this. 24 MS. WILKINS: And that's vague. 25 THE WITNESS: I would be

		Page 62
1	KELLER	
2	theorizing if I were to try to	
3	identify a company because all	
4	companies have at their disposal	
5	machine learning. And especially with	
6	the advent of AI, machine learning is	
7	becoming more and more democratized to	
8	corporations. So I would be guessing	
9	if any company had or had not done	
10	this exact same thing. I don't know.	
11	And it is not particularly and at	
12	its core it's machine learning.	
13	That's it.	
14	BY MS. LEVY:	
15	Q. You can't identify any company	
16	that's put more resources and effort to	
17	studying the issue than Uber, can you?	
18	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.	
19	I object to form.	
20	THE WITNESS: That would require	
21	me to give an informed response on	
22	that, would require me to have done	
23	and had access to the same amounts of	
24	documents that was produced in this	
25	litigation for other companies. And	

Page 63 1 KELLER 2 we know that that's not how companies 3 operate. They don't just open up every document, every database unless 4 5 they are forced to. So that is just an impossible thing for me to know. 6 BY MS. LEVY: 7 8 Ο. Thank you. When you worked at 9 the New York State Office of Attorney 10 General, you were not asked to analyze 11 other than what you've already testified 12 to about prostitution and human 13 trafficking, you were not asked to analyze datasets about sexual assault and 14 15 misconduct relating to transportation other than what you've already told us; is 16 17 that correct? 18 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm just thinking. 20 I worked on so many issues while I was there and was asked often to research 21 22 potential areas of investigations. 23 is entirely possible that I researched 24 something related to transportation or 25 sexual assault, but I had a number of

Page 64 1 **KELLER** 2 cases that I was balancing and that my 3 team was balancing at the time. So I guess that's the best that I can 4 5 answer that question. BY MS. LEVY: 6 7 As part of your work in this Q. 8 case, did you look at or research 9 prevalence of sexual assault and 10 misconduct outside of Uber in places that are not Uber? 11 12 So my opinions in this case and Α. 13 in my report discuss the information that 14 Uber had, that it knew about, how it used 15 it and what it told the public. 16 my opinion in this matter and that was not 17 a necessary exercise to form the opinions 18 about what Uber knew versus what they told 19 the public. 20 So no, you did not do that? Q. 21 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 22 THE WITNESS: My answer is it was 23 not necessary because that was not 24 part of my opinion. My opinion was what did Uber know and what did it do 25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 65 1 KELLER with that information and what did it 2 3 tell the public. BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 And because it wasn't necessary, Ο. you didn't look at that; correct? 6 7 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 8 THE WITNESS: Again, same answer. 9 My report similar to the reports that 10 I have offered in the past shows the information that the entity, in this 11 12 case Uber, had in its possession and 13 what they did with that information and then in this case what they told 14 15 the public. 16 BY MS. LEVY: 17 Did someone instruction you that 18 you can't say yes or no to questions? 19 Α. I'm explaining my answer because 20 a yes or no is not comprehensive of the 21 work that I've done here. A yes or no is 22 your phrasing of it and so I'm trying to 23 explain where I'm coming from. 24 thorough person and I want to give 25 thorough answers.

Page 66 1 **KELLER** So when I ask you if you studied 2 the rate of sexual misconduct outside of 3 Uber you can't answer that with a yes or 4 5 no? Because it's not necessary for my 6 Α. assignment and I'm telling you what my 8 assignment is to give a complete and 9 honest answer. There is no institution that 10 Ο. you're aware of, no place unfortunately 11 12 that is immune from sexual assault and 13 misconduct; do you agree with that? 14 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. I don't know what 15 THE WITNESS: 16 this phrase immune to sexual assault 17 means. What are you asking me? BY MS. LEVY: 18 Sexual misconduct and sexual 19 Ο. 20 assault is a reality in our society; do you agree with that? 21 These are -- I know that Uber 22 23 says that in its safety reports and it 24 says that to the public. I don't really 25 have -- yeah, I know that Uber says that

Page 67 1 KELLER in its safety reports. I know that it's 2 3 aware of the underreporting that occurs on the platform and beyond that, I don't 4 often opinions on that. You can't answer whether Uber --6 0. 7 whether -- excuse me, strike that. 8 You can't answer the question 9 whether sexual misconduct is a societal problem in New York, for example, it's a 10 problem in New York? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know how 13 14 you're defining problem, whether what 15 that value term means to you. What I 16 do know is Uber's own partner says that it's an issue nationwide, that 17 18 underreporting especially is an issue nationwide and that Uber's internal 19 20 documents show that underreporting is 21 especially a problem at the company. 22 That's the extent of my opinions on 23 that. BY MS. LEVY: 24 25 Q. We'll get to underreporting in a

Page 68 1 KELLER 2 few minutes but you don't have any reason 3 to disagree with Uber's statement that sexual assault, sexual misconduct is a 4 societal problem, you don't disagree with that? 6 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 8 THE WITNESS: The opinions that I offer in my report, I don't -- if I am 9 10 talking about that Uber statements specifically, it is in regard to 11 12 underreporting nationally and with 13 regard to the platform. I don't have opinions on societal influences or 14 15 societal problems. I'm here to talk 16 about the data that Uber had, what it did with that and what it told the 17 18 public. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 Before we move on from that, you Q. 21 do not have an opinion on whether sexual 22 assault and sexual misconduct is a problem 23 in our society? 24 I'm offering opinions on the data 25 that Uber had, what it knew about that

		Page	69
1	KELLER		
2	data, what it did with that data, all		
3	S-RAD and other programs, or S-RAD I		
4	should say, and how underreporting		
5	occurred not only nationwide but in the		
6	platform. That's that is examples of		
7	my opinions on this.		
8	Q. The New York State Office of		
9	Attorney General had a problem with sexual		
10	misconduct while you were working there;		
11	correct?		
12	MS. WILKINS: Object to form,		
13	misstates prior testimony.		
14	THE WITNESS: I don't think we've		
15	discussed this. What are you		
16	specifically talking about?		
17	BY MS. LEVY:		
18	Q. Did the New York AG's office have		
19	a problem with sexual assault and		
20	misconduct when you were employed there?		
21	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.		
22	THE WITNESS: Not that I was		
23	aware of.		
24	MS. LEVY: Mark tab 41.		
25	(Exhibit 5, article from The		

		Page 70
1	מה ד המע	
1	KELLER	
2	New Yorker, marked for	
3	identification.)	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And Counsel,	
5	just while we're waiting for that	
6	document, this is the videographer,	
7	we're about 12 minutes away from	
8	needing to take a short break and	
9	change the media.	
10	MS. LEVY: Thank you, perfect.	
11	I'll ask about this document and then	
12	we can take a break.	
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks.	
14	BY MS. LEVY:	
15	Q. We're marking as Exhibit 5 an	
16	article from May of 2018 that is titled	
17	Four Women Accuse New York Attorneys	
18	General of Physical Abuse, with the	
19	subheading, Eric Schneiderman has raised	
20	his profile of a voice against sexual	
21	misconduct. Now after suing Harvey	
22	Weinstein, he faces a #MeToo reckoning of	
23	his own. Have you read this article	
24	before?	
25	A. I believe I read it when it came	

	Page 71	
1	KELLER	
2	out but I haven't reviewed it since then.	
3	Q. Can you turn to the next page of	
4	this article? Do you recognize the person	
_		
5	pictured here?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. And who is that?	
8	A. That's Eric Schneiderman.	
9	Q. And he was the Attorney General	
10	of New York; correct?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And he resigned as the Attorney	
13	General of New York after being accused of	
14	sexual misconduct by multiple women;	
15	correct?	
16	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
17	THE WITNESS: That's my	
18	understanding from reading from the	
19	news media. I wasn't at the office at	
20	that time.	
21	BY MS. LEVY:	
22	Q. You were at the office between	
23	2013 and 2017 while he was the Attorney	
24	General; correct?	
25	A. That's correct but I wasn't at	

	Page 72	2
1	KELLER	
2	the office on the day that he resigned or	
3	when this article was published.	
4	Q. Okay. And as you sit here today,	
5	you are unable to say whether even the	
6	New York Attorney General's office has a	
7	problem with sexual assault, had a problem	
8	with sexual assault and misconduct?	
9	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
LO	THE WITNESS: I was I was not	
L1	aware of any of these allegations	
L2	while working there, nor did my	
L3	interactions with him ever make me	
L4	think that there was anything going on	
L5	to what was brought up in these	
L6	articles. So to say that it was a	
L7	shock when this article came out would	
L8	be an understatement.	
L9	BY MS. LEVY:	
20	Q. Do you agree or disagree that	
21	even the New York AG's office, highest law	
22	enforcement office in the State, is not	
23	immune from sexual misconduct?	
24	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
25	///	

	Page 73	
1	KELLER	
2	BY MS. LEVY:	
3	Q. We know that today; correct?	
4	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
5	THE WITNESS: That office I	
6	don't know exactly what there is to	
7	say about that. This is not something	
8	I really planned on discussing because	
9	it's not part of my report so I	
10	just yeah, I wasn't really prepared	
11	to discuss this.	
12	MS. LEVY: You can pull that	
13	down, Bill.	
14	BY MS. LEVY:	
15	Q. The next attorney general after	
16	Eric Schneiderman was Letitia James.	
17	She's still the Attorney General today.	
18	Did you know that?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Even her office is not immune	
21	from sexual misconduct; correct?	
22	A. Is there an example that you're	
23	referencing?	
24	Q. Are you aware of sexual	
25	misconduct that has occurred in the last	

	Page 74
1	KELLER
2	few years in the current New York Attorney
3	General's office?
4	A. I don't know what you're talking
5	about.
6	MS. LEVY: Let's mark tab 42.
7	(Exhibit 6, statement from
8	Attorney General James, marked for
9	identification.)
10	BY MS. LEVY:
11	Q. This is a statement from December
12	of 2022 from the current New York Attorney
13	General Letitia James, and this is a
14	statement I'll represent to you that she
15	made publicly in December of 2022 after
16	dismissing her chief of staff, Ibrahim
17	Khan.
18	A. This is the first that I'm seeing
19	this.
20	Q. Okay. And my question for you is
21	even today, even in Letitia James' office,
22	that office is not immune itself from
23	sexual misconduct?
24	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
25	Again, these questions are well

Page 75 1 **KELLER** 2 outside of the scope of Ms. Keller's 3 opinion and her expertise and the reason that she's here to provide her 4 deposition testimony today. BY MS. LEVY: 6 Do you agree, disagree or have no Q. 8 opinion? 9 I'm not offering any opinions on Α. 10 other industries. I think I said probably to ad nauseam what I am doing in my 11 12 report, which is showing what data Uber had, what it did with it and what it told 13 14 the public. 15 Just to be very clear, as we sat 16 here this morning and looked at reports of 17 sexual misconduct from all the places on 18 your résumé through 2017, The New School, 19 the SEIU, the New York Attorney General's 20 office --21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We lost the witness's video. I think we should go 22 23 off the record. 24 MS. WILKINS: Can you hear me, 25 guys?

		Page 76
1	KELLER	
2	MS. LEVY: I can hear you. I	
3	have one more question and then we can	
4	take a break but I don't want to go	
5	off the record until we finish this	
6	last question.	
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We just	
8	okay.	
9	MS. LEVY: You can pull down this	
10	exhibit and let's see if we can get	
11	through it	
12	MS. WILKINS: We just lost audio	
13	and actually Lacey lost her connection	
14	all together. So would now be a good	
15	time for a break while get reset?	
16	MS. LEVY: Yes, we can take a	
17	break. Will we take 10?	
18	MS. WILKINS: 10 should hopefully	
19	do it. We'll check in at least in 10.	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	
21	record. The time is 12:59 p.m.	
22	Eastern. This is the end of media	
23	unit 1.	
24	(Recess taken from 12:59 p.m. to	
25	1:13 p.m.)	

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 77 1 KELLER THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on 2 3 the record. The time is 1:13 p.m. Eastern time. This is the beginning 4 of media unit 2. 5 BY MS. LEVY: 6 7 Ms. Keller, my question to you is Q. 8 after being shown reports this morning of 9 sexual misconduct at The New School, at 10 SEIU, at the New York AG's office, all 11 places where you have spent time in your 12 career, are you unable to say to the jury 13 that you agree that sexual misconduct is a societal problem? 14 15 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm not here to talk about that as a societal problem. 17 I'm here to talk about what data Uber 18 19 had in its possession, what it did 20 with that data and what it told the 21 public. That's my opinions in this matter. I'm not offering opinions on 22 23 society. I didn't come to -- I didn't 24 come prepared to offer opinions on societal issues. I've come to talk 25

		Page 78
1	KELLER	
2	about Uber's data and how they used	
3	it.	
4	BY MS. LEVY:	
5	Q. And how sexual misconduct related	
6	to the Uber platform compares to the	
7	outside world is not something that you've	
8	looked at or thought about in your work	
9	for this case?	
10	A. That analysis was not necessary	
11	to do my analysis which was compare and	
12	contrast what Uber had, the volumes of	
13	incidents that it had in its possession,	
14	what it knew about that data, what it did	
15	a with that data and what it told the	
16	public. I was not	
17	Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut	
18	you off. You were asked to look at Uber	
19	only, not asked to look at Uber in the	
20	context of a larger society?	
21	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
22	THE WITNESS: I looked at Uber's	
23	data in that it collected and it knew	
24	it had underreporting issues.	
25	///	

		Page	79
1	KELLER		
2	BY MS. LEVY:		
3	Q. You mentioned underreporting a		
4	few times. All you know about		
5	underreporting is Uber's own statement		
6	about it; correct?		
7	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.		
8	THE WITNESS: I know of documents		
9	that discuss it as well as Uber's		
10	statements to the public about		
11	underreporting.		
12	BY MS. LEVY:		
13	Q. So you personally were not asked		
14	to study the issue of underreporting. You		
15	don't know and you didn't tabulate any		
16	rate of underreporting. Is it true or		
17	false? Did you tabulate a rate of		
18	underreporting?		
19	MS. WILKINS: Object to form and		
20	object to interrupting the witness.		
21	THE WITNESS: That would be a		
22	different analysis. My analysis was		
23	that of what Uber had knowing that		
24	there was so that this is a floor,		
25	this is of the data that is		

Page 80 1 KELLER potentially or of -- this is a floor 2 3 of the potential issue on the platform, that is my opinions and 4 where my opinions center around is 5 that data. 6 BY MS. LEVY: 7 8 Today in the five and a half 9 hours I have left I'm going to ask you a 10 lot of questions about did you do a 11 particular analysis, yes or no, and I need 12 to understand if you did or didn't do it 13 and I really need you to answer that 14 question. If I can't get you to tell me 15 directly whether you did an analysis or 16 didn't do an analysis. I'm not asking 17 whether it was necessary, whether it would 18 be different. I just mean did you do it, that's all I want to know. And so I'm 19 20 hopeful that we don't have to waste a lot 21 of time. But if I can't get you to tell 22 me whether you did it or not did it, we're 23 going to have to get the court to come and listen in because I am entitled to a 24 25 straight answer to that question. So let

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 81 1 KELLER 2 me try it one more time because this isn't 3 even controversial. Did you, Lacey Keller, tabulate a rate of sexual assault 4 on Uber, yes or no? And I'm saying why I didn't do 6 that because it was not necessary for my 8 analysis. My analysis compares the data 9 Uber had versus what it told the public. 10 No, you didn't do that analysis; Ο. is that correct? 11 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Because it was not 14 a necessary step in my analysis. 15 BY MS. LEVY: 16 Did you look at the rate of 17 sexual assault on the Uber platform versus taxis? 18 19 Α. I quess this is the same 20 variation of your previous question which 21 I thought I've answered is it's not 22 necessary to do my analysis when my 23 analysis is looking at the data that Uber 24 had versus what it told the public versus 25 what it did with that data internally.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 82 1 KELLER Did you compare the rate of 2 reported sexual misconduct on the Uber 3 platform to any other alternative a person 4 would have? 5 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Same answer as before. 8 9 BY MS. LEVY: 10 Ο. The answer is that you did not do 11 that? 12 Α. The answer is that it was not 13 necessary because I did something different and I looked at what Uber knew 14 15 versus what they told the public versus 16 what they did with that data. And in connection with your 17 18 opinions in this case, you are not intending to offer any opinions about 19 20 safer alternatives to Uber; correct? 21 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: As I said before 22 23 today -- as I said before in this deposition today, I reserve my right 24 25 to offer additional opinions. I do

		Page 83
1	KELLER	
2	not hold those opinions sitting here	
3	today. I do not have or offer those	
4	opinions sitting here today.	
5	BY MS. LEVY:	
6	Q. You are not you don't intend	
7	to tell the jury a rider could do X, Y or	
8	Z and that would be safer than taking an	
9	Uber?	
10	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
11	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't	
12	know. I have reserved my right to	
13	offer additional opinions. I know	
14	there was data that was just produced	
15	last week. Sitting here today, I	
16	don't know what those opinions are. I	
17	don't offer those opinions in my	
18	report as filed today.	
19	BY MS. LEVY:	
20	Q. Okay. You have no opinion on	
21	whether Uber is safer than taxis, correct,	
22	no opinion on that?	
23	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.	
24	THE WITNESS: It's the same	
25	answer that I've given dozens of times	

		Page	84
1	KELLER		
2	before so I don't know how else to say		
3	it differently. This was not		
4	necessary for my analysis.		
5	BY MS. LEVY:		
6	Q. You have no opinion on whether		
7	it's safer to take an Uber home or drive		
8	drunk, no opinions on that?		
9	A. Same answer as the previous one,		
10	it's not necessary to my analysis.		
11	Q. You have no opinion on whether		
12	it's safer to take an Uber than to walk		
13	home from a bar, for example, no opinions		
14	on that either; correct?		
15	A. Same answer as my previous		
16	answer, it was not necessary for my		
17	analysis.		
18	Q. And the answer would be the same		
19	if I asked you have no opinion as to		
20	whether Uber is safer than any other		
21	alternative, that is not something you've		
22	looked into for your opinions in this		
23	case; correct?		
24	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.		
25	THE WITNESS: I've looked at		

	Page 85
1	KELLER
2	what's been reported to Uber, what
3	they categorized, what they did with
4	that data and what they told the
5	public about it. That's my opinions.
6	BY MS. LEVY:
7	Q. How did you come to be retained
8	in this case?
9	A. Are you asking about like the
10	specific letter? What are you
11	specifically referring to?
12	Q. How did you come to be retained
13	in this case?
14	A. How did I find out about the
15	case?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. I think it was most of my work
18	is referral-based work. I have worked
19	with some of the attorneys on this matter
20	before or with an attorney on this
21	matter before.
22	Q. Which attorney?
23	A. Tiffany Ellis.
24	Q. What matter did you and Ms. Ellis
25	have together previously, or matters?

Page 86 1 KELLER She and I actually were working 2 Α. 3 together on a matter in front of this exact court in the opioids litigation, 4 CT4. 5 And who retained you to do work 6 Ο. 7 in this case? 8 Α. The co-leads of the litigation 9 signed the retention letter. And that was in June of 2024? 10 Ο. 11 The retention letter was before Α. 12 that but it's very likely that work didn't start for some time. The retention I 13 14 think was in April of 2024. 15 When I look at MK Analytics' 16 website, I notice that when you go to MK Analytics' website, can we pull that 17 up? It's a tab --18 19 MS. LEVY: Hang on one minute. 20 Just give me a second. When you go to 21 MK Analytic's website, can we pull up tab 4, please, Bill? 22 23 (Exhibit 7, MK Analytics website page, marked for identification.) 24 /// 25

Page 87 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 Under focus areas, there are 3 Ο. seven different segments listed as focus 4 Are you familiar with the website? It's been awhile. 6 Α. 7 I will represent to you that the Q. 8 last of the seven issues that come up when 9 we go to MK Analytics website is this This is the 7th of seven and it 10 says Damages For Sexual Assault Victims. 11 12 Do you see that? 13 Α. Yes, I see that. Is that one of the areas of focus 14 Ο. 15 that has been added to your website? 16 That is an area of focus on our website but refers to different experts' 17 18 area of expertise. And is this -- this is like 19 Ο. 20 valuing cases for a different purpose. 21 This is not the type of work that you have 22 done in preparation for your report in 23 this case; is that correct? 24 That's a completely different 25 expert -- I'm not particularly versed in

Page 88 1 KELLER 2 her methodology but that is not my area of expertise. If I under -- if I understand 3 it correctly it involves more 4 person-to-person and interview-based work but I'm not really sure. I always butcher 6 it every time I try to explain what she 8 does. 9 Q. What expert does this? 10 Α. That would be Cathryn Jones. 11 And this is not MK Analytics work Ο. 12 that you participate in personally; 13 correct? 14 Α. No, I don't oversee that work 15 and, in fact, draw a very clear line that 16 that's not my work to oversee or be involved with. 17 18 Q. And do you know what it means to 19 leverage available data and demonstrate 20 the severity of each case by comparing it 21 to previously awarded damages among cases 22 with similar characteristics, do you know 23 what that work is? 24 That's not my area of expertise. Α. That's Cat's area so I don't know what she 25

Page 89 1 **KELLER** 2 means by that. 3 Ο. You do know that there are differing levels of severity of conduct 4 that come under the umbrella of sexual 5 misconduct; can we agree on that? 6 7 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know what 9 you're defining as severity. I know how Uber defines it and that's what I 10 have studied for this matter. 11 12 BY MS. LEVY: 13 What I really want to know is how Q. MK Analytics defines it. When MK 14 15 Analytics says different levels of 16 severity or refers to severity, I'd like 17 to know what your business means by that. 18 Α. You're asking about another area 19 of expertise and I'm not this expert, so I 20 would be theorizing. This is not my area. 21 MS. LEVY: So you can bring that 22 down, Bill. 23 You have looked at the taxonomy 24 that Uber developed in conjunction with 25 outside experts in advance of its original

Page 90 1 KELLER U.S. safety report, that is something THAT 2 you looked at and studied; correct? 3 I'm aware of THE I think the 26 Α. 4 categories, 27 if we look at the Flack 5 interrogatory response that has data that 6 Uber uses to categorize its incidences. 8 And you believe there's 26 or 27 9 categories? 10 Α. I say 26 or 27 because there's 11 one category that has all 0s in the Flack 12 interrogatory response but the Bliss and 13 Jira interrogatory response have non-0s. 14 So depending on which interrogatory 15 response you review, you'll see either 26 16 or 27 with data in them. 17 And those categories include 18 things like flirting, comments on 19 appearance, staring and they also include 20 things like rape; correct? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 And those different categories Ο. 23 have different levels of severity; 24 correct? 25 MS. WILKINS: Object to form.

Page 91 1 KELLER THE WITNESS: Uber in its safety 2 3 report puts those categories in order of a severity with the exception of 4 5 insufficient information and parent category use tracking. 6 BY MS. LEVY: 8 And you're not offering any 9 opinion that Uber did that wrong, you have 10 no opinion on that; correct? 11 Α. I am showing the data how Uber 12 filed it into that filing system. I'm not 13 offering an opinion on how many 14 different -- taking a step back, I'm 15 offering an opinion on what volume of 16 incidents Uber filed into that taxonomy or 17 into that filing system, if you will, 18 that's the extent of my opinion on those. 19 Ο. You are not offering a better 20 taxonomy or better taxonomy that you 21 developed, that's not part of your 22 opinions; correct? 23 Α. That's not an opinion that I I offer an opinion just on the 24 25 volumes within that taxonomy and what was

Page 92 1 KELLER 2 reported to Uber and how it categorized 3 incidents into those taxonomies versus the categories that it told the public about. 4 5 And have you -- as part of your work in this case have you looked at any 6 other taxonomies other than Uber's? 8 What kind of taxonomies are we 9 talking about? I work with a lot of law enforcement data. 10 Sexual assault or sexual 11 Ο. 12 misconduct taxonomies. 13 Just generally, like the uniform Α. 14 crime reporting like has a rape category. 15 I don't know what you are talking about 16 here. 17 Ο. As part of your work in this 18 case, did you compare Uber's taxonomy to 19 any other taxonomy? 20 I'm offering opinions on the 21 volume of reports within Uber's taxonomy, 22 not comparing and contrasting how to 23 re-categorize them or anything to that 24 matter. I'm solely looking at what was 25 put into those categories and then what

Page 93 1 **KELLER** categories Uber told the public about. 2 3 Do you know as you sit here today as an expert whether there exists any 4 superior taxonomy for sexual assault and 5 sexual misconduct than the one that Uber 6 has utilized? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I'm not offering an 9 10 opinion on that so... BY MS. LEVY: 11 12 You're not offering any marketing Q. opinions in this case; correct? 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know what 15 16 you mean by marketing. BY MS. LEVY: 17 18 Ο. You're not an expert in 19 marketing, are you? 20 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: To the extent that 22 marketing is reflected in the number 23 of Uber trips that people take, I offer opinions on that but outside of 24 25 that context, I don't offer opinions

Page 94 1 KELLER on marketing. 2 BY MS. LEVY: 3 Do you consider yourself an 4 5 expert in marketing? Simple question. I offer opinions on the data that 6 Α. 7 Uber had in its reports. That's my area 8 of expertise and more broadly I define 9 that as data mining and analytics or just 10 data analytics. 11 MS. LEVY: What I want is a yes 12 or no to this question and if we can't 13 one, Beth, we're going to have to 14 unfortunately take a break and get 15 Cisneros on the line. If I can't get 16 an answer on whether she is an expert 17 or isn't an expert in a particular 18 area, we're going to need to get some help from the court. I want to know 19 20 if you're able to say --21 MS. WILKINS: (Inaudible) the 22 answers the best she can give it. I don't know how you define marketing so 23 24 she's trying to guess at what you mean 25 by marketing and she's giving you the

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

		Page	95
1	KELLER		
2	best answer that she can.		
3	BY MS. LEVY:		
4	Q. Do you consider yourself to be an		
5	expert in marketing, that's all I want to		
6	know?		
7	A. I'm an expert in data mining and		
8	analytics and to the extent that there's		
9	data reflecting marketing, I hold myself		
LO	out as an expert in that context.		
L1	Q. Is there data reflecting		
L2	marketing that you've reviewed?		
L3	A. I mean Uber advertises. One		
L4	would assume I analyze the trip volume,		
L5	for example, and Uber does marketing. So		
L6	if marketing influences, and I'm not		
L7	saying that it does or doesn't, but in the		
L8	event that that influences trip volume,		
L9	then I offer opinions on trip volumes		
20	because I calculate data in that data		
21	those data points.		
22	Q. Have you reviewed Uber's		
23	advertising?		
24	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.		
25	THE WITNESS: I've reviewed a lot		

Page 96 1 KELLER of internal documents, especially in 2 3 part of my S-RAD review. BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Are you going to offer any Ο. opinions that there's anything wrong with 6 Uber's advertising? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I think it's very 10 clear at this point that my report talks about the data that Uber has, 11 12 what it did with it and what it told 13 the public. That's the extent of my 14 opinions at this time. BY MS. LEVY: 15 16 Okay. You do not intend to offer any opinions about safer alternatives to 17 18 Uber; correct? We've talked about that before. 19 Α. 20 That's not part of my analysis in this 21 report. 22 You do not intend to offer any 23 opinions about additional technology that Uber could have or should have utilized, 24 25 that's not opinions or work that you've

		Page 97
1	KELLER	
2	prepared for this case; correct?	
3	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
4	THE WITNESS: I don't know what	
5	you mean by additional technology they	
6	could have used. What are you talking	
7	about?	
8	BY MS. LEVY:	
9	Q. That was a bad question. Let me	
LO	ask a better question. You offer no	
L1	opinions on dash cams, for example?	
L2	A. To the effect I would say this	
L3	is similar to the marketing answer. To	
L4	the extent that those dash cams may impact	
L5	the safety report numbers that I analyze,	
L6	I offer opinions in that context.	
L7	Q. What have you studied about how	
L8	dash cams impact the numbers in the safety	
L9	report? Tell me what you know about that.	
20	A. I'm saying if it is reflected in	
21	that data, that's what I'm analyzing.	
22	Q. Is it reflected in that data?	
23	A. That's not I haven't looked at	
24	the causal part of that but if it is	
25	reflected in the data, then that's what	

Page 98 1 KELLER I'm analyzing. Uber has a number of 2 3 safety policies that is enacted. Whether or not those are reflected in the volumes 4 5 of the safety data reports, that's the contours of my analysis. 6 7 You don't intend to offer any Ο. 8 opinions about Uber's safety policies and 9 their content or what Uber should have 10 done, you are limiting your opinions to the data, if I understand you correctly. 11 12 I think talking about what Uber Α. 13 knew, what it did with that data and what 14 it told the public is core to my opinions. 15 What they should have done I would say is 16 very similar to other cases that we've 17 been in depositions before is not 18 something that I'm offering an opinion on at this time. 19 20 You also are not offering an Ο. opinion on any kind of standard of care or 21 22 legal duties; correct? 23 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 24 calls for legal opinions. THE WITNESS: I don't know what 25

	Page 99
1	KELLER
2	you mean by standard of care. Are we
3	talking about medicine, are we talking
4	about
5	BY MS. LEVY:
6	Q. Standard of care that may or may
7	not apply to Uber, you're not offering my
8	opinions on that topic; correct?
9	MS. WILKINS: Same objections.
10	THE WITNESS: It's the same
11	answer as the others. I'm offering
12	opinions on what Uber what data
13	Uber had, what it did with that data
14	and what it told the public with that
15	data. There's a number of contours in
16	my report that discuss that. That's
17	my opinions.
18	BY MS. LEVY:
19	Q. In your work prior to today, you
20	have never developed a tool to study
21	sexual assault and sexual misconduct, have
22	you?
23	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
24	THE WITNESS: In many of my
25	cases, in the work that I do, the

Page 100 1 KELLER thing that is core is the data that 2 I'm analyzing. That's the consistent 3 piece. I often, whether it's Airbnb 4 to gun trafficking to opioids, the 5 subject matter is part of the data but 6 7 the data itself is my expertise. How 8 to -- my methodology to analyze it and 9 that's -- and the tools that I use to 10 analyze it, that's the expertise that 11 I bring. 12 BY MS. LEVY: 13 The invoices that were produced Ο. 14 to us, I don't know if it was last night 15 or this morning, go through I think 16 October of this year. The last one -- the 17 first one that we have starts in July of 2024 and the last invoice that we have is 18 19 dated October 13, 2025. Is that the 20 complete set of invoices, if there are 21 monthly invoices in between there? 22 That as far as I'm aware are the

A. That as far as I'm aware are the complete set. We bill monthly so the October invoice would reflect time up until the end of September.

23

24

25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 101 1 KELLER And there are other individuals 2 3 besides you that worked on this matter at your directions at MK Analytics; correct? 4 5 They worked exclusively under my direction. 6 And how many other individuals do Ο. that? 8 9 We have a large staff that some 10 staff that will come in and out depending on the case. 11 12 So what is -- how many Q. individuals have worked on the Uber matter 13 with you? 14 15 There's probably two to four 16 people that worked on the litigation -that worked on the litigation under my 17 direction for a number of months. I also 18 asked one or two individuals to review 19 20 some of the -- some of my code just as a 21 check but that was very limited. 22 Is all the work done by those 23 individuals reflected in the invoices? That's what I'm getting at. 24

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

25

Α.

Yes.

Page 102 1 KELLER 2 Okay. Our totals show that Ο. 3 MK Analytics has -- these invoices when you add them up reflect roughly 2600 hours 4 and 1.1 million dollars. Does that sound 5 6 about right to you? 7 That sounds right. We spent a 8 long time going through the numerous 9 productions from Uber on the safety data 10 and the various interrogatory responses. 11 And so going through those productions and

happened, the incompleteness of some, they are missing fields, that has taken a considerable amount of time.

noting the inconsistencies that have

Q. Is the work reflected in these invoices that is being done at your direction, is that the only work that MK Analytics has been hired to do in connection with the Uber litigation? Do you understand the question?

A. I do and I'm not sure if I can answer it because I'm not sure if it's -- if consulting witnesses count or --

Q. I don't want you -- I don't want

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 103 1 **KELLER** you to tell me any information beyond a 2 3 yes or no, but has MK Analytics been hired to do consulting work in addition to the 4 work you are doing as a testifying expert in the litigation? It's just a yes or no. 6 7 So the invoices you see reflect 8 my time as the consulting expert and 9 testifying expert on the case. They don't reflect any other division's work that I 10 don't oversee. 11 12 Q. Okay. 13 MS. WILKINS: I don't think you guys are understanding each other. I 14 don't want to coach but I think 15 16 there's a disconnect here. 17 MS. LEVY: I think you're 18 saying --19 MS. WILKINS: I'm not trying to 20 coach Lacey, I really think that you two are having a disconnect on what 21 22 you're talking about. 23 MS. LEVY: Yeah, I think (inaudible). 24 25 MS. WILKINS: I think you're

	Page 104
1	KELLER
2	asking Lacey is there any work in this
3	litigation that's not reflected in
4	these invoice.
5	MS. LEVY: Yes, that's what I'm
6	trying to decipher.
7	THE WITNESS: My team's work is
8	reflected in the invoices you have.
9	BY MS. LEVY:
10	Q. Is there any other work done in
11	this litigation by MK Analytics outside of
12	you and your team?
13	A. That's the part I don't know if
14	there is consulting.
15	MS. WILKINS: This is
16	MK Analytics.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes. Do the
18	invoices all say data mining and
19	analytics? What are the headers on
20	them? My admin sent them so I haven't
21	seen them.
22	BY MS. LEVY:
23	Q. MK Analytics billed to Peiffer
24	Wolf Carr, project Uber Lacey Keller, it
25	has all different descriptions of people,

Page 105 1 **KELLER** 2 so owner is one type of person, engineer 3 data scientist is another type of person, and I can see that there are different 4 levels of employees being billed. But my 5 6 question is: Is there more work by other people like MK Analytics that is not on 8 your team, it's a separate engagement 9 related to this litigation? 10 And this is the part I'm not sure Α. if I can talk about but I do know that 11 12 another person was retained for a brief 13 period of time but I don't think those --I don't know -- if you put the invoices 14 up, I can tell you if they are my 15 16 divisions or hers, but you'll probably see two invoices for the same month if it's 17 18 her division. Does that make sense 19 because we bill them separately. 20 0. Has the person who does images 21 for sexual assault victims that we were 22 talking about earlier in Exhibit 5, is 23 that person maybe engaged to do a separate 24 analysis for this litigation? 25 Α. I was not privy to that

Page 106 1 **KELLER** engagement because we draw a clear line so 2 3 I don't know. Okay. And is there -- I assume 4 Ο. 5 if I have a set of invoices from July of 2024 up through mid October, that that 6 would be a complete set of invoices minus 8 the last couple of weeks which is not 9 reflected here; is that right? 10 Of my group's, yes, and my directed time. 11 12 Okay. Let's see. Have you ever Q. 13 published any publication with your name on it that relates to sexual assault or 14 misconduct? 15 16 I have made a number of contributions to the field of data mining 17 18 and analytics or just data analytics through publications and those are all 19 20 reflected in my qualifications document. 21 I don't see anything listed in 22 your qualifications document that includes 23 in the titles anything that says sexual assault or sexual misconduct. So there 24 25 was nothing that I saw that appeared to be

Page 107 1 **KELLER** 2 addressing that specific issue. Is there 3 something that I'm missing? You have a complete list of my 4 Α. publications in my qualifications document. 6 Ο. Okay. And as you sit here today, 8 can you think of any publication that I 9 could pull that talks about sexual assault and sexual misconduct? 10 11 Α. I have a number of publications 12 to my name in the field of data analytics. 13 Some of the subject matters are varied 14 from cannabis research all the way to qun 15 research to generally using data in 16 litigation. That's the publications that 17 I've offered or that I've published. And are there -- I think the 18 Q. 19 answer is no, but are there any that you 20 published that address the specific issue 21 of sexual assault and sexual misconduct? 22 The list of publications is in my 23 Appendix C and they -- the core feature of 24 them is data and the subject matters are 25 there. So it's from data generally in

Page 108 1 KELLER 2 litigation and how do use it to cannabis 3 to I think there was even one on drugs on Instagram. 4 Is the answer to whether there's 5 Ο. one that specifically addresses sexual 6 assault, is the answer to that no? 8 I would say the answer is I have 9 a number of publications on data analytics 10 which is my area of expertise and that's what my publications reflect. 11 12 MS. LEVY: Can you mark that in 13 the transcript? BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 Let's go to -- back to your 16 report, tab 1 for Exhibit 1. 17 If you can in -- I know we're 18 very short on time and so I want to try to 19 be efficient here. Can you give me an 20 overall description of how you prepared 21 the data, like what work did your team do 22 in this, you know, 2600 hours with the 23 actual date? Can you just describe in lay 24 person's terms briefly what that looked 25 like?

Page 109

KELLER

A. Yeah, so are you wanting me about all the data that was produced? Because of the numerous reproductions of data that might be a fairly lengthy answer.

Q. Good point. Let me ask a better question. Backing one step, when I look at Appendix A to your report, we don't need to pull it up but as I'm just going to ask you a general question, we can pull it up if we need to. But you make a statement there that says, "In my opinion, data mining and analytics often overlaps with data science."

That I just want to know what you meant by that sentence. Is data mining and analytics a subset of data science or are they different areas of expertise that are overlapping, which is what I think the sentence suggests?

- A. I view them as areas of expertise that overlap like two concentric circles.
 - Q. Are you a data scientist?
- A. Sometimes, yes, I am a data scientist and sometimes I'm a data

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 110 1 KELLER 2 analyst. 3 Ο. And this might not be the way that you say it in your business but and 4 5 sometimes you're a data miner? Data mining is more for like, 6 Α. 7 especially when I was at the New York 8 Attorney General's office, when I am doing 9 investigative leads for something, helping 10 to prioritize the agency's resources. In this case, is it fair to 11 Ο. 12 describe the work that you and your team 13 have done as data analytics? 14 Correct. Data analytics is the 15 work that I've done in this case and very 16 similar to what I've done in other cases where I've been admitted as an expert in 17 18 data analytics. 19 And is it -- would you also say Ο. 20 that you have done data science in this 21 case or is that a different thing in your 22 mind? 23 This is more descriptive 24 analytics or data analytics. I am not 25 building machine-learning algorithms in

Page 111

KELLER

this case which would be I would say similar to the work of a data scientist, but data scientists will often do analytics as part of their job. So you see how they can be intertwined with one another. But I have been offered and admitted as an expert in data analytics before and that's similar to the role that I'm playing here.

- Q. Thank you, I appreciate that clarification. And whether you've done data science in this case, maybe, it just depends on how you describe that, is that right, or would you say no to that?
- A. It's -- the fields are related.

 Like data science tools, so I would say people in the world may think of an analyst using Excel spreadsheets. I employed some of that in my work here but I also used the tools that one might consider to be under a data scientist, which is Python and some high-powered coding so they are related.
 - Q. But you haven't done data mining

Page 112 1 KELLER 2 in connection with your work for this 3 case? Α. Correct, I am not looking for 4 particular actors. I'm doing descriptive analytics of the data Uber had in its 6 possession and produced in this 8 litigation. 9 Q. Moving to your report, do you 10 have it in front of you? Α. 11 Yes. 12 You describe on page 10 in 13 section IV of your report materials 14 considered and you also list in Appendix G 15 of your report documents and materials 16 considered: correct? 17 Yes, these are -- both are the 18 comprehensive list of materials considered in my report. 19 20 And Appendix G of your report is 21 160 pages, a single space list of 22 materials considered; right? 23 Mine must be formatted slightly 24 differently so I don't know the page 25 count.

Page 113 1 **KELLER** Okay. My question about that is: 2 3 Did you review every single document on this list? 4 5 Α. I did -- I reviewed many documents, probably just a number of 6 documents in this litigation from the 8 defendants -- I'm sorry, not the 9 defendants. From the plaintiffs' trips 10 and the data that was produced around those drivers and those trips, some of the 11 12 sampling documents. I know those were 13 pretty voluminous as well as documents 14 related to S-RAD just to name few. I know 15 I did a lot of searches on the software 16 that was holding the production because I 17 did have complete access to it. But just 18 like any search, like some of the documents that I reviewed, I reviewed 19 20 I opened them, it was not quickly. relevant and I moved on, but all documents 21 that I considered and reviewed are in that 22 23 list. 24 So if a document appears on 25 Appendix G, you've at least looked at it?

	Page 114
1	KELLER
2	A. Me or someone from my team, yes.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	Okay. And you reviewed all three
5	U.S. safety reports as well; is that
6	correct?
7	A. Yes, and I have copies of them
8	with me.
9	Q. Did you review those cover to
LO	cover or did you just do keyword searches
L1	in those?
L2	A. I've reviewed them a few times.
L3	When I first read them and a few times
L4	I've read them pretty much cover to cover,
L5	especially I would say I was reviewing
L6	most closely the sections on sexual
L7	assault or the information that Uber
L8	produces on sexual assault and the
L9	appendices that are relevant to that
20	analysis.
21	Q. I want to turn to page 17 of your
22	report, paragraph 19.6. Tell me when
23	you're with me.
24	A. Okay.
25	Q. 19.6 says, "There are additional

Page 115 1 **KELLER** 2 analyses pertinent to my opinions that I 3 would like to perform using the SA/SM incident data, however, the data as 4 5 produced appears incomplete and contains inconsistencies." 6 7 And then the next sentence says 8 that in order to run those additional 9 analyses, you would have to use your 10 professional judgment to address gaps and inconsistencies. 11 12 And you go on to say, "It is more 13 appropriate to conduct my analyses on data 14 that is as complete and accurate as 15 possible." 16 What does that -- do those 17 sentences mean? 18 Α. So what I'm referring to there is Uber's production of the Bliss and Jira 19 20 datasets. There were fields in that data 21 that were -- that had inconsistencies is 22 the right word, they differed from what 23 they purported to be. They had some 24 missingness or reflected something other 25 than what Uber had reported that dataset

Page 116

KELLER

to contain. And what I wanted to -- what I am reserving the right to here is relating for the Flack data which based on my review of the field list had fields that would remedy some of those assumptions that I would have to make otherwise.

- Q. Why is it more appropriate to conduct an analysis on data that's as complete and accurate as possible, why is that?
- A. It was clear to me at the point of filing this report that Uber had in its possession data that -- sorry. It was clear to me at the point in filing this report that based off the field list that there may be something in the Flack data that may assist me in my review of the Bliss and Jira data or replace the Bliss and Jira data. I'm not sure at this time what methodology I might use or which datasets I might use, but what I'm saying here is there was additional data that it appeared that Uber had in its possession

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 117 1 **KELLER** 2 that it had not provided that would fill 3 in those places where I may need to have made assumptions. 4 In doing any data analytics, it's Ο. 6 just common sense that you want the dataset to be as complete and accurate as 8 possible, that's like a fundamental 9 premise of data analytics; correct? 10 When you're working with data you Α. have to understand what's included in the 11 12 data and what's not included in the data. And it's better to conduct an 13 Ο. 14 analysis on data that's as complete and 15 accurate as possible; right?

A. When you're working with data you have to understand its limitations. And at the point of filing this report and saying this statement, I'm talking about knowing that there are other fields that Uber had in its possession that may inform my opinions with regard to the data that it had already produced.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I've heard and I think we talked about this in a different litigation,

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 118 1 KELLER sometimes in data science or data 2 3 analytics, you hear garbage in, garbage The quality of the output, what I 4 5 assume in lay person's terms that means is that the better quality of the data you 6 7 put into a dataset, the higher quality of 8 the output of the study of that data. Do 9 you agree with that? 10 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: So are you talking 12 about a general principle here or are 13 you talking --BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 Ο. Just generally. 16 So generally you can say different things about data. 17 datasets come with limitations. 18 datasets don't come with limitations. 19 20 Some datasets are -- it really is case 21 specific when you're talking about data. 22 So that is a phrase I've heard before but 23 I don't know how you're applying it 24 specifically in this litigation. 25 Q. Paragraph 26 of your report you

Page 119 1 KELLER say that "The procedures I used are based 2 on direct and verifiable calculations. 3 such, a potential error rate or confidence 4 5 interval is not applicable." 6 Can you explain to me what you mean by that? 8 I mean that I'm doing that and 9 like addition, subtraction averages, I'm 10 not making estimations, I'm not doing 11 That is the type of analysis. sampling. 12 I'm not -- that would require confidence 13 intervals. So when you're doing simple 14 addition or descriptive statistics, that's 15 not applicable here. 16 Okay. I appreciate it. So you 17 tabulated numbers, you averaged numbers 18 that you got out of these different datasets but you haven't done 19 20 extrapolations or other kind of modeling on the data; is that fair? 21 22 Similar to the work that I've Α. 23 done in other litigation where I've been 24 deemed an expert, I'm doing the same thing 25 here, is I'm summing, averaging, showing

Page 120 1 KELLER 2 descriptive analytics, a longitudinal 3 study -- not longitudinal studies -- time based analysis, that's a better way to say 4 5 that, of the data that was produced specifically from the Flack interrogatory 6 response. 8 And that takes me back to the 9 topic of underreporting that we talked 10 about earlier. This is an example of you 11 did not attempt to extrapolate an actual number of potential assaults from the data 12 that exists, you merely looked at the data 13 that exists: true? 14 I showed the data that Uber had 15 16 in its possession, how it categorized it 17 and what it produced in this litigation. 18 Q. If you had attempted to calculate a different number, extrapolated a 19 20 different number, then you would have 21 needed to do what you said in 26, which is 22 calculate an error rate or a confidence 23 interval; right? 24 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: That's a

Page 121 1 KELLER theoretical different analysis that I 2 3 didn't do, so I don't know what I would need to do to support an 4 analysis that I haven't done, so I would just be guessing of what that 6 7 would even look like. 8 BY MS. LEVY: 9 In reading or reviewing the 10 materials that are listed in the Appendix 11 G, did you run across the concept of 12 support abuse or fraud in reporting? 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: Again, I've read a 15 lot of documents as evidenced there. 16 So you're asking me if I read any documents that talk about false 17 18 reports or --BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 Ο. Yeah. 21 So based on the documents I've 22 reviewed, I've seen Uber's statements and 23 this is a statement that they made to the 24 public about that not being an issue 25 because they view -- they treat or they --

Page 122 1 KELLER 2 I think what's the phrase that they use, we believe victims. And so to the extent 3 that I've read that, I'm not sure if 4 that's what you're trying to get at here. 6 Q. That's part of it. If you were to calculate -- let me back up. 8 In the Flack data that you 9 reviewed that you talk about in your 10 opinions, those are reports that came in 11 from complainants; correct? 12 So we're talking about the Flack Α. 13 interrogatory response? 14 The data that was provided in 15 response to that, yeah. 16 So that, yeah, the data in that 17 response is totaled by month and by 18 category that Uber had put that report 19 into, whatever category it had decided it 20 was appropriate to put into. 21 And you didn't look at any 22 qualitative analysis of the underlying 23 reports, you didn't look at the individual 24 reports themselves, you just looked at the 25 data compilation; true?

Page 123 1 KELLER 2 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 3 THE WITNESS: In filing the charts and figures in this report I 4 had to tabulate the volumes that were 5 in that interrogatory response. 6 7 However, in my driver response --8 driver profiles which discuss the 9 bellwether plaintiffs trips, as well as some work that I had done 10 preliminarily to review the safety 11 data for its -- in its various 12 productions before, I also did review 13 specific Jira tickets and Bliss 14 15 messages. 16 BY MS. LEVY: In order if you were going to --17 18 I understand you did not calculate the extent to which sexual assault might have 19 20 been underreported on Uber, you're taking 21 Uber's statement on that and I understand 22 But if you were to calculate it, 23 you would have to consider underreporting 24 and overreporting or false reporting, you 25 would have to consider both things, would

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 124
1	KELLER
2	you not?
3	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
4	THE WITNESS: I'm not offering an
5	opinion on that so I haven't come
6	prepared to discuss that so I don't
7	want to theorize on an opinion that
8	I'm not offering.
9	BY MS. LEVY:
10	Q. But you do understand from that
11	Flack data you looked at are allegations,
12	they are not necessarily litigated or
13	fully investigated or the outcome of
14	investigations, that much you know from
15	the review of the data and the information
16	provided by Uber; true?
17	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
18	THE WITNESS: I'm taking Uber at
19	its word a few times here. One is
20	that they believe victims and they
21	count every report and that's what
22	they say in the safety report, and
23	two, I'm taking Uber at its
24	representation when I'm calculating
25	using the Flack rog response that

Page 125 1 KELLER these are complete tabulations of the 2 data that it has received. 3 BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Let's flip to opinion 1 on page This is -- I'm now looking at the 6 summary of opinion 1 starting with 8 paragraph 28 -- sorry, 27. 27 A you 9 note --10 Α. Sorry, I thought you meant the 11 summary like on page 8. 12 No, I'm sorry, I meant the Q. 13 heading and the subheading. Tell me when you're with me. 14 15 Α. I am there now. 16 From the Flack data, your Ο. 17 subheading A says "From 2017 through 2024, Uber tracked 546,196 incidents of sexual 18 assault and sexual misconduct." 19 You arrived at that number from 20 21 tabulating individual numbers of incidents 22 from the Flack data; correct? 23 This is from the Flack 24 interrogatory responses, not the Flack 25 data that was produced last week. That

Page 126 1 **KELLER** 2 would have not been possible since it was 3 produced last week. So this is a summary of the Flack interrogatory response that 4 Uber provided prior to filing my report. And that data according to 6 Ο. paragraph 27 covers a time period from 8 2017 through 2024; correct? 9 Uber produced that data broken 10 down by month and by taxonomy like the 11 subcategories what we can refer to that as by month for those years, 2017, so January 12 13 2017 through December 2024. 14 And when you use that time 15 period, you're referring to a mix of 16 audited and unaudited data; right? 17 Α. Do you have the interrogatory 18 response so I can review how Uber refers to the audit process? 19 20 I do have that and we can pull it Q. 21 up in a minute but the question is: 22 you know as you're sitting here for what 23 period of time that is reflected in 24 paragraph 27, 2017 through 2024, has the

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

data been audited by Uber's auditors, do

25

	Page 127
1	KELLER
2	you know that?
3	A. The data came with a number of
4	caveats so I would like to refresh my
5	recollection on that response because I
6	remember one dataset had one set of
7	caveats and when they produced the Bliss
8	and Jira data I think related to a certain
9	set of facts and the Flack data related to
LO	others. What the auditing doesn't change
L1	the volume of reports that Uber produced
L2	in those records. This is merely this
L3	is just showing what's in those records,
L4	totaled up across all months across all
L5	taxonomies.
L6	Q. (Inaudible)?
L7	THE WITNESS: I think you muted
L8	yourself somehow.
L9	BY MS. LEVY:
20	Q. Data through 2022 has been
21	subject to an Uber U.S. safety report.
22	Are you aware of that?
23	A. Yes, I'm aware that Uber has
24	released reports from 2017 to 2018, '19
25	and '20, '21 and '22.

Page 128

KELLER

Q. But Uber has not released a report for the later data, '23 and '24, at this time; correct?

- A. I have not seen a report from Uber yet, and so that is actually the analysis -- part of the analysis that I show in my report is to show what numbers exist since the filing of the last safety report, what has been shown in '23 and '24.
- Q. Do you know as you sit here today whether the last two years have been audited in the same way that the 2017 through 2022 data was?
- A. So again, I know that the Flack data comes with some I would say however caveats that Uber wanted to put on that data, but what doesn't change is the volume of reports that Uber categorized into those categories. The audit process is Uber holds out as a reason for why it only produces and publishes, I should say, data on a subset of categories, but in reading the appendices of the reports, it

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 129 1 **KELLER** 2 appears that there are a larger volume of categories that are audited than what are 3 disclosed in the safety reports. 4 The Flack data that is reflected 5 Ο. in your opinion 1 is all of the 6 categories, it's not a limited set but 8 it's every single category of every single 9 incident that Uber classifies under sexual assault and sexual misconduct; is that 10 right? Do you agree? 11 12 Α. It reflects all of those that are under sexual assault and sexual 13 14 misconduct. There are other categories that I know that Uber has taxonomies on 15 16 but these are the ones that I understand to be complete for those two categories, 17 sexual assault and sexual misconduct. 18 19 And that includes varying levels Ο. 20 of severity. Do you agree? 21 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: So in the safety 23 reports, Uber lists in order of severity what it thinks are most 24 25 severe to least severe, with respect

	Page 130
1	KELLER
2	to two categories, insufficient
3	information and paired category use
4	tracking.
5	BY MS. LEVY:
6	Q. Do you disagree that some of
7	these categories are more severe than
8	other categories?
9	MS. WILKINS: Object to form,
10	asked and answered.
11	THE WITNESS: I don't offer any
12	opinions on I don't offer an
13	opinion on the severity order. I
14	offer opinions on the data that Uber
15	classified into those categories and
16	what each category shows if shown
17	together, broken out, et cetera as
18	I've demonstrated in my report.
19	BY MS. LEVY:
20	Q. Did you read any of the
21	underlying incidents that make up these
22	546,000 incidents?
23	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.
24	THE WITNESS: Again, I think I've
25	said that in reviewing the documents

Page 131 1 KELLER in this litigation specifically, the 2 plaintiffs' trips as well as some of 3 the sampling attachments and other 4 documents, I have read Jira tickets as well as the documents themselves --6 7 I'm sorry, the Jira tickets, then the Bliss tickets and documents of those 8 9 Bliss and Jira tickets. BY MS. LEVY: 10 But you didn't do any systematic 11 Ο. 12 review to categorize them yourself; right? 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I think we've 14 talked about this before. I'm using 15 16 the categories that Uber has applied to this data and specifically in the 17 Flack data, Uber's tabulations and 18 de-duplications of those categories, 19 20 those incidents under each of those 21 subcategories. BY MS. LEVY: 22 23 And you're not offering any 24 opinion that Uber did that incorrectly or 25 got it wrong; correct?

Page 132

KELLER

- A. What do you mean by got it wrong, like that they misclassified them or that they did the math wrong?
 - O. Either.

- A. I'm showing what Uber put into those documents or into that interrogatory response, the numbers within those, so I'm taking Uber at its word that they had done that correctly when showing my analysis.
- Q. And you understand that some of the incidents that are covered here, in fact, many of the incidents covered here include things like staring, looking at me, giving me a dirty look or making comments or gestures, you're aware of that; right?

MS. WILKINS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm aware of those incidents being potential precursors which is a word that Uber uses to sexual assault. And so I have looked at those, all of the incidents because some of those Uber has in its own documents determined to be precursors

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 133 1 KELLER of additional misconduct later on. 2 BY MS. LEVY: 3 When you talk about precursor, 4 Ο. you are relying on a single document that has -- that contains that word that you 6 cite in footnote 85 of this report, 8 correct, paragraph 51? Heading B? 9 Yes, so the word precursor is 10 from that e-mail but then a number of the 11 inputs that are in that section come 12 directly from Uber internal documents and there's a number of documents that discuss 13 14 proximity to bars, whether intoxication, 15 the time of day as all potential 16 indicators of sexual assault. And those, 17 many of those, if not all, are a part of 18 the S-RAD model that it does use to, 19 quote, prevent sexual assaults. 20 MS. LEVY: Let's pull up tab 45, 21 please. 22 BY MS. LEVY: 23 And if we go to paragraph 51, 24 you're talking about precursor. I just 25 want to make sure we're clear about what

Page 134 1 KELLER 2 we're talking about here. Let's go to 51 3 on page 36 of your report but we can -let me pull that up first. If you can 4 zoom in a little bit, in the heading you say, Uber identified male drivers and 6 female riders as well as a proximity to 8 the pick-up of a bar as, quote, precursors 9 to sexual assault, and there you cite 10 footnote 85. Are you with me? A. 11 Yes. 12 And for that you cite JCCP MDL 000356814. 13 Α. Okay. 14 15 MR. LEVY: And let's pull that 16 document up. It's tab 45. (Exhibit 8, document Bates 17 18 labeled UBER JCCP MDL 000356814, marked for identification.) 19 20 BY MS. LEVY: 21 And this is the document that is 22 your source for Uber referring to male 23 drivers, female riders and proximity of 24 bars as precursor. This is where the word 25 precursor comes from, is that correct,

Page 135 1 KELLER this is what you cite? 2 3 Α. These inputs -- hang on one Sorry. second. 4 5 MS. LEVY: Can you go to the next page, Bill? 6 7 My first question is: Is this Q. 8 the document you're referring to when you 9 refer to precursor? This is the document where that 10 Α. phrase is utilized by Uber and so that's 11 12 why it's cited specifically on that word, 13 but these inputs are a number -- these inputs are used in the S-RAD model as well 14 15 which is the machine-learning algorithm 16 that Uber uses to, quote, prevent sexual 17 assaults. 18 Q. What does precursor mean to you? 19 Again, if I'm using their term to Α. 20 describe this set of inputs, but these are 21 indicators that Uber have found to be 22 indicative of potential sexual assault as 23 evidenced by even using it in the S-RAD model and well, in other documents in its 24 25 production.

Page 136

KELLER

- Q. And just to make sure that we're on the same page, it certainly doesn't mean that if a male driver has a female rider in the car, that there's going to be a sexual assault, that's not what it means that it's a precursor?
- A. This document shows that they have found patterns, they call it patterns and precursors, among the data Uber has been collecting and this is how Uber -- I can't even remember, one of the engineers or data scientists or analysts, whatever their job title is, what they have found to be in their own sexual assault data, what patterns and precursors is the words that they use.
- Q. In the documents that you reviewed, you've seen a lot of documents where Uber data teams are studying the issue of sexual assault; true? You've looked at many, many, many documents. In fact, there -- would you guess hundreds or thousands of documents that you've seen that relate to Uber's study of this issue?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 137 1 KELLER 2 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 3 THE WITNESS: I've seen a lot of documents where Uber has discussed 4 this internally but I haven't seen them disclose the types of knowledge 6 7 that they know or the types of 8 information that they have gleaned 9 from this publicly. They have chosen 10 to produce that information in just one metric or two metrics if you look 11 12 at total and per trip volume. BY MS. LEVY: 13 Do you -- do you understand from 14 15 your review of all of the documents that 16 you list in Exhibit G what in terms of magnitude, period of time has Uber been 17 18 studying the issue of sexual assault, for what period of time, how many years? 19 20 Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 21 THE WITNESS: I have seen 22 documents -- I've seen a lot of documents. I think that earliest ones 23 that I've seen are in reference to 24 25 these patterns specifically are around

Page 138 1 KELLER 2 late 2016, 2017, somewhere around 3 there. I would have to look at specific -- all the specific documents 4 to be sure but about those years. BY MS. LEVY: 6 And you understand from your Q. 8 review of the documents in this case that 9 Uber has devoted many, many scientists and researchers to the effort to study 10 patterns related to sexual assault and 11 12 sexual misconduct --13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 -- that you learned in your 16 review of this case, yes? Many of the documents that I have 17 reviewed tend to focus on the -- tend to 18 be authored or have the same set of 19 20 individuals. I don't recall their job 21 titles but what my point in drawing the connections with those data points are is 22 23 Uber has this knowledge internally and 24 they even use it to put and build S-RAD 25 inputs and then they don't tell the public

Page 139 1 **KELLER** 2 about any of these particular indicators. 3 The safety report reflects only one subset of that knowledge. 4 5 My question to you is not about Ο. safety reports -- about the public 6 disclosure. We're going to talk about 8 that separately. My question to you is: 9 Do you know how much time, how much 10 resources Uber has devoted to studying the issue of sexual assault and sexual 11 misconduct? 12 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 Is that something you know? Ο. 16 The documents that I reviewed talked about the results of those studies 17 18 and what Uber knew about its own data and 19 that's my opinion about -- and I bring 20 those opinions because I'm contrasting 21 that to what it's telling the public. I 22 don't recall reading documents that 23 totaled machine-learning hours spent or 24 data scientists, you know, time sheets. You don't know how much effort 25 Q.

Page 140 1 KELLER 2 Uber does put into developing the S-RAD 3 technology, that's not something you were asked to look into; correct? 4 I know Uber has been developing S-RAD for a number of years and only 6 recently released it. 8 And you also haven't studied the 9 effectiveness of S-RAD, that's not 10 something that you are asked to do as part of your analysis in this case; correct? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I offer several 13 14 opinions on S-RAD and including the 15 volumes of trips that it excludes 16 through holdup backs, which is the groups that it uses and doesn't do any 17 18 interventions or doesn't do any 19 sorting. And I also offer opinions on 20 data -- well, offer opinions on what Uber has tabulated to be 21 22 which are when the program has not --23 has dispatched a trip that had a 24 sexual assault -- I'm sorry, I 25 misspoke --

Page 141 1 KELLER 2 3 I offer those opinions on the program. 4 BY MS. LEVY: 5 So you have not studied how 6 effective the tool is, what incidents 8 S-RAD may be preventing or not preventing, 9 that's outside the scope of the work you've done for this case; correct? 10 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I think you might 13 be misunderstanding me. I'm offering 14 opinions that Uber excludes 15 of trips from its algorithm because it holds them in a hold back group. I'm 16 17 offering opinions that Uber excludes 18 on the whole or on average, whatever term we want to use, of 19 of trips because they are not above 20 the self-inflicted threshold. And I'm 21 offering opinions that 22 23 They are above the threshold that Uber set in S-RAD 24 25 within its, quote, guardrail metrics,

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 142 1 KELLER so I do offer those opinions. 2 BY MS. LEVY: 3 And your opinions are limited 4 0. 5 just to the numbers that fall in those categories, that's what's in your report, 6 as opposed to what Uber should do that or 8 what a better way to do it would be, that 9 is not part of your opinions; am I correct about that? 10 11 Α. A better way to build the model? 12 I'm sorry, I just don't quite understand. 13 Ο. Yes. You are not offering any 14 better way to build the S-RAD model; 15 correct? 16 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. I'm offering 17 THE WITNESS: 18 opinions describing the S-RAD model 19 much like I would teach my students 20 that I teach college -- in college classes. I'm describing the S-RAD 21 22 model to the court in a way that it is 23 understandable and that we can understand how it functions. I am not 24 25 offering a critique of the model or in

Page 143 1 KELLER a way that it should be built in a 2 3 different machine-learning algorithm, for example. I'm not offering that 4 opinion. I'm offering opinions on 5 what data points were included and you 6 7 can see those in one of my appendices, 8 but I -- and I'm offering opinions on 9 what wasn't included but I'm not 10 offering opinions on what Uber should have done, I'm just offering opinions 11 12 on what could have been the case given the data that was available to Uber. 13 BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 Okay. So it is not part of your 16 opinion -- I need to know what you intend to say at trial. You're not offering an 17 18 opinion that Uber should not have holdouts or should set thresholds in a different 19 20 That's outside of what you're doing in this case; correct? 21 22 I'm offering opinions on what 23 those holdouts are and what the thresholds 24 were and the implications on trips and 25 some exemplars of what that looks like so

Page 144

KELLER

that the court can understand those concepts.

- Q. And you have not formulated opinions and you are not intending to present them at this trial at better thresholds or a better way to operate the model, that's not what you're offering opinions on in this case; true?
- A. I'm offering opinions that Uber had knowledge that setting -- they use the term trigger rates which correspond to a threshold, what volume of sexual assaults they would predict, kind of paraphrasing from documents there, I do offer those opinions in my report and that's based off of the knowledge that Uber had.
- Q. But again, I want to be very, very clear on this, your analysis of what the data says and what Uber saw, not what it should have done or not the way Lacey Keller believes it should be done different. The second part is not part of your opinions in this case? I think you may have said that but I want to be very

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 145 1 KELLER clear about that. 2 3 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. I'm offering THE WITNESS: 4 5 opinions on the inputs that are in S-RAD as well as datasets that they 6 7 have or data points that they have 8 that they haven't included in S-RAD as 9 a descriptive, but not offering an 10 opinion on what they should have included in that model or -- I think 11 12 that's a way to say it is. I'm 13 describing what they have done, what options were available to them, what 14 15 they knew about and that's my opinion. 16 BY MS. LEVY: 17 Q. Now, going back to the heading on 18 page 36 and paragraph 51, just to finish up our discussion on precursor. 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 It is certainly not the case that 22 pairing a male driver with a female rider 23 predicts a sexual assault, that's not what 24 it means to be a precursor, do you agree? 25 Α. I think especially in earlier

Page 146 1 **KELLER** versions of the model and I'd have -- I 2 3 need to look at the global features which I don't have in front of me, gender, I 4 know it was in the earlier models but I need the global features in front of me, 6 were indicators that Uber found to be 8 indicative of potential sexual assaults 9 when developing S-RAD. 10 Ο. So let me just ask some simple 11 questions. 12 Is it your understanding that gender is one of the metrics in S-RAD? 13 Do you have the global feature 14 15 list in front of you? I just can't -- I 16 remember some features that come in and 17 out. 18 Q. It's fine if you don't remember. 19 If you don't remember, you can just say 20 I just want to know if you -- if 21 it's your understanding and if you don't 22 know you can say that, that gender is a 23 metric in S-RAD? 24 I have my appendix here. I can 25 look it up. But at one point in time it

Page 147 1 KELLER absolutely was and I think it still is a 2 3 feature in the model. But again, I would want to look at the global features list 4 5 that was just -- that is in my materials considered list or the global features 6 list, either of them. There are dozens and dozens of 8 9 inputs into the S-RAD model; correct? Yeah, I think it's somewhere 10 11 around 40-ish inputs. 12 And if a supply plan or a match Q. 13 had one of those features, that definitely does not mean that a sexual assault or an 14 incident of sexual misconduct will take 15 16 place, that's not what it means; correct? Object to form. 17 MS. WILKINS: 18 THE WITNESS: The features 19 combined create an S-RAD score using 20 machine learning. And so that machine 21 learning is based on previous incident 22 data as well as S-RAD scores that have 23 been run in shadow mode so that -- or not shadow -- some were done in shadow 24

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

mode and some were done historically

25

	Page 148
1	KELLER
2	looking at the data. All of those
3	combined create an S-RAD score for
4	each and every individual trip. So
5	it's one piece of all of the features
6	that Uber uses to categorize a trip.
7	BY MS. LEVY:
8	Q. And there's not anywhere in this
9	report or in your opinions that tell us
LO	what is the S-RAD score that means there's
L1	going to be a sexual assault, that's not
L2	an analysis that you've done or that even
L3	could be done; right?
L4	A. Uber has so Uber sets the
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	and with the purpose of
L9	the program being to prevent sexual
20	assaults. And so that's what I understand
21	that score to mean, is it's a risk score
22	created by Uber based off of its own data.
23	Q. And what you've done for purposes
24	of this report is look at Uber's work in
25	this area, but you yourself have not come

Page 149 1 KELLER 2 to an opinion about what a risk, a risky 3 ride is or isn't. Like what S-RAD score is a risky ride, what S-RAD score is not a 4 risky ride, those aren't opinions you have formed independently; correct? 6 7 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: Uber has not 9 produced much of the data even for some of the indicators that it has 10 identified itself as potential risk 11 12 factors or precursors or whatever term 13 we want to use. The supply plan data, 14 for example, which is all of the trips 15 that are available and scored by the 16 S-RAD program Uber deletes. And so 17 those data points would be relevant to 18 look at those risk factors independent of one another in connection with the 19 20 S-RAD score, but that data has not 21 been produced. 22 BY MS. LEVY: 23 And we'll talk a little bit more about that, about S-RAD and its inputs in 24 25 a minute but we can agree, I hope, that

Page 150 1 **KELLER** what we see in Exhibit B, male drivers 2 3 paired with female riders. Despite the fact that this heading calls that a 4 precursor to sexual assault, you certainly would not tell the jury that male drivers 6 should not be paired with female riders. 8 In fact, you know that male drivers drive 9 female riders safely hundreds of millions, in fact, billions of times on the Uber 10 platform with no incident at all, you're 11 12 aware of that; correct? 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form and foundation. 14 15 THE WITNESS: So I'm aware that 16 Uber's produced data that only tells parts of this story. Uber's only 17 18 produced data that shows the total --19 the sex of the driver or gender of the 20 driver I should say on a yearly basis. 21 I don't and they have not produced 22 which means I don't have data of the 23 gender for each and every safety 24 incident in the data. They have not 25 produced that data. And I think I say

Page 151 1 KELLER 2 that very clearly in my report. 3 can't do that analysis because Uber hasn't produced that data, so the next 4 best thing is for me to identify from 5 documents the types of analysis that 6 7 Uber has done because internally they 8 have that data. 9 BY MS. LEVY: 10 And it's a great thing that Uber Ο. 11 is studying this issue and trying to 12 develop tools like S-RAD to enhance the 13 safety of the platform, that's a great 14 thing that it's doing that; right? 15 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: Uber has chosen to do a number of things behind closed 17 18 doors, including developing the S-RAD 19 program and studying these things, but 20 they have told the public nothing 21 about that and so that is why I'm bringing those up in my report. 22 23 value judgment can be your own. leave that to the court to decide. 24 25 I'm just here to tell the facts.

Page 152 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 Ο. You don't think it's wrong or problematic that Uber is studying this 4 5 issue and attempting to use data as a tool to reduce these incidents, that's exactly 6 the kind of thing that you've done in your 8 prior work as a data scientist; right? 9 I think Uber has been misleading 10 to the public in producing data in the way that it has in the safety reports. It has 11 12 not shown the public the risk of sexual 13 assault at night. It hasn't shown the risk of sexual assault for women versus 14 15 men, especially at night or even levels of 16 intoxication, even though it has that data 17 internally and has done that analysis. 18 Q. Do you intend to offer an opinion to the court that Uber has misled the 19 20 public? 21 I am drawing a distinction 22 between what Uber has told the public 23 versus what they know internally and 24 that's -- you can see that in my report

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

25

clearly.

Page 153 1 KELLER 2 And have you looked at other Ο. 3 participants in the transportation industry and how what they tell the public 4 about incidents on their platforms or in their businesses? 6 7 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 8 THE WITNESS: Again, that's not 9 part of my analysis that I've done 10 here. That was not necessary for my analysis to show the distinction 11 12 between what Uber has done versus what 13 -- what Uber has told the public 14 versus what Uber knows internally and 15 what kinds of programs it's operating 16 internally. Just before we move on to the next question, I think we've been 17 18 going like an hour and a half and I'm getting pretty hungry. Can we take a 19 20 break? 21 MS. LEVY: Absolutely. How long 22 do you want to take? 23 THE WITNESS: I think lunch was 24 delivered a little while ago so maybe 25 we can do 20, 30 minutes?

	INGILI CONTIDENTIAL - ATTORNETS ETES ONET
	Page 154
1	KELLER
2	MS. LEVY: Sure, why don't we
3	come back at I'm in a different
4	time zone. So do you want to come
5	back at 3:30?
6	THE WITNESS: That works.
7	MS. LEVY: Okay.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
9	record. The time is 2:42 p.m.
10	Eastern. This is the end of media
11	unit 2.
12	(Lunch recess taken at 2:42 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 155
1	KELLER
2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	(Time noted: 3:20 p.m.)
4	LACEY KELLER, resumed and
5	testified as follows:
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
7	the record. The time is 3:20 p.m.
8	Eastern. This is the beginning of
9	media unit 3.
10	CONTINUED EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. LEVY:
12	Q. Welcome back, Ms. Keller. Going
13	back, before we dive into opinion 1 in
14	your report, I want to go back for one
15	minute to the question that we were
16	talking about about your qualifications
17	and testifying history.
18	Your Appendix C which we've
19	marked as Exhibit 2 to the case, do you
20	have that handy?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. That was 22 depositions that you
23	have given as of the time of this report.
24	I believe that all 22 of those depositions
25	were given in conjunction with the opioid

	Page 156
1	KELLER
2	litigation; is that correct?
3	A. That's not correct.
4	Q. Which ones were nonopioid?
5	A. So I don't know if it's easier
6	to do it by number, number 19, that's the
7	Red Hill trial.
8	Q. Did you work with the plaintiff
9	or defendant in that case?
10	A. Plaintiff.
11	Q. Are there any others that were
12	not opioid litigation in your depositions?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Which one?
15	A. 20, I was a rebuttal witness.
16	Q. And were you for the plaintiff or
17	defendant in that case?
18	A. Plaintiff.
19	Q. Any others?
20	A. Yes. 22.
21	Q. Did you work with the plaintiff
22	or defendant in that case?
23	A. I worked for plaintiff in that
24	case.
25	Q. Any others that are nonopioid?

Page 157

KELLER

A. That's as far as depositions concerned, the extent to those. You know, I'm looking through this and I thought my biography included -- I also was retained by the -- by federal court and it was a district court in the Lackawanna case. It's like Burrell v. Lackawanna County and I was -- my firm was appointed by the court to work with defendants in the defense counsel in that matter to help arrive at a list of individuals at issue in that litigation. So that I should actually add. I thought it was on here and I apologize for that not being --

- Q. Have you given a deposition in a that matter?
- A. I filed a declaration and I thought that that was here but I don't see it so I filed a declaration prior to being retained in that matter.
- Q. Got it. So let me just see if I can blaze through this in a summary fashion. Of the 22 exhibits -- depositions listed in Exhibit 2, Appendix

Page 158 1 KELLER 2 C, three of those were nonopioid, 19 of 3 them were in the opioid case; is that correct? 4 5 Α. That's correct, opioids litigation, as you probably know, was 6 pretty all-consuming for several years. 8 Yes, it was and all of those 9 depositions were provided on behalf of 10 plaintiffs in that litigation; correct? Yes, I was working for plaintiffs 11 Α. 12 because it would have been a conflict otherwise. 13 14 And with your trial testimony, 15 six of the seven cases were opioid cases 16 and the seventh one was a nonopioid case, the Feindt v. USA case; correct? 17 That's correct. 18 Α. And all seven of those were on 19 20 the plaintiff side? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 And then in the declarations, Ο. 23 there are five declarations listed here, 24 were all five of those on the plaintiff's 25 side?

Page 159

KELLER

A. All five listed there and then the addition for the declaration that I was -- that I filed in the Lackawanna case, I -- I'm actually not sure how you would define who I filed that on behalf of. I just filed it with the court and then the court appointed me to be -- to work with the defendants.

- Q. And in 30 seconds or less, what was the nature of your opinion in that case?
- A. I didn't -- I wasn't an expert witness where I would have a final report.

 I had -- I was -- my task was to combine and aggregate datasets to assist them with identifying effective members. I think that's a pretty good summary of the work.
- Q. Understood. Okay, turning back to page 19 of your report, opinion number 1, tell me when you're with me.
 - A. I'm getting there. Okay.
- Q. Opinion number 1 states that -- and I'm reading subheading A, "From 2017 through 2024, Uber tracked 546,196

Page 160 1 KELLER incidents of sexual assault and sexual 2 misconduct." 3 Are you with me? 4 5 Α. Yes. And that number I believe you 6 told us you tabulated from the Flack data 8 you received in this case? 9 I'll be very clear that it's the 10 Flack interrogatory response since now there's another production of Flack data 11 12 separate from that. 13 In the second production of Flack 14 data you have not fully analyzed and do 15 not address in this report? 16 Because that data was produced 17 just last week or fairly recently, I have 18 not yet analyzed that data and reserve my right. 19 20 You've created table 1 on the Ο. 21 next page of your report and that is the 22 subcategories from the Flack incident data 23 classified between 2017 and 2024; is that 24 correct? 25 Α. Yes, these are the categories

Page 161 1 KELLER that are in that dataset. You'll see that 2 3 there are 27 of them that were produced by This aggregates to the year and 4 5 Uber's production had the same categories but at the month and year level. 6 7 And this data reflected in table Ο. 8 1 on page 20 of your report, it includes 9 all incidents reported against riders, 10 drivers and third parties; correct? 11 Α. That's my understanding of the 12 dataset. Uber did not break it out in any 13 way that would allow me to separate it by 14 the reporting party or the entity it was reported against. Uber reported all those 15 16 values together so that's how I'm showing 17 it here. 18 Q. And there are documents and 19 there's witness testimony that addresses 20 the percentage of the reports of sexual 21 assault and sexual misconduct that are 22 made by riders versus drivers. Are you 23 aware of that?

Veritext Legal Solutions

THE WITNESS:

24

25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

I am -- I think I

MS. WILKINS: Object to form.

Page 162 1 KELLER even have an image in my report that 2 shows the breakdown of rape incidents. 3 I know it's from 2016 to 2017 data 4 that shows the breakdown of riders versus drivers. I know Uber also 6 7 produces information on its safety 8 reports about that breakdown but I 9 believe at an aggregated level, not at the incident level. 10 BY MS. LEVY: 11 12 You did not attempt to understand Q. 13 in table 1 which of the incident reports reflected here were reported by drivers 14 That was not part 15 versus riders; right? 16 of your analysis? 17 MS. WILKINS: Misstates her prior 18 testimony. 19 THE WITNESS: Two parts to that. 20 One, the Flack data that was recently 21 produced that I have not had a chance to consider has fields that I think 22 23 may be relevant to such an opinion, 24 but I have not had the time given that 25 it was most recently produced to

Page 163 1 KELLER review that data. Secondly, Uber did 2 3 not produce this data, the Flack interrogatory response in a way that 4 would allow me to aggregate or disaggregate those incidents. So with 6 that said, that's the analysis that I 8 was able to do with the data that was 9 produced. BY MS. LEVY: 10 11 Ο. And you acknowledge in your 12 report, page 27, that the table includes 13 all of these reports regardless of who lodged it, whether it was the driver or 14 the rider, this is the total? 15 16 This is the total volume of reports, driver or rider, third-party 17 that's included here. 18 And it's fair to assume that if 19 Ο. 20 we were to isolate only reports made by 21 riders of incidents perpetrated by 22 drivers, these numbers would look very 23 different? 24 I'm not going to theorize about 25 the data I haven't fully reviewed yet

Page 164

KELLER

because there's, and as you can see in the screenshot in my report, there are differences at the category level -- I'm sorry, at the subcategory level and I would be theorizing and I wouldn't want to estimate one way or another what those numbers might be. What I can tell you here is this is an accurate representation Uber produced to me in that interrogatory response.

- Q. And you can agree that the total number of incidents would go down if you isolated just riders reporting; correct?
- A. Again, that would be theorizing. I have not considered what the Flack data shows. The Flack data specifically, that was produced recently, and so I don't want to theorize which way the numbers -- what the numbers might show because I have not yet had a chance to review that data.
- Q. In section B below, you take this data and convert it to an average frequency per minute; correct?
 - A. Yes, it's a time-based analysis

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 165 1 **KELLER** 2 normalizing the data for time. 3 But what you don't do is include the number of rides that happen every 4 Is that something you calculated also? 6 That -- I analyzed the number of 8 rides and the incident rate per ride in a 9 different part of my report. 10 And so as you sit here today, can Q. you tell us the average number of rides 11 12 out of every 7.7 minutes for the same time frame? 13 14 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. That's an analysis 15 THE WITNESS: 16 that if you want me to do math on the 17 record, I would be happy to do. I do 18 a trip-based analysis elsewhere in my 19 report but this analysis focuses on a 20 way to -- focuses on the reported incidents using time as a 21 22 normalization -- as a normalization 23 method. This is similar to the type 24 of analysis that other nonprofits in 25 the industry do -- not the industry.

Page 166 1 KELLER That's an unfair statement. 2 That I 3 have seen other nonprofits do with this kind of information. 4 BY MS. LEVY: 5 In order to know -- for like an 6 Ο. individual to know the risk that something 8 is going to happen to them, it would be 9 the denominator in addition to knowing the absolute number of incidents, they would 10 need to know out of how many in order to 11 12 understand that; correct? 13 Α. I don't know how you're defining Risk is defined in a number of 14 15 different ways in this litigation. 16 you're speaking to something like what is 17 the rate that something happens, then you need a numerator and a denominator but 18 19 when you're talking about risk I don't 20 know specifically what you're referring 21 to. 22 Did you do any analysis to 23 compare this incident by number of minutes 24 to the outside world, did you compare this 25 number to the number of SA/SM incidents

Page 167 1 KELLER that occur elsewhere in the world? 2 3 I understand how this question is different than what you've asked me 4 before. We've talked about I think at 5 6 pretty great lengths that my analysis talks about or discusses and I offer 8 opinion what data Uber has, what it did 9 with that data, the knowledge that it had 10 and compares that to what it told the 11 public. 12 What you haven't done is compared Q. 13 how frequently misconduct of a sexual nature that occurs on Uber, how that 14 15 compares to other means of transportation, 16 other alternatives to taking Uber or just society in general, that was not part of 17 18 your analysis, if I understand you correctly? 19 20 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 21 THE WITNESS: You can keep asking 22 me different versions but I think it's 23 the same question here, my report focuses on the Uber platform and what 24

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

it knew internally versus what it

25

Page 168 1 KELLER 2 disclosed externally and what it did 3 with the data it had internally versus what it disclosed to the public. 4 BY MS. LEVY: 5 This data in table 1 goes years 6 Ο. beyond the data that was published in the 8 first report? 9 Are you talking about the 2017 Α. 10 and 2018 safety report? 11 Ο. Yes. 12 Α. This data includes data from 2017 to 2024 which matches the dates that Uber 13 14 produced in its interrogatory response. 15 And I don't see anywhere in your 16 report where you have taken the first U.S. 17 safety report that covers the time period 18 2017-2018 and identified any numbers in 19 that report that are wrong or incorrect. 20 You haven't done that, have you? 21 I have in my report drawn a few 22 comparisons to the safety reports. Two 23 that stand out right now that may be 24 relevant to our discussion is a figure, 25 let me get to it -- Figure 7 that draws

Page 169 1 KELLER the distinction between the safety report 2 disclosed number and the total number of 3 incidents in the interrogatory response, 4 and there's a footnote somewhere where I 5 talk about -- maybe it's not even a 6 footnote, it's a paragraph, where I talk 8 about the safety report data being smaller 9 than what was in the interrogatory 10 responses for the exact same five 11 categories. 12 Let's look at Figure 7 since you Q. 13 pointed our attention. That's page 28 of 14 your report. 15 Α. Okay. 16 And here in Figure 7, 28, you've Ο. charted number of incidents in Flack data, 17 18 in Flack incident report classification in what I call purple, as the tall part of 19 20 the bar graph. Are you with me? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And you've put in blue the number 23 of incidents in safety reports. Do you 24 see that? 25 Α. Yes, I'm following.

Page 170

KELLER

- Q. And that is the number of incidents in blue represents the five most serious categories of sexual assault; true?
- A. The five in blue show what Uber disclosed in its safety report and the ones in purple, aubergine, whatever, sorry to the court reporter for aubergine, are the ones in the interrogatory response and for clarity, they should not be stacked, they should be created independent. So 2936 plus 71080 in 2017 does not reflect the total number of incidents. 71080 is the total volume of incidents so this is drawing a direct comparison between the two.
- Q. I understand and the number of incidents reported in the safety report, how did you get that number, how do you know?
- A. I read the safety reports and inputted the numbers.
- Q. In fact, the safety report itself very clearly states what categories it is

Page 171 1 KELLER 2 putting numbers to and what categories it 3 is not; true? The safety report says that it is Α. 4 including five categories and then in an 5 appendix lists the additional other 6 subcategories. 8 And that's your source for 9 understanding which categories were included; is that right? 10 So my source for understanding 11 Α. 12 what was included is in Uber's appendix, 13 especially I'm recalling appendix from the 2021 to 2022 report that has a historical 14 look back of all the incidents from all 15 16 the previous reports. So let's look -- let's go to --17 Q. MS. LEVY: Let's mark as an 18 exhibit 11, tab 11, which I think is 19 20 going to be Exhibit 6. 21 MS. WILKINS: I think you're on 22 9. 23 MS. LEVY: All right. Goodness. (Exhibit 9, 2017-2018 Safety 24 25 Report, marked for identification.)

Page 172 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 My question, while we're pulling 3 it up, Ms. Keller, not only does Uber 4 5 disclose in the safety report itself what the categories are that it's reporting on 6 but it also says why; right? 8 Uber -- so where are you talking 9 specifically in the safety report because 10 Uber says a lot of things in its safety 11 report. 12 You've read the safety report or 13 at least looked at the safety report cover 14 to cover, have you not? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And do you recall Uber describing Ο. 17 what specific categories it had included 18 in its numbers? Do you recall that being in its first U.S. safety report? 19 20 Α. Yeah, let me just get there, 21 sorry. 22 To shortcut, one place we're 23 going to go and talk about is page 33 of 24 Exhibit 9. 25 Α. Okay.

Page 173 1 KELLER 2 Did you read the methodology Ο. 3 section of this safety report? Α. Yes. 4 5 And so you understand that the third paragraph that leads into the 6 bullets states, "This report includes 8 categories that represent serious safety 9 incidents reported by riders, drivers, and third parties" and it lists those 10 11 categories. Do you see that? 12 Α. Yes, I see the page states that. To the right of that, Uber has in 13 0. 14 bold, "Why these categories?" And 15 explains why it chose these categories. 16 Do you see where I am? Yes, in that kind of blue box? 17 Α. 18 Q. Yes, and Uber explains that it strives to provide a clear and accurate 19 20 reflection of the most serious incidents 21 reported in connection with the U.S. 22 ridesharing platform while recognizing 23 that these incidents can pose unique 24 classification challenges. 25 Do you see that?

Page 174

KELLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I see that's what the page says.
- Q. Do you disagree that the five categories listed on the left are the most serious categories of incidents in the taxonomy or do you have no opinion on that?
- Α. So what I would say in my report I draw a distinction between the categories that Uber internally in its own KPIs or uses as part of its own KPIs refers to as serious SA/SM incidents, so I draw a few comparisons between what is disclosed in those categories compared to that of the safety report. I'm not offering an independent judgment call on what might be serious or not serious for those categories but drive the contrast between what Uber internally discloses as serious. And furthermore, Uber says it takes all reports, I don't know if they use the word seriously or if that's just a word in my head right now because we're saying the word serious, but Uber takes all reports and records them.

Page 175 1 KELLER additionally, I show from Uber's own 2 3 analyses the volume of reports that Uber has tabulated from its internal Flack 4 5 system through those tables and figures. Back to my question here, I 6 Ο. believe I understand you correctly. You 8 are saying there are other serious 9 categories that Uber recognizes as serious that are not included in the list to the 10 left; correct? 11 12 Again, I said something slightly Α. 13 different than that, was I'm using Uber's 14 designations of those categories as 15 serious SA/SM, not my own personal 16 judgment and that Uber takes every report in -- I don't know if I want to use the 17 18 word serious. I can't remember the word 19 they used on the safety report, but they 20 believe victims so I'm also analyzing 21 those. 22 But there's nowhere in this Ο. 23 safety report that Uber represents we are 24 disclosing all incidents or that we are 25 disclosing all serious incidents, it

Page 176 1 KELLER 2 doesn't say that, it is saying we're 3 providing a clear and accurate reflection of the most serious and it says which ones 4 those are. Do you agree with that? MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Are you asking me 8 if I can read or are you asking me 9 something different from that? BY MS. LEVY: 10 11 Ο. I'm asking you if I read that 12 correctly, is that we strive to provide a clear and accurate reflection of the most 13 serious incidents, that's what Uber said; 14 15 right? 16 Uber said publicly that these are 17 the five that it's showing you. It also 18 shows a list of 20 some categories. It doesn't show insufficient information or 19 20 parent category use tracking, and it 21 doesn't tell that Uber tracks internally a 22 different set that it views to be serious, 23 a set of subcategories that it views to be 24 serious. 25 Q. It also doesn't say these are the

Page 177 1 KELLER 2 only serious categories there are. 3 doesn't say that anywhere. You haven't seen that anywhere in the safety report, 4 have you? 5 Object to form. 6 MS. WILKINS: 7 THE WITNESS: It puts these out 8 as what it views as the most serious 9 but it doesn't show -- it doesn't tell 10 the public there's another set of eight more that we view internally as 11 12 serious or there's 20 some other 13 categories that we collect data on and here's the volumes of those datas. 14 BY MS. LEVY: 15 16 There's a difference between Ο. serious and the most serious, isn't there? 17 18 Α. These are the terms of art but 19 what I'm telling you is what I've seen in 20 the documents and the list of 21 subcategories that Uber has designated as serious SA/SM and what the numbers would 22 23 show if it had chosen to show those or what the numbers would show if it had 24 25 chosen to show to the public all the

Page 178 1 KELLER categories that it collects data on. 2 3 You're switching up the terms, serious and most serious, and that's the 4 thing I want to drill down on. 5 Do you agree saying something is 6 serious, these categories are serious is 8 different than saying these categories are 9 the most serious? 10 MS. WILKINS: Form. 11 THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is 12 that there are categories that Uber 13 shows in its report, how it classifies or characterizes those is how it 14 characterizes them and what I'm 15 16 drawing in comparison is there's 17

another set of eight categories that
Uber internally designates as serious
SA/SM and I put that in quotes, and
then there's all of the categories of
data that it collects data on because
it says that it believes victims and
reports on that data. So what I'm

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 the public, what they track internally

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

showing is all three, what Uber shows

Page 179 1 KELLER as serious and all the incidents that 2 3 are reported to Uber. BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Can you point me to anywhere in the safety report that Uber represents in 6 the safety report we are going to give 8 numbers for all categories, it doesn't say that, does it? 9 10 Α. I think that's the -- my report 11 is critiquing that is that it doesn't say 12 that so this is what it is not telling the 13 public about and this is the volume of 14 reports that it's not telling the public 15 about. And, in fact --16 Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt Ο. 17 you. 18 Α. That's okay. And, in fact, in a 19 block post on its website and I believe 20 one of the first figures in my report, 21 Uber says they are only disclosing 22 3 percent of the reports that it receives 23 on the platform. 24 Uber is quite clear, quite 25 transparent about the fact that it is not

Page 180 1 KELLER disclosing all of the incidents; right? 2 3 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. In this report, THE WITNESS: 4 Uber and other reports Uber says what five it's reporting on. It also cites 6 7 other reasons for not disclosing them, 8 such as auditing and audit aligner and 9 we can discuss that methodology at 10 another time but what I'm saying is Uber has admitted to the public that 11 12 it's only showing 3 percent, that they 13 are showing the tip of the iceberg and 14 knowing when they know that there is 15 an underreporting not only nationwide 16 but on their platform specifically because drivers know where riders live 17 18 and because of the kind of knowledge that the two parties have of one 19 20 another in the platform. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 Can you point me to a sentence in 23 the methodology that is not true or is 24 false? 25 Α. What Uber is saying in its

Page 181 1 KELLER methodology, and I'm looking at a 2 3 different document than the 2017. referring to the methodology in the 4 5 2019-2020 report, if I recall, and in that document it's talking about auditor 6 alignment and in that document, Uber 8 discusses auditor alignment being one of the reasons why they disclose only a 9 subset of incidents. And in that 10 methodology, the auditor alignment says 11 that within the subset -- within the 12 13 incidents that they reviewed, which was a 14 random sample and also a targeted sample, 15 that they had piled the alignment within 16 those samples. I want to say something 17 like 87 percent but I would like to have 18 that in front of me to be more specific. 19 Ο. Can you remember my question? 20 Α. Yes. And so what I'm saying is 21 Uber cites a number of reasons as why it's 22 choosing these and those appear to be red 23 herrings in a way, I would say red 24 herrings in their process. They are 25 choosing a set of incidents to report on

Page 182 1 KELLER 2 when there's auditor alignment in the 3 methodology that says there's high level of agreement and even I think there's one 4 5 category that they report on that doesn't meet the threshold they talk about, and 6 two, I'm saying that Uber doesn't talk 8 about what it has internally. What it 9 knows also about what they consider 10 internally as serious SA/SM reports and 11 what internally they know to be true about 12 different risk patterns, and that's from 13 them, not from me, about incidents on the 14 platform, whether it's at night or trips 15 near a bar, et cetera. 16 So we're going to go back to 17 Exhibit 9 and I'm just going to ask you a 18 very simple question. Can you point me to any statement in this Exhibit 9 that is 19 20 false, that is not true? 21 MS. WILKINS: Overbroad. 22 THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is 23 this is part of the picture. BY MS. LEVY: 24 25 Q. It's just a yes or no question,

Page 183 1 KELLER 2 can you point me to a statement that is 3 untrue? Α. But it's not a yes or no 4 5 question. This is a much more comprehensive issue than what you're 6 making it out to be right now. There are 8 27 categories of data that Uber produced 9 in the Flack interrogatory response. talks about five. What's the reason --10 11 you point me to a place on this page where 12 Uber says why it doesn't include the other 13 incidents in its report. 14 Where does it says we're 15 including all 27? 16 Just by not saying something is 17 not the same as having a correct or 18 incorrect statement. Just because they 19 don't say it doesn't make it true. I may 20 have flipped my words on that. But 21 they are saying they are reporting five. 22 They are not saying anything about the 23 other 20 some categories that they are not 24 disclosing. They say they exist but they 25 let the public wonder to themselves what's

Page 184 1 KELLER 2 in those categories and so it's my role in 3 this litigation to show the volumes in those categories that they have excluded 4 from this report. You don't have any problem with 6 Ο. categorizing the five on the left as the 8 most serious, you don't have a different 9 list of most serious do you? MS. WILKINS: This has been asked 10 and answered a number of times. 11 THE WITNESS: We have a 12 13 definition for those fives, I think 14 it's the reportable five in my report. 15 That set of entities or subcategories 16 is identified in my report as that 17 reportable five or something to that 18 effect which I specify in contrast to the serious SA/SM incidents that it 19 20 internally identified. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 Now when Uber published the 23 safety report, this one we're looking at in Exhibit 9, were there other safety 24 25 reports like this that it was copying or

	Page 185
1	KELLER
2	was this the first of its kind?
3	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
4	THE WITNESS: I mean what do you
5	call a safety report? I wrote a
6	report on gun trafficking in the
7	New York Attorney General's office
8	that was the first of its kind so you
9	tell me.
10	BY MS. LEVY:
11	Q. You're proud of that. In your
12	résumé you say it was the first of its
13	kind and that's something you're really
14	proud of?
15	A. Of course.
16	Q. The safety report was the first
17	of its kind studying sexual assault and
18	sexual misconduct, the first one you've
19	seen?
20	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know what
22	you're referring to as safety report.
23	There's other reports that nonprofits
24	have been putting out in the world
25	forever so if Uber wants to call it

	Page 186
1	KELLER
2	the first of its kind, Uber can call
3	it that. I'm not opining or giving it
4	any opinion on whether it is the first
5	of its kind and what that means. I'm
6	opining on what's in it and comparing
7	it to what's in it versus what Uber
8	has in its own documents.
9	BY MS. LEVY:
10	Q. Can you identify any corporation
11	who's ever published a report about sexual
12	assault or sexual misconduct that's done a
13	better job at it than Uber has?
14	A. That's such a value judgment. I
15	am not prepared to offer an opinion on
16	pitting one company's report against
17	another. I'm here to offer an opinion
18	what Uber put in its safety report versus
19	what it knew in its own data.
20	Q. You've never seen anybody else do
21	it more comprehensively than Uber has done
22	in the safety report and certainly not in
23	that time frame, have you?
24	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
25	THE WITNESS: I don't know how

Page 187

KELLER

that's a different question than the one you just asked me. It's the same response. I'm not here to evaluate two different reports against one another. My role here is to talk about the data that's in one report, that's in one -- in the Uber safety reports compared to the data that it has internally.

BY MS. LEVY:

Q. If I understand your testimony correctly, your problem to the extent you have -- do you intend to offer characterizations about the safety report or do the opinions that you have offered in this case and intend to offer in this case, will they be limited to the data? In other words, like what is in and what is not in?

A. The second part is what I intend to do. What numbers are in, what categories are in, what data is included in the data, that's my opinions referring specifically to the volumes of data in the

Page 188

KELLER

safety report as compared to what Uber had internally.

- Q. To retreat to our first dance with each other and vernacular in the litigation where we talked about you're not giving the opinion about what someone should have done but what they could have done, do I understand you to be saying that same kind of opinion you're going to be offering here, what Uber could have reported but you will stop short of offering what they should have reported and leave that for others to decide; is that fair?
- A. I think that's a fair characterization of my testimony here.

 It's very similar to that where I've been admitted in other cases.
- Q. And I want to be clear because before our lunch break you used the term misleading and that seems like a value judgment to me. We looked at the lunch break to see if your report says anything about misleading. We don't see it in

Page 189

KELLER

there. I think that's outside of the scope of what you intend to offer to the jury, that you're sticking to here are the numbers they had, here are the numbers in the safety report, here are the underlying numbers that appeared. Do you intend to go further than that and tell the jury the numbers are misleading?

- A. So I did not intend for that word to have such value attributed to it. What I was trying to convey and maybe with a little hungry brain going on there was the volumes of the incidents reported in the safety report are different and less considerably, if we say 97 percent less than what the volume of reports that it has internally. That's the scope of that opinion.
- Q. Okay. And I appreciate the clarification. I think that can make our day together more efficient if that will be the limit and that you do not intend to go further and say things like what Uber should have reported, what a duty to

Page 190

KELLER

report, opining that it is negligent not to report, opining on the standard of care or that it was misleading not to do that.

I understood from the four corners of your report that you would be limiting to the data and I just want to make sure we're clear on that.

- A. My report draws the comparisons between internal datasets, whether that's the Bliss and Jira data or the Flack interrogatory responses, the S-RAD systems and the other documents I reviewed in comparison to what is in the safety reports and I draw parallels or contrast those two points from a data perspective.
- Q. And you will stop short of offering an opinion that doing that is misleading, violative of law, negligent, unfair, irresponsible, those types of value judgments are outside the scope of your report, you are sticking to the numbers, am I right about that?

MS. WILKINS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I will let the

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

	Page 191
1	KELLER
2	court or maybe it's another expert,
3	I'm not aware of the others, I will
4	let them attribute the value judgments
5	as necessary to the information and
6	facts that I provide in my reports.
7	BY MS. LEVY:
8	Q. Okay, I appreciate that
9	clarification.
LO	Going back to page 28, opinion 1,
L1	the Figure 7 is intended to show the blue
L2	numbers that are included in the safety
L3	report and the purple or chartreuse
L4	numbers that are in the Flack data;
L5	correct?
L6	A. Yes, I think I heard you
L7	correctly, it is to draw a comparison to
L8	the two, it should not be added together
L9	but compared separate from one another.
20	Q. You're not offering the opinion
21	that the blue numbers that are listed as
22	appearing in the safety report are wrong
23	numbers, they are not, in fact, the five
24	most serious, in that I don't see that
25	anywhere?

	Page 192
1	KELLER
2	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
3	BY MS. LEVY:
4	Q. Do you understand the question?
5	That was a little worded.
6	A. I think I do and I'll say that
7	I'm taking the safety report numbers here
8	and showing them as they appear in the
9	safety reports and in another paragraph, I
LO	offer an opinion that there are and if
L1	you let me I can search this. One
L2	second.
L3	I offer the opinion that there
L4	are additional reports that are within
L5	those categories that Uber tabulated in
L6	its interrogatory responses that aren't
L7	disclosed in those safety reports. Does
L8	that make sense?
L9	Q. Yes.
20	A. So for the years 2017 through
21	2022 there are additional reports that
22	Uber has not disclosed in its safety
23	reports.
24	Q. And you have not we'll get
25	there. Tell me what paragraph

Page 193 1 **KELLER** 2 specifically you're referring to to make 3 sure we're talking about -- I think I know what you're talking about but I want to 4 5 make sure we're on the same page. Sure thing. There are two 6 Α. footnotes, 63, 64 that reference those. 8 So 63, can we go to it on page 9 31, just call that up. 10 Α. And just to be fully comprehensive is there's paragraph 49. 11 12 Let's go to 63 first. Here you Q. 13 say that your tabulation of Flack incident classification includes 68 additional 14 15 incidents in the five categories not 16 included in the safety report. In other 17 words, 68 additional on top of the 12,522; 18 right? That's correct, that's what --19 Α. that's what's in the Flack incident 20 21 classification data produced by Uber. 22 Did you do any investigation 23 about why that is? 24 That's not possible, hang on, strike that. So the Flack incident 25

Page 194

KELLER

classification was just produced so at the time of this report I couldn't do that comparison because I had Bliss and Jira data and I had Flack interrogatory responses but I didn't have the link between the two. Now that I have the Flack data that was just produced like from the raw data we'll call it, I have to evaluate whether that's possible to do but I have not been able to do that analysis yet.

- Q. And as part of putting the statement in this footnote, did you look at the timing of when the reports were made?
- A. It's the same answer because the timing of the reports I would need the Flack data to do that to understand which incidents Uber was categorizing into these five categories and then trace back to the timing that those were submitted. The interrogatory responses themselves did not provide the time that those reports were made. They are just totals so at the

Page 195 1 **KELLER** point of filing this report, that analysis 2 3 was not possible so I reserve my right to do such analysis with the recently 4 produced Flack data. So I don't know today based on 6 Ο. 7 the work you have done whether those 8 reports came in later, whether they 9 represented duplication, whether there was 10 an error in calculation, you just don't know what accounts for the 68? 11 12 Α. I don't want to theorize about 13 work I haven't been able to do yet. 14 want to be able to fully consider that 15 Flack data before making any assessments. 16 What this does is notes the discrepancy 17 between the two sources originating from 18 Uber. 19 Ο. Is there a statistically 20 significant discrepancy if you include these numbers, did you look at that? 21 22 Include what numbers? Α. 23 The additional reports, is the 24 discrepancy substantial, is it 25 statistically significant?

Page 196

KELLER

A. The reports are I'm noting just like I do in any of my footnotes when there is a discrepancy. The reports are there. Whether or not they're statistically significant is not necessary for that footnote. I'm pointing out that there is a discrepancy.

- Q. That's not something you looked at or calculated?
- A. I'm noting the discrepancy between what Uber produced in litigation versus what it's showing to the public.
- Q. And again when we go through section B, you've noted here and quantified other serious categories other than the five that Uber calls the most serious and you've attached numbers to those in paragraphs 42 and 43. Are you with me? Page 30 and 31?
- A. So I think you're talking about the analysis that I did of the Uber safety reports as compared to the categories that they internally review, they refer to as serious SA/SM.

Page 197 1 KELLER 2 Ο. Correct. Okay, I'm there. 3 Α. Nowhere in any of the safety 4 5 reports does Uber say hey, we're reporting all of the serious reports, not just a 6 small portion of them, they don't say that 8 anywhere; correct? 9 MS. WILKINS: Form. 10 THE WITNESS: Uber says in its 11 safety reports that it reports the 12 five. It doesn't say hey, internally 13 we look at another eight and that we build our KPIs off of an additional 14 15 eight. They don't say that in the 16 safety report. BY MS. LEVY: 17 18 Q. You agree Uber does disclose 19 every category in the taxonomy so it is 20 clear that there are other categories. 21 It's not hiding the fact that there are 22 other categories that exist? 23 I kind of disagree with that. Uber doesn't disclose all of the 24 25 subcategories. It doesn't leave in -- it

Page 198

KELLER

doesn't disclose insufficient information and it doesn't disclose parent category use tracking in the safety reports.

- Q. And other categories that you think are excluded, information and parent category, anything else?
- A. Those account for four categories because there are two within sexual assault, sexual misconduct, so parent category, use tracking and sexual misconduct, and the same for insufficient information. So those account for four that it doesn't disclose in the safety report but then it produced data on in this litigation.
- Q. And what's your understanding for why Uber doesn't disclose or put those categories in the taxonomy, a separate taxonomy category, what do you know about that?
- A. Uber does have them in its taxonomy and the category it produced in the interrogatory response. That's the distinction I'm trying to draw here.

Page 199 1 KELLER 2 So it's your testimony that Uber 3 could have put those additional categories in its master taxonomy that it includes in 4 the appendix to the safety report? It's my testimony that Uber has 6 Α. those categories and disclosed data that 8 was in those categories in its 9 interrogatory responses and those 10 categories are not listed in the safety 11 report. 12 So let's go to Appendix 6 of 13 Exhibit 9. I'm sorry, Appendix 4, which 14 is for our tech all the way at the very 15 end of Exhibit 9, the last two pages, 16 second to last page. You're familiar with 17 the page we have on the screen, are you 18 not, Ms. Keller? 19 Α. Yes, very familiar. 20 And that is what we refer to as Ο. 21 the taxonomy; right? 22 I think that's what you're 23 referring to, yes, and I think that's 24 maybe why we're having some tension is I'm

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

referring to the categories that I see in

25

Page 200 1 KELLER the Flack interrogatory response. 2 3 So when you say taxonomy, you mean the category that you've isolated in 4 table 1 of your report that's your 6 taxonomy? 7 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: That's Uber's 9 taxonomy, it's under their taxonomy, 10 so some of it overlaps with this but I'm noting like there are 68 11 12 categories, 68 reports that are not in 13 this actual -- in the safety report. 14 I'm also noting that there are four 15 categories just as a data scientist, 16 not as a value judgment, just as a 17 data person, this list is different 18 than this list and I'm noting that difference. 19 20 BY MS. LEVY: 21 And you have anticipated my next question which is your report and your 22 23 anticipated testimony is limited to it's 24 not there. You don't intend to go further 25 and say it should be there, there was a

Page 201 1 KELLER 2 duty to put it there, Uber did something wrong by excluding it. Those things are 3 beyond your report, you are limiting 4 5 yourself in this report to the fact that you are noting that those categories are 6 not there. Do I have that correct? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: With one addition, 10 I would say I'm noting the volumes that occur in those categories but 11 12 that they are not reported in the 13 safety report. BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 Understood. Let's go -- let me 16 -- before we leave this appendix, have you 17 reviewed any of the underlying actual 18 reports in any of the categories listed on 19 this page we're looking at here, starting 20 with steering or leering, have you looked 21 at the content of the reports? 22 I think you have asked me this Α. 23 I was like have I looked at any 24 of the tickets. Is that the same question 25 or am I misunderstanding?

Page 202 1 KELLER 2 Yeah, have you looked at and Ο. 3 you've seen some of the underlying tickets but you did not do a comprehensive 4 5 analysis of those; right? MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 6 7 THE WITNESS: So I've done a 8 comprehensive analysis of all the 9 tickets insofar as they are and I've looked at a number of tickets in the 10 Bliss and Jira data but I'm not 11 12 offering opinions on that dataset at 13 this point in time and reserve the 14 right to do so depending on my review of the Flack data. 15 16 BY MS. LEVY: Percentage of the incidents in 17 18 the Flack data are complaints that someone stared at me or looked at me? 19 20 I'm sorry, the first part of your Α. 21 question broke up. Can you ask it again, 22 please? 23 Ο. Have you analyzed what percentage 24 of the reports fall into complaints that 25 the reporter was stared at our looked at

Page 203 1 **KELLER** 2 or leered at or given a dirty look as in 3 the top category we're seeing here? Α. I've done a similar analysis to 4 that and we're already on that table where I show the volume of reports by 6 subcategory and year. 8 By that you're referring to 9 table -- I think it's 10. Table 1, first one. 10 Α. 11 I'm sorry. And have you looked 0. 12 at -- I don't see any percentages here. 13 Have you done an analysis of what percent 14 of the reports fall in each of these 15 categories? 16 This table shows the numbers. 17 One could create percentages using these numbers. I show the raw numbers because 18 19 it's -- what percentage you can't back out 20 to the raw numbers but raw numbers you can 21 always do percentages if you wanted to, so 22 that's the data I've shown here in this 23 figure, or this table I should say. 24 You could do that but you've 25 chosen to express it this way because it's

Page 204

KELLER

easier to convert?

- A. This allows the reader to have the numerator and the denominator so that's what's shown in this table or a denominator if you're comparing it to a total, but it also gives you the breakdown of each particular category in the volume, in a volume expression as opposed to percentage.
- Q. And for purposes of your analysis in this whole report, you're using Uber's own definitions of each of these categories, you didn't create new definitions, did you?
- A. I'm using Uber's definitions when I'm showing the table. When I am showing the tables and this is not only their definitions but their categorization of the data. So I'm taking what they have produced in the litigation through that interrogatory response and aggregating it to the year, for example, in this table.
- Q. And you know in your work in this case that Uber worked in experts in the

	Page 205
1	KELLER
2	field of sexual violence to come up with
3	the taxonomy, the categories and the
4	definitions of each category, right,
5	that's something you're aware of?
6	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
7	THE WITNESS: I know that Uber
8	puts itself out that way but however
9	they have chosen to file these reports
10	doesn't change the facts of my report.
11	I'm reporting on what's in that filing
12	system.
13	BY MS. LEVY:
14	Q. So you aren't making any
15	judgments on how the categories are
16	defined or offering any better
17	definitions; right?
18	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think the
20	same answer as before is I'm showing
21	the data, how Uber produced it to me,
22	or not produced it but produced in
23	this litigation.
24	BY MS. LEVY:
25	Q. Is that with the exception of the

Page 206 1 KELLER 2 most serious category sexual assault, 3 nonconsensual sexual penetration, for that one you sometimes change the term to rape; 4 right? 5 6 Α. Yes, I use that term rape because that's what the industry and what I'm used 8 to seeing -- not the industry, the sexual 9 assault nonprofit data when I look at their websites and also what the FBI's 10 11 Uniform Crime Reporting, CompStat, all of those refer to that as rape and so that's 12 13 what I'm used to reading in my prior work 14 with -- I'm sorry, what I'm used to reading on those sources. 15 16 Okay, let me slow down on that. 17 Which -- what are the third-party experts 18 that use the term rape instead of 19 nonconsensual sexual penetration, do you 20 remember? 21 So an example is the FBI uniform 22 crime reporting, I messed up the phrase of 23 that, but that is referred to as rape. 24 New York City's CompStat uses the term 25 rape so that's what I'm used to and in my

Page 207 1 KELLER 2 previous work, especially when it overlaps 3 with gun trafficking work, I'm used to looking at datasets in that work so I've 4 seen it referred to that incident as that. Now, your familiarity with the 6 Q. criminal regulations that you've described 8 from your prior work, you recognize that 9 not all of these categories that are listed in the Flack data or in Uber's 10 taxonomy in Appendix 4 of its safety 11 12 report, not all of these categories 13 constitute criminal activity, of course; right? 14 15 Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 16 THE WITNESS: I haven't done that 17 specific analysis but for all the 18 categories but that's the -- what I'm drawing here for -- I haven't done 19 20 that analysis for all categories. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 So like a report, for example, 23 that he stared at me in the mirror, that's 24 not something that somebody could report 25 to the police and have somebody arrested

	Page 208
1	KELLER
2	for; right?
3	MS. WILKINS: Calls for
4	speculation, outside the scope of
5	Ms. Keller's opinion.
6	BY MS. LEVY:
7	Q. You can answer.
8	A. That is not something I provided
9	an opinion on. Each case would have to be
10	considered independently and that's not
11	something that I've done or am going to
12	that I've done so far.
13	Q. Flirting is one of the
14	categories, sexual misconduct, comments or
15	gestures, category flirting. Flirting is
16	not something that's a crime in any place
17	you've ever been; right?
18	MS. WILKINS: Calling for legal
19	opinion, outside the scope of what
20	Ms. Keller is offering opinions on in
21	this litigation.
22	THE WITNESS: I'm not offering
23	that opinion. I'm offering an opinion
24	on how many incidents were reported in
25	flirting and I also offer the opinion

	Page 209
1	KELLER
2	that some of these misconduct
3	incidents are according to Uber's
4	own analysis are make they don't
5	make. The phrase that Uber uses is a
6	driver is
7	
8	
9	and so I'm reporting I
10	have both of those pieces of analysis
11	in my report.
12	BY MS. LEVY:
13	Q. The categories for which Uber
14	collects data are extremely comprehensive
15	and they are overinclusive of what a
16	criminal code would consider criminal
17	activity. Uber's taxonomy is much more
18	than that, it collects much more than
19	that; right?
20	MS. WILKINS: Object to the form
21	as vague, also calls for a legal
22	conclusion and is outside the scope of
23	the opinions that Ms. Keller is
24	offering in this litigation.
25	THE WITNESS: My I would just

	Page 210
1	KELLER
2	say my role here is calculating the
3	volumes of reports that are in these
4	categories and showing them in
5	contrast to what Uber has produced in
6	its public reports and also analyzing
7	the documents because the data hasn't
8	been produced for some of these that
9	have been identified as precursors or
10	other indicia of subsequent sexual
11	assault.
12	I'm needing a break, if that's
13	possible.
14	MS. LEVY: Certainly. Do you
15	want to take 10?
16	MS. WILKINS: Yes.
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
18	record. The time is 4:25 p.m.
19	Eastern. This is the end of media
20	unit 3.
21	(Recess taken from 4:25 p.m. to
22	4:48 p.m.)
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
24	the record. The time is 4:48 p.m.
25	Eastern. This is the beginning of

Page 211 1 KELLER media unit 4. 2 BY MS. LEVY: 3 Ο. If we could go back to 4 5 Ms. Keller's report, Exhibit 1. And we are still looking at Exhibit 1 of your 6 report which I think you have in front of 8 you. I want to turn to page 21 of the 9 report. 10 Α. Okay. 11 Q. Are you with me? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Figure 21 shows your calculation 14 and depiction of the average frequency of 15 SA/SM incidents on an annual basis by 16 number of minutes, and that is based on 17 the incident count in the Flack report; 18 correct? 19 Α. Yes, that's a brief 20 representation of what's in Figure 1. 21 And what we're now seeing here is 22 what number of rides that happen each --23 per minute as a denominator for this, that's not reflected in this chart; 24 25 correct?

Page 212 1 KELLER That would be a different 2 Α. analysis. 3 I analyze rides in a different part of the report. This is a time-based 4 5 analysis, I think we've talked about this figure already today, is that this is a 6 way to normalize the data based off of 8 The trip analysis is elsewhere. 9 So if I wanted to know, for 10 example, what is the chance that someone could experience a sexual assault or 11 12 sexual misconduct incident as Uber categorizes it on a ride, I don't know the 13 14 chance of that happening by looking at this chart because I don't know the 15 16 denominator of the number of rides per minute; right? 17 18 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know what 19 20 you're -- are you defining chance, can 21 you define chance specifically because 22 I want to make sure. 23 BY MS. LEVY: I think you said risk. 24 25 the risk per minute of this happening to a

Page 213 1 KELLER particular individual? We would need to 2 know the number of rides to know that; 3 right? 4 5 I think I used the word rape. I said risk, I misspoke. Rape, and that's 6 a specific type of rape, so if you're 8 wanting to do that type of analysis, 9 that's in a different part of my report. 10 We don't see that in Figure 1 on Ο. 11 page 21; right? 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. That analysis is in 13 THE WITNESS: 14 Figure 3. 15 BY MS. LEVY: 16 We'll get to that in just a minute. We also -- I think you told me 17 18 earlier that this frequency, you limited the frequency analysis solely to Uber. 19 20 You have not compared it with the 21 frequency of sexual assault and misconduct 22 in any other context, only Uber; correct? 23 Sorry, I'm feeling a little 24 I think the same answer applies déjá vu. 25 about the questions or similarly the same

Page 214 1 KELLER question as before, this is an analysis of 2 what's in Uber and what's in Uber's own 3 data and specifically to the Flack 4 interrogatory responses that Uber compiled for this litigation. 6 7 And the incidents that are Ο. 8 reflected in this chart, they include 9 flirting, comments, staring, leering, all 10 the incidents, not just the serious or most serious ones; correct? 11 12 Α. This is of all incidents, all the ones that Uber classified into its 27 13 14 categories that -- I'm sorry, 26 15 categories that it produced in its 16 interrogatory response. And I think -- I do think we're 17 Ο. 18 on the same page on this but we don't know 19 whether in the outside world in any other context sexual assault and sexual 20 21 misconduct in these categories happen more 22 frequently or less frequently than they 23 happened on Uber, we don't know that 24 because that's not an analysis you've 25 done; correct?

Page 215 1 KELLER That would be a different 2 Α. 3 analysis. The analysis that I do is looking at what Uber's data, what is 4 5 contained in Uber's data, what Uber did with that data and what it told the 6 public. 8 The next page 22 of your report 9 in Figure 2, here you plot the average 10 frequency of rape or attempted rape in hours; correct? 11 12 Α. Yes, this is the -- in hours so -- (froze). 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 14 record. 15 The time is 4:54 p.m. 16 (Discussion off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on 17 18 the record. The time is 4:56 p.m. 19 THE WITNESS: So I think it's my 20 turn. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 If you don't mind, thanks. Ο. 23 The image shows -- I think you 24 were just reading the title, the average 25 frequency of rape or attempted rape in

Page 216 1 KELLER 2 hours using the Flack interrogatory 3 responses. This is the average frequency but Ο. 4 not the rate that what Uber calls nonconsensual sexual penetration and what 6 you've put in the heading here as rape 8 occurs or is reported to occur on the 9 platform; right? 10 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: I think you're 12 asking a similar question to what you did before? 13 BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 Ο. Yes. 16 Okay. So with that, I think 17 you're referring to a different chart or 18 different type of analyses. This takes 19 the rape -- the number I should say of 20 rape or attempted rape in Uber's taxonomy, 21 nonconsensual penetration or attempted 22 nonconsensual penetration and normalizes 23 them to the hour. And it's not on this chart how 24 25 many rides occur every hour. That doesn't

Page 217 1 **KELLER** 2 appear on this chart; correct? That would be a different 3 analysis. 4 The lowest numbers we see on this 5 chart occur in 2024; correct? 6 The lowest numbers you're 8 saying -- you're referring to 14.3 so 9 there we're seeing one incident every 14 10 hours on average. 11 And that is lower than any other Q. 12 time that is plotted here; correct? Α. 13 Yes. I notice in 2020 and 2021 we see 14 15 higher spikes and you grayed out two and a 16 half years for COVID. What is the significance of COVID to your analysis and 17 18 why did you delineate that? 19 Α. So COVID is delineated there by 20 the time in which COVID was present. I 21 determined that period as Uber's mask 22 policy and that provides context for those 23 The world at that time we know 24 that bars weren't as open, we know that 25 people were staying home more so that's

Page 218 1 KELLER 2 just providing some context to the numbers 3 during that period of time. Did you do any investigation or 4 look behind like why the numbers rose in COVID and why they are falling or are you 6 just reporting the numbers as you see them 8 in the data? 9 Α. This is what is reported in the 10 data and I want to make sure that you're understanding this image correctly. A 11 12 rise and a fall of this image, lower is 13 more frequent and higher is less frequent. 14 Unlike other images in the report, higher is more incidents or more reports and so 15 this is in some ways kind of the inverse, 16 if that makes sense. 17 18 Ο. I understand and I understand why 19 you're confused by my question. 20 dotted line in Figure 2, what does that 21 represent? 22 Α. That's the average across all 23 years. 24 And that average includes every Ο. 25 year plotted here, including the COVID

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 219 1 KELLER 2 averages where there's a greater number of 3 hours per each alleged nonconsensual sexual penetration? 4 I would say this is an average of Α. all the years included in this chart 6 taking into account 2017 where one 8 incident on average and I determined -- I 9 define the term in my report how I 10 calculate this but we'll just use the 11 simple terms in our definition here, every 12 16.3 hours and then during the COVID 13 years, 38.4 hours between incidents on 14 average, if you're normalizing to time. 15 So that bottom line includes all the years 16 that are shown on the graph. 17 Ο. And to make sure I'm clear, I 18 think you've answered this but you didn't 19 do a look behind to try to account for any 20 confounding factors or any reasons why 21 these numbers might be changing; is that 22 right? 23 MS. WILKINS: Form. 24 THE WITNESS: So these are -- I'm 25 not quite sure what you mean by that

Page 220 1 **KELLER** because these are off the total volume 2 3 from Uber so those are reporting the total volume from Uber. 4 BY MS. LEVY: In other words, it's math. You 6 Ο. looked at the total volume divided by the 8 number of hours in a year? 9 Other way around. Hours in the 10 year divided by the total incident rate. 11 But yes, math. 12 You did not, for example, look at 13 the impact of news media or this 14 litigation or attorney advertising on the numbers, on drivers to the numbers, you 15 16 didn't do any look at those kind of factors, did you? 17 That would be a different 18 Α. 19 analysis. I did not need to do that for 20 this analysis that I've included here. 21 Okay. And you didn't -- you 22 didn't do it for this chart but you didn't 23 do it -- you didn't need to do it and you didn't do it; correct? 24 25 Α. It being -- so it being the look

Page 221 1 KELLER 2 behind or some sort of informed reason, is 3 that what you're asking me? Ο. Yes, I am. 4 So I took the numbers --Α. 5 Let me make sure we're clear. 6 Ο. 7 What I want to understand is when you say 8 it's not necessary, I want to make sure 9 that's a clean no, like I didn't do it. 10 You said it wasn't necessary for this. 11 want to know if you did it for a different 12 That's what I'm trying to get 13 to. 14 So in my report, to do I see. 15 that type of analysis was not necessary 16 because my report looks at the data that 17 Uber had in its possession as opposed to 18 what it disclosed to the public, draw 19 those comparisons and we can also talk 20 about the other things they did with that 21 data, S-RAD, but I think I've been very 22 clear that that's what I'm doing here. 23 You were not trying to figure out 24 why this was happening, you were simply 25 looking at the numbers that Uber had and

Page 222 1 KELLER 2 the numbers that it put out; is that fair? MS. WILKINS: Object to form, it 3 mischaracterizes her prior testimony. 4 THE WITNESS: I'm a data analyst. 5 I'm looking at the data. 6 BY MS. LEVY: 8 Ο. Okay. Let's -- I'm sorry. 9 And showing the calculations of Α. 10 that data, I should say. 11 Now, how you display the bar Ο. 12 chart -- let's turn to the next page -strike that. 13 14 Turn to page 23, please. Figure 15 Figure 3 shows the rate of sexual 16 assault and misconduct incidents per year; 17 correct? 18 Α. Using the same methodologies that 19 Uber -- methodology but the same type of 20 rate that Uber uses in its safety reports, 21 the per 100 million trips. 22 And so that -- you've honed in on 23 one of the things I want to ask you about 24 is you actually took the numbers for 2017 25 and you multiplied them by 100 million;

Page 223 1 KELLER 2 right? 3 Α. Yes, because I wanted to replicate what Uber, the same 4 representation that Uber does in its 5 safety reports. 6 7 And so when we look at these 8 numbers at the top of the bar charts, for 9 example, 2017, 6,869, that is a much 10 smaller number but multiplied by a hundred million. That's what we're looking at 11 12 here? 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It is a 14 15 representation following the same 16 methodology that Uber uses or the same representation. I won't say 17 18 methodology because that means much broader things. It follows the same 19 20 characterization of the numbers that 21 Uber does so I'm trying to show an apples -- if Uber had shown what they 22 23 had internally in the same way that it presents the numbers in its safety 24 25 reports in this per 100 million, what

	Page 224
1	KELLER
2	that data would show.
3	BY MS. LEVY:
4	Q. If you looked at how often these
5	incidents occur per every 10 million
6	trips, the numbers would shrink; right?
7	A. But that's not what Uber shows in
8	its safety reports so I'm replicating
9	that.
LO	Q. If you looked at how often these
L1	incidents occur per every one million
L2	trip, they would shrink even further;
L3	right?
L4	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L5	THE WITNESS: Again, my my
L6	intention here was to show the data in
L7	the way that Uber shows it in its
L8	safety reports but with all the data
L9	it had available to it.
20	BY MS. LEVY:
21	Q. And the incidents that are
22	plotted here in Figure 3, that includes
23	every single incident of every report that
24	Uber collected that you saw in the Flack
25	data including the least serious like

Page 225 1 KELLER 2 flirting and staring and making a comment, 3 this is all the incidents; right? Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 4 THE WITNESS: Uber -- so a few 5 things. Uber says it believes victims 6 7 so I'm calculating all of the 8 incidents that are reported to Uber 9 and I'm doing so on the Uber -- on the calculations that Uber made itself on 10 its own data via the interrogatory 11 12 responses. BY MS. LEVY: 13 And it also -- in addition to 14 Ο. 15 including every type of incident no matter 16 how serious, it also includes all the reports made by people who weren't riders, 17 18 this is every report whether it's made by a driver, a rider or a third party; right? 19 20 Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 21 THE WITNESS: My understanding is 22 they are all the reports that are made 23 on the Uber platform but Uber has verified that -- I can't remember the 24 25 terminology specifically that they use

Page 226 1 KELLER 2 that it was, for example, a trip that wasn't on the platform. This includes 3 all of the reports that were made on 4 the Uber platform by riders, drivers and third parties. That's what Uber 6 7 has reported the data to be. Uber has 8 not provided a field in the 9 interrogatory response to break that 10 out by driver or rider. I will say that, and this is the same answer that 11 12 or similar answer to what I've given 13 before, the Flack data that was just produced contains additional fields 14 15 that I am -- will review, haven't had 16 a chance to review, that may shed some 17 light on that breakdown but I have not 18 had a chance to review that yet. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 So the bar graph we're looking at 21 in Figure 3, some of those were reports of 22 driver misconducts, others of these 23

driver misconducts, others of these incidents reflected in this figure are reports of rider misconduct and others are reports of third-party misconducts; true?

24

25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 227 1 KELLER It reflects all of the reports 2 Α. 3 made to the platform. If you isolated only the reports 4 of drivers engaging in sexual assault and misconduct against riders, you would 6 expect those numbers to be smaller than 8 these; correct? 9 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 10 THE WITNESS: I have not analyzed that yet so similar to that on table 11 12 1, I don't want to theorize on 13 something that I haven't analyzed yet. BY MS. LEVY: 14 15 If you isolate just one of the 16 three categories you would expect them to go down; true? 17 18 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 19 THE WITNESS: This figure we're 20 talking about is by year so it's not 21 by particular categories, it's all the 22 categories combined. 23 BY MS. LEVY: 24 And you have not done that 25 analysis; correct?

Page 228

KELLER

A. At the time of filing my report,
Uber had not produced data that would
allow me to do so. I'm reviewing -- Uber
had either -- Uber had not produced data
that allowed me to do so, all the
interrogatory responses, or I was awaiting
data from the Flack system that I was
anticipating being -- having fields that
might shed light on such an issue.

- Q. Let's turn the page and look at Figure 4 on page 24. This figure illustrates your calculated rate of rape incidents per year; correct?
- A. This is what I have calculated using the data that Uber has provided to me using the nonconsensual penetration, also known as rape, divided by the volume of trips that Uber produced in its interrogatory responses.
- Q. And the numbers that we're seeing here, the total numbers of reports where the reported incident was nonconsensual sexual penetration, that's the white numbers we see at the top of the bar

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 229 1 KELLER 2 graphs; correct? 3 Α. Those are the reports per 100 million trips. To be clear it's not the 4 raw numbers, it's a rate. 5 It's out of every hundred 6 Q. million trips; correct? 8 Α. 9 Ο. In 2017? 10 In 2017, the rates per 100 million trips, we see some fluctuations in 11 12 the interim years and the final year you 13 per hundred million trips. 14 In 2017, for example, I haven't Ο. 15 seen the conversion. That's one out of how many million rides? 16 I haven't done that math. 17 18 would have to move decimal points over to 19 do that analysis and to be honest it's late in the afternoon and I don't want to 20 21 mess up. Every time someone asks me to do 22 math on the record I get nervous. 23 want to give me a calculator I'm happy to do it. 24 25 Q. You would have to move the

	Page 230
1	KELLER
2	decimal point over by two decimal places
3	to do one out of a million; right?
4	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.
5	THE WITNESS: We would have to
6	move the decimal place, yes, but this
7	image is reflecting the rates that
8	Uber puts in its safety report which
9	is why I chose that per hundred
LO	million trips.
L1	BY MS. LEVY:
L2	Q. And for this metric, just like
L3	the other metrics we've talked about
L4	previously, you were not asked to and did
L5	not compare the rate of allegations of
L6	nonconsensual sexual penetration on Uber
L7	to anything outside of Uber, to how often
L8	that happens in the real world or other
L9	methods of transportation, you didn't do
20	that analysis; correct?
21	A. This is the real world. I love
22	this term. You and I have got this term
23	in opioids and here it is again.
24	The real world is this data.
25	These are real incidents. A Jaylynn Dean

Page 231

1 KELLER

incident is a real allegation of rape. So that is an incident that's in this data. So to the extent that I'm analyzing real reports that happened to Uber or happened on the Uber platform that Uber recorded and is in their dataset, that's what's reflected in my report.

- Q. I take your point and I completely agree with you. I don't disagree with that characterization. Let me ask it a better way. You did not compare the rates or the risk of rape occurring on an Uber platform to the risk of it occurring anywhere else in the real world, anywhere else in the world, let's say, take out real?
- A. The same answer as previously today. I did not need to do that analysis to do my analysis which was to compare the data that Uber had in its possession, that it tabulated from these interrogatory responses, that it studied internally, that it made the S-RAD program off of versus what it told the public.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 232 1 KELLER And nobody asked you to look and 2 3 compare these rates to taxis, to walking down the street, to other situations 4 somebody might be in, that is not 5 something that you were asked to do for 6 this case? 8 MS. WILKINS: This has been asked 9 and answered many times. 10 THE WITNESS: My assignment was 11 the same answer that I've given 12 before, is to look at the data that 13 Uber had in its possession, what it did with that data and how it 14 15 presented that data to the public. 16 BY MS. LEVY: 17 On the next page, 25, paragraph 18 36, here we see the same thing. We see 19 display of the data per 100 million trips; 20 is that correct? 21 So this, I think you just said 22 the data so just to be clear, this is the rate of the publicly disclosed five so 23 these are the Uber five disclosed 24 25 categories using per 100 million trips

Page 233 1 KELLER which is the metric that Uber also 2 utilizes in its safety reports. 3 And Uber refers to this as the Ο. 4 5 five most serious categories as we discussed before; correct? 6 That is one characterization of 8 those five but they are the same five. 9 Okay. And in order to get -- in 10 order to understand how frequently those most five serious incidents occur out of 11 12 every three million trips, you would have 13 to move the decimal two places over; correct? 14 That would be a different 15 Α. 16 denominator. I'm using the denominator 17 Uber uses in its safety reports. 18 Q. Right, but in order to show how 19 frequently those top five events are 20 reported to occur on Uber, you would have 21 to move the decimal place two places? 22 If -- let me make sure I'm 23 understanding. So if you wanted to show 24 it per one million trips versus 100 25 million trips, you're asking if you move

Page 234 1 **KELLER** the decimal place correctly? 2 3 Ο. Yes. Yes, to do that you've done your 4 Α. 5 math correctly but I'm saying I've shown the method in which Uber shows this data 6 in its safety reports. 8 Now, we've talked a little bit 9 today about your views on underreporting 10 and I think I understand you to say you have not calculated or estimated what 11 12 might be the rate of underreporting. That is not something that you've done a 13 calculation of: correct? 14 I've noted that both Uber -- that 15 16 Uber is aware of underreporting internally 17 and in its statements in safety reports 18 but that's the extent to my opinion on that at this time. 19 20 Paragraph 37 of your report cites 21 to a U.S. Department of Justice study 22 about the rate of reporting. You're not 23 aware of any analysis of internally at 24 Uber or that you've done yourself that 25 compares whether individuals report more

Page 235 1 KELLER 2 frequently to Uber through its reporting 3 channels than they do outside of the Uber platform, you're not aware of any analysis 4 5 comparing on Uber rates of reporting versus outside of Uber rates of reporting; 6 correct? 8 Δ What I am aware of is that Uber 9 has internal documents that are aware of 10 the underreporting due to the -- I don't 11 know if I want to use the intimate, the 12 closeness of the rider/driver pairings 13 because that driver may know where the rider lives. I know I've reviewed 14 15 thousands of tickets that had a keyword 16 phrase similar to that of he knows where I 17 live, they know where I live. I've done 18 that analysis but that's -- that's what 19 comes to mind sitting here today. 20 Q. Have you made it part of your 21 work in this case to study whether 22 individuals reporting sexual misconduct 23 are more likely to report strangers or 24 people that they know?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

That would be a different

25

Α.

Page 236 1 KELLER analysis than what I've done. What I do 2 3 know is what Uber has said internally and to the public on the issue, as well as the 4 5 tickets that I've seen highlighting their fear of retaliation due to the driver 6 knowing where they live. 8 So I think when you say this has 9 been a different analysis, you mean you 10 didn't look into whether generally in the world reporting of strangers is higher 11 12 reporting rates or lower reporting rates 13 than reporting sexual misconduct against individuals that someone knows, that's not 14 15 something that you did as part of your 16 study here? MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 17 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know how 19 you're defining the driver someone 20 knows or they are considered a 21 stranger, I don't know how you define 22 that. My opinions are shown pretty 23 clearly in my report here and those 24 are my opinions. /// 25

Page 237 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 Ο. Your assumption just as a matter of common sense is riders and drivers are 4 almost always strangers; right? I don't know. Yes or no I 6 Α. don't -- Uber operates in small towns, big 8 I would be totally quessing. 9 know personal experience, I know two Uber 10 I would be totally guessing what drivers. 11 percentage are strangers versus 12 acquaintances. 13 Moving on to opinion 2 on page Ο. 14 26, this opinion is encapsulated in Figure 15 6 on page 27 and here this is actually not 16 something that you created but this is a 17 screenshot from Uber's own blog post in 18 earlier this year; correct? 19 Α. I would say that's not quite a 20 correct representation of my report. That 21 figure is one basis but there are -- so 22 Figure 6, let's be very specific, is one 23 part of that opinion but opinion 2 spans a 24 number of paragraphs that have that 25 analysis that support it.

Page 238 1 KELLER Okay. So let's look at Figure 6. 2 Did you recalculate the percentages of 3 most serious and by that I mean the five 4 5 categories that Uber calls most serious, versus all other categories, did you run a 6 different analysis and get different numbers than Uber has illustrated here in 8 9 this pie chart? 10 Α. That's discussed in paragraph 39, 11 that comparison. 12 And what do you think are -- do Q. 13 you agree that the topmost serious as 14 disclosed in Uber's safety reports 15 constitutes 3 percent --16 Α. That's wrong -- I'm sorry, I 17 talked over you. 18 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't finish my 19 question. I was in the middle of a cough. 20 In Figure 6, when Uber represents that the 21 most serious categories, meaning the five 22 most serious categories represent 23 3 percent of its reports in Uber safety 24 reports, do you believe that number is 25 correct or incorrect?

Page 239

KELLER

A. So I'm saying that my analysis of that image, so I'm putting that image in here, is below in paragraph 39 and so that -- to look at 2017 to 2022, that number would be 12522 divided by the 392828 and put that percentage in there, but that's the analysis that I would do. I don't have my calculator in front of me. I have a feeling it's very close to 3 percent.

- Q. As you sit here today, you're not saying that 3 percent is wrong, it's a miscalculation?
- A. I'm saying my clarification of that figure in paragraph 39. Sorry, I like to be very specific when I respond. If I haven't done the math and can't check myself I don't want to be certain, but what I'm telling you that if you take 12522 divided by 392828 that will arrive at my calculation of that number which based off of the subsequent sentence I assume to be very close to 3 percent.
- Q. Okay. And you further state in paragraph 39 that -- in the bottom of

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 240 1 KELLER 2 paragraph 39, in the last sentence it 3 says, "Considering the SA/SM incidents from 2023 to 2024, Uber has currently 4 5 disclosed 2.3 percent of all SA/SM 6 incidents from 2017 through '24." 7 Do you see where I'm reading 8 from? 9 Α. Yes, ma'am. 10 Here's one weird question. Right 11 before the 2.3 it says OBJ. What is that 12 error message? 13 Honestly, I was a little like Α. 14 distracted when I was looking at that. 15 That comes from -- we use Google Docs and 16 when the document gets converted to pdfs, 17 if something doesn't know to look for 18 those, they appear. They are like from 19 like track changes or something like that 20 so it means nothing other than just 21 something from the computer system, so it 22 doesn't have any special meaning that I'm 23 attributing to. It's a leftover from that 24 process and I apologize for that. 25 Q. And so I think is it fair to say

Page 241 1 KELLER 2 that your point here is if Uber extrapolated to what you see in the Flack 3 data all the way through 2024, then the 4 5 number it has currently disclosed would be 2.3 percent of SA/SM incidents in that 6 time frame? 8 I wouldn't use the word 9 extrapolated but what this 2.3 percent is 10 showing the 12,522 it's compared to the total incidents we have at the top of the 11 12 report that appear in the Flack data that 13 Uber produced and tabulated in this 14 litigation and specifically the 15 interrogatory data, I should say. 16 There isn't anywhere that Uber 17 has publicly given the wrong number from 18 2023 and 2024, you're just saying it 19 hasn't disclosed the percentage that goes 20 all the way through that time frame? 21 MS. WILKINS: Mischaracterizes 22 prior testimony. 23 THE WITNESS: So I answer; right? 24 So what I'm saying is it's 2025, 25 nearing the end of 2025. To date Uber

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 242 1 KELLER has disclosed 12,522 incidents to the 2 public but it knows of -- I can't 3 recall the 500,000 incidents that it 4 5 disclosed in the Flack data as part of this litigation. 6 BY MS. LEVY: 8 So the number, the 2.3 percent is 9 the number actually disclosed as the numerator and the denominator if it had 10 disclosed the number of every single 11 12 incident; is that fair? 13 Α. That's a little strange because if it had disclosed those incidents it 14 would have disclosed them. So I think the 15 16 correct or the way that I'm thinking about this is it's disclosed 12,522 incidents 17 18 and then I'm looking up in the exhibit 19 here to earlier in my report, there's 20 546,196 incidents in the data that it 21 tabulated from the Flack system from 2017 22 So it has not disclosed -- it's to 2024. 23 disclosed some of those but not all of those incidents so that's what that number 24 25 represents.

Page 243 1 KELLER 2 And you do not dispute that Uber 3 never said these are all the categories, it never misrepresented that it is 4 disclosing all the categories, that's not 5 your complaint, your complaint is it could 6 have but did not do that; correct? 8 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 9 THE WITNESS: I'm showing the difference between what Uber has 10 disclosed for those five plus the 68 11 12 that were in those five as -- sorry, 13 that messes up that calculation but 14 what I'm saying is what Uber disclosed 15 as part of the safety reports, 12,522 16 as the numerator and the denominator is the total number of incidents that 17 18 it received on the platform and that 19 it tabulated in its interrogatory 20 response in this litigation. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 And again, I believe that -- I 23 think I understand your prior testimony to apply here as well, that you do not intend 24 25 to offer any opinions other than what the

Page 244 1 KELLER numbers were disclosed here or the numbers 2 you saw in the Flack, for example, you do 3 not intend to offer the opinion that they 4 should have disclosed more information or that it would have been good or better or 6 helped people or hurt people to disclose 8 more information, you don't intend to go 9 further and offer value judgments, you 10 intend to stick with what we see here, here is the number that was disclosed and 11 12 here is the number that was not? Objection, compound 13 MS. WILKINS: 14 nature of the question and also this has been asked and answered. 15 THE WITNESS: So similarly that 16 I've answered before, what I'm showing 17 here is the data that Uber had in its 18 19 possession, what it did with that 20 data, program that it created called S-RAD and what it disclosed to the 21 22 public about that. 23 BY MS. LEVY: 24 Similar question in Figure 7, the 25 blue that we talked about before, the blue

Page 245 1 **KELLER** lines are number of incidents in the 2 3 safety report that contain reporting on the numbers and the purple or chartreuse 4 5 are numbers that Uber did not include, they represent incidents in categories 6 that Uber did not put numbers to in its 8 safety reports; is that true? 9 Not quite. So that's why I want 10 to be very clear about what this figure is because I don't want it to be --11 12 I'm still listening. I'm getting Q. 13 a cough drop. The 71,080, for example, in 2017 14 15 is inclusive of the five categories that 16 Uber disclosed in its safety report so 17 it's not in addition to the categories 18 that it hasn't disclosed, it's all of the 19 categories so it's a comparison. Does 20 that make sense? 21 It absolutely does and I 22 appreciate the clarification. And again 23 as we discussed earlier, this is an illustration of if Uber had chosen to put 24 25 numbers in every category it would have

Page 246 1 KELLER 2 looked like the purple numbers as opposed to the categories it chose which is 3 reflected in the blue numbers; is that 4 fair? 5 If Uber had reported all of the 6 incidents it received and categorized it 8 would be the volume in purple. 9 And there isn't anywhere and, in 10 fact, Uber didn't ever say we are reporting in every single category, it 11 12 didn't do that. It never represented 13 every single one of the numbers, agree? MS. WILKINS: This has been asked 14 and answered a number of times. 15 16 THE WITNESS: I think that's the 17 whole point of this chart is Uber says 18 that it discloses five categories of That's the blue. Uber 19 data. 20 acknowledges another set of categories 21 in existence but doesn't disclose the 22 volume in those and with the exception 23 of the insufficient information and 24 pair category use tracking we 25 discussed earlier, the purple shows

Page 247 1 **KELLER** 2 those volumes of reports. BY MS. LEVY: 3 Ο. Table 2 on page 29, that is just 4 the underlying numbers that are illustrated in Figure 7 beside it; 6 correct? 8 Α. Yes, it's the underlying numbers. 9 They should line up exactly. The blues are the second column, the purple column 10 is the third column. The totals are 11 12 reflected in the total rows and you'll see there's two totals, one to reflect the 13 14 safety time period and one to reflect the 15 final years. 16 Just like Uber has never said 17 hey, we're going to put -- we're going to 18 give numbers for every single category, it also has not ever said our safety reports 19 20 include numbers from every single serious 21 category, it never said that either; 22 correct? 23 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 24 THE WITNESS: Can you ask that again because I think that's 25

	Page 248
1	KELLER
2	contradicting something that
3	BY MS. LEVY:
4	Q. I think we've covered this and
5	maybe you're misunderstanding me. The
6	safety report describes that it is
7	reporting for the five most serious
8	categories in Uber's terms; correct?
9	A. Yes.
LO	Q. It does not say we are reporting
L1	numbers for all categories; right?
L2	A. The same answer as what we just
L3	went over for the corresponding figure.
L4	Q. Nor does it say we're reporting
L5	numbers for all categories that we
L6	consider to be serious, it doesn't say
L7	those words either?
L8	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L9	THE WITNESS: I'm getting are
20	you I don't understand because the
21	first part of your question just says
22	Uber's safety reports talks about the
23	five categories it considers to be the
24	most serious, and you're talking about
25	serious again so I think that's maybe

Page 249 1 KELLER the problem. 2 3 BY MS. LEVY: Let me clarify because I asked Ο. 4 5 the question poorly. I don't want you to be confused. 6 7 Uber never promised that it is 8 going to report every single category, the 9 numbers in those, nor did it ever promise 10 that it would be reporting every single serious category, it didn't do those, it 11 12 didn't say that that's what it would do; 13 right? 14 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't quite 16 understand how you're defining serious. I have what Uber refers to 17 18 as the reportable five and the 19 internal designation of serious SA/SM 20 and then all of the categories. Uber 21 in its safety reports says that it 22 bullies victims and I just don't 23 understand what you're defining as 24 serious and I don't want to guess because those are three different 25

Page 250 1 KELLER characterizations of what could be 2 considered serious. 3 BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Yeah, let me see if I can do it Ο. in baby steps. You have agreed that the 6 safety report does not represent itself as 8 reporting on all of the categories, we 9 agree on that? 10 Α. The safety report reports the five that it claims to report. 11 12 Ο. And nor does the safety report 13 represent that it is reporting on all 14 serious categories, it simply says the 15 five most serious. Do you agree? 16 So that is the word serious, I 17 really am not trying to be difficult. Are 18 you trying to compare -- when I use the 19 term serious, I'm using either the 20 reportable five that Uber has said are the 21 most serious or the internal 22 categorization of serious SA/SM. 23 anything outside of that is a new definition to me and I just don't know how 24 to define that and so that's where I'm 25

Page 251 1 KELLER 2 struggling. 3 I think we've answered this but I don't see anywhere in your report where 4 5 you say hey, the safety report promised that it was going to give us numbers for 6 things that it never gave us. I don't 8 believe that is one of your opinions. Am 9 I right? 10 Α. I'm talking about the numbers 11 that the safety report disclosed. I'm not talking about safety report promises. 12 13 Because you don't see anywhere 0. any safety report promises to disclose 14 15 numbers for more categories than it does 16 disclose, you have not pointed us to that? 17 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 18 THE WITNESS: So the promise --19 the safety report does say a lot of 20 forward-looking things and in its 21 statements, I would say, they talk 22 about transparency and make really 23 broad statements about what they --24 how they are viewing the problem 25 internally. I am mostly concerned in

Page 252 1 KELLER 2 my report about what Uber has 3 disclosed numbers wise to the public. BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Okay. In 45 you list some of the subcategories that Uber has not put --6 attached numbers to and you've picked up 8 nine of them. Are you with me? 9 I think there's eight. Are we 10 talking about the same thing, the eight that are in --11 12 Paragraph 45. I see 45.1 through Q. 13 45.9 in your list. Are you with me? 14 I sure am but why does -- hang on 15 one second. Sorry, I was in paragraph 42 16 and I was looking at a different list. 17 Okay. 18 Some of these categories, flirting, there could be conduct in 19 20 flirting that is not sexual misconduct or 21 sexual assault to a law enforcement offer, 22 for example. Do you agree with that? 23 MS. WILKINS: Calls for a legal 24 opinion. 25 THE WITNESS: I don't know how a

	Page 253
1	KELLER
2	law enforcement officer would
3	categorize those. What I am
4	calculating is how Uber categorized
5	those incidents in its own taxonomy.
6	BY MS. LEVY:
7	Q. And have you looked at the for
8	each of these categories listed in 45,
9	have you looked at underlying reports to
10	see for yourself whether it's clear from
11	the reported information and how they
12	categorize these things or whether it's
13	difficult and requires subjectivity, was
14	that anything you did for your analysis?
15	A. So you're asking it was very
16	clear from the data that Uber produced how
17	they categorized the reports because
18	those it was either in that category or
19	not, Uber in its interrogatory response
20	made it very clear what category they were
21	putting the tickets into.
22	Q. And many of the reports in these
23	categories report incidents that aren't
24	typically viewed as sexual assault or
25	sexual misconduct, for example, staring at

Page 254 1 KELLER 2 someone in a mirror, is that something 3 that you thought of before this case as sexual misconduct, looking in a rear-view 4 mirror? MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 6 7 THE WITNESS: That's not part of 8 my opinion, what I personally thought. 9 What my opinion is is what Uber 10 thought that category was and how they categorized that data so my results 11 12 show that categorization. BY MS. LEVY: 13 14 And things like saying someone is 15 pretty or I like your dress or commenting 16 on appearance, you haven't done any look 17 behind to analyze whether the reports that 18 make up these categories involve 19 subjectivity or the severity of the 20 allegation, that's something you did as part of your analysis, right, you're just 21 22 counting the numbers? 23 For this report because there 24 was -- because there was forthcoming Flack data that is tied to the number of 25

Page 255

KELLER

incidents, I had not been able to do that analysis at the time of my report and I don't know what I'm going to opine on given that new data. Given the interrogatory responses from the Flack data that Uber provided, that type of analysis would not be possible because they produced aggregated numbers off of that dataset.

- Q. I think you talked about earlier you've seen in the course of your work a lot of tickets. You've seen Bliss tickets, you've seen Jira tickets, you've certainly seen tickets that include very innocuous behavior that wouldn't traditionally be considered sexual assault or sexual misconduct. You've seen tickets like that that are included in these numbers?
- A. That's not what I said. I said I looked at numerous tickets. I did not put the characterization on them that they were not part of sexual assault or sexual misconduct so I have reviewed tickets to

Page 256

KELLER

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see the types of information that were contained in those tickets. What the Flack data in my understanding of it will provide is some aggregation to a dominant ticket if there is duplication among tickets. So that dominant ticket may be categorized in a different way by Uber than the other tickets that are part of So the reason why I am hesitant to make any statements about this is I have not done that analysis to look at those aggregated tickets to the dominant ticket. I've looked at tons of tickets but because that dominant ticket categorization is still outstanding or was outstanding until recently, I'm not offering any opinions on that at this time because I have not done so.

Q. In the ticket you've looked at have you run across tickets that are included in Uber's definition of sexual misconduct in the way that it has constructed its taxonomy that aren't things that you would traditionally

Page 257

KELLER

consider sexual misconduct, have you run across tickets that fall under that category?

- A. So I think I've answered this before. I'm not making my own taxonomy. I'm following the way that Uber has categorized these, which is why the Flack interrogatory response and ultimately the new Flack fields are important to that analysis because it's how Uber categorizes that. I'm not independently categorizing them.
- Q. You understand that the majority of the incidents that appear in the Flack data involve nonphysical incidents, not touching, is that something you know?
- A. That's not an analysis that I've done. I do know that a number of the comments and misconduct incidents are potential indicators of later assaults.

 Uber has done that analysis and that's where I'm getting that understanding but that's the extent to the analysis that I've done on the comparison of the two.

Page 258 1 KELLER 2 And you haven't made an attempt Ο. 3 to look at the way Uber collects this data is underinclusive or overinclusive 4 compared to what other incidents of sexual 5 assault might be, that's not something 6 you've done in your work in this case? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: Is this a different 10 question than -- how is this a 11 different question than am I making my 12 own taxonomy or is it a similar? BY MS. LEVY: 13 14 I'm not asking if you made a 15 separate Lacey Keller taxonomy. 16 asking in addition to not doing that, you haven't looked at the things that fall in 17 18 flirting to see if those kinds of complaints would be considered sexual 19 20 misconduct or sexual assault in other 21 taxonomies by law enforcement or like a 22 normal vernacular, that's not what you 23 looked at; right? 24 Uber already did that. Uber 25 already categorized the incidents and they

Page 259 1 KELLER 2 have a field for that and especially in the Flack data there's the dominant ticket 3 which I have not yet considered because 4 5 that data has just been produced so Uber has done that work for me to categorize 6 I don't need to do that myself. 8 If Uber took the very, very broad approach in what it included in its 9 10 taxonomy including things like dirty looks, flirting, comments on appearance, 11 12 Uber took a broad approach to that, do you 13 agree? 14 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't offer an 16 opinion on whether it was broad or comprehensive. What I offer an 17 18 opinion on is the number of incidents 19 that are in those categories and what 20 Uber -- and the volume of that 21 compared to the incidents that it 22 reported to the public. 23 BY MS. LEVY: And you didn't look to see if the 24 stuff, the incidents that Uber included 25

Page 260 1 KELLER 2 are things that are normally outside an Uber context considered to be sexual 3 assault, you didn't compare it to anything 4 outside an Uber context; is that true? MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I don't understand 8 how that -- I don't understand that 9 question and how that's different from I did not need to do that 10 before. because I'm looking at information in 11 12 Uber, what they did with that data and what they told to the public. 13 14 Uber already does the categorization so I don't need to do that. And those 15 16 categories are the categories that 17 Uber has, that Uber has produced data 18 on. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 Looking at heading C on 33 where Q. 21 you note that Uber has categorized more 22 sexual assault or sexual 23 misconduct incidents as insufficient 24 information, a subcategory that Uber did 25 not disclose in Uber's U.S. safety

Page 261 1 KELLER 2 reports, have you gone to look at the 3 reports that make up the insufficient information category? 4 So I have looked at a number of 5 Α. I am sure that some of them 6 include insufficient information but my 8 report looks at the volume that is in that 9 category and I am not recategorizing the 10 data that Uber has already categorized. I 11 take the data as Uber has presented it in 12 this litigation and furthermore -- sorry, 13 just one more thing, the Flack data also I 14 think -- I hate to repeat myself -- has 15 fields that also would be part of 16 potential analysis and I reserve the right 17 to do so. 18 It's not your opinion in this 19 case for those category of 20 insufficient information that that was not 21 true, that Uber really did have sufficient 22 information, that you have not done the 23 analysis to look at that and you're not 24 offering that opinion; am I right? 25 Α. So to do that next level, I would

Page 262

KELLER

need data that is at the ticket level and then also I need data that looks at the final categorization. That missing link is the Flack data that was just produced. I'm not sure my opinion of that data because it was just produced, it was actually reproduced so I don't want to say one way or the other at this time if I'm not planning to offer any opinions. The report that I currently have filed discusses the volume that's been categorized into that field by Uber and that's -- that's the basis of that opinion.

Q. And similarly, with respect to the category of parent category usage tracking that is referenced in paragraph 48 of your report, you haven't at this point in time offered any opinions that Uber is wrong about those tickets and that they belong somewhere else, you don't have an opinion as to whether you agree or disagree that this category is made up of tickets and don't have enough detail to

Page 263

KELLER

classify in one of the subcategories, that's not work you've done; correct?

- A. I would say the same answer as insufficient information with all the caveats I gave about Flack data and the fields within it might provide some insight. But at this point in time, my report focuses on the volume because that's the data that was available to me at the time of filing this report.
- Q. One last question before we take a break and I'm going to try to address my coughing situation. I want to talk about one last paragraph rather. 49, I think we talked about earlier that as a result of your analysis of the Flack data, you've identified 68 reports of the 564,000 plus that you identify were not in publicly disclosed in the five most serious categories but that were found in the Flack data; is that correct?
- A. Yeah, just a few points. So the 68 are, if you look at the five reportable five categories as I define them which is

Page 264 1 KELLER the same as the publicly disclosed five --2 3 I'm sorry, reportable five was what I've using today. We've used publicly 4 5 disclosed five in my report, my apologies. The publicly disclosed five categories, if 6 you look at those five categories of data 8 in the Flack interrogatory response, tally 9 those up and compare it to the safety 10 reports, you get 11 specifically are in that rape 12 or -- that rape category. 13 And that, what we see about those 14 reports in paragraph 49 is the extent of 15 your analysis. You have not done further 16 analysis on when those were reported, why they might be missing, what we see written 17 18 here in 49 is the extent of your opinions 19 as you sit here today on that issue? 20 MS. WILKINS: This has been asked 21 and answered. 22 THE WITNESS: For the same 23 reasons as insufficient information, I 24 think we covered this earlier, I 25 reserve the right because of the Flack

	Page 265
1	KELLER
2	production that was just recently
3	made, I have not reviewed that data in
4	its entirety so at the time of filing
5	this report, that is the extent of my
6	opinion on this because the data was
7	not produced in a way to do any
8	additional research or analysis, I
9	should say.
10	MS. LEVY: Let's take a break.
11	If you guys are amenable to go off the
12	record.
13	MS. WILKINS: Fine.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
15	record. The time is 5:55 p.m. This
16	is the end of media unit 4.
17	(Recess taken from 5:55 p.m. to
18	6:10 p.m.)
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
20	the record. The time is 6:10 p.m.
21	Eastern. This is the beginning of
22	media unit 5.
23	BY MS. LEVY:
24	Q. Ms. Keller, before the break we
25	were and before we go on to opinion 3,

Page 266 1 **KELLER** 2 I want to circle back to something we talked about before the break. We had 3 talked about a category of data in the 4 Flack data that was called insufficient 5 information and that was -- and you talk 6 about that some in your report in the ways 8 we've discussed earlier; correct? 9 Α. We talked about insufficient information. 10 11 Ο. You also talk in your report 12 about missingness, the concept of 13 missingness. 14 Where do you talk about that? 15 Is that a term that you use and 16 think of and have thought about with 17 respect to these reports, missingness? I don't see that I use that term. 18 Α. 19 Can you point me to my report where I use 20 that term? 21 I will do that in just a Ο. Yes. 22 minute. And while we're finding that, let 23 me ask you about insufficient information. 24 The incidents that we're talking about 25 that form the basis of the Flack data,

Page 267 1 KELLER 2 these reports of sexual misconduct and 3 sexual assault, those are reports that Uber receives from a reporter; correct? 4 5 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The reports that 6 7 it -- that is in the Flack data is --8 are representative of the reports that 9 it has received. BY MS. LEVY: 10 11 And they are self reports, Q. 12 meaning they were reports by individuals 13 who are making them and they contain 14 whatever information they contain, nothing 15 more, nothing less. Do you agree with 16 that? 17 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 18 THE WITNESS: So are you -- I'm 19 not quite sure what you mean by like 20 report, if that's the first report. I 21 know that I've seen in my review of 22 the Bliss and Jira data that there are 23 a number of back and forth messages, communications. I think we even -- in 24 25 my report I show this in the driver

Page 268 1 KELLER profiles, communications with drivers 2 3 and the writers as part of that investigation so there's a lot of 4 components to those tickets, so I'm not sure what specifically you're 6 referring to. 8 BY MS. LEVY: 9 So I'm not trying to be tricky. 10 When Uber receives these reports, it's limited by the information that it 11 12 receives, it can't analyze or classify 13 information it doesn't have, do you agree with that? 14 15 That Uber begins an investigation 16 when it gets those reports so it responds, it contacts the driver. If there's 17 18 footage to review, it looks at that 19 footage, it looks at data, the GPS data 20 that it collects on the trip, it reviews a 21 number of data sources it has at its 22 disposal. 23 You raise a very good point. 24 Uber could have chosen to categorize and 25 count incidents only that were

Page 269 1 KELLER 2 corroborated or only that were 3 investigated and determined to be consistent with the reporter, but it 4 didn't do that. It classified every 5 incident taking it at face value. You 6 understand that; correct? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I understand that 10 Uber makes those categorizations and 11 there are many steps along the way 12 where it makes those categorizations. 13 What I'm analyzing is at the time that Uber pulled this data what those 14 characterizations were and what Uber 15 16 deemed them to be at that point in time. 17 BY MS. LEVY: 18 In it Uber illustrates, provides 19 0. 20 an illustration of how the numbers would 21 go down if it only counted corroborated 22 reports or it only counted reports that 23 later were deemed to be true on their 24 It didn't do that though; right? 25 It included in the Flack data, provided

Page 270 1 KELLER all of the incidents whether they were 2 corroborated or not, it classified and 3 included all of those; true? 4 5 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: So you're asking me 6 7 to say did Uber say -- what is the 8 question, did Uber do what they said 9 they were going to do, did they 10 produce the data that they said they produced or... 11 BY MS. LEVY: 12 13 Yeah, let's answer that question. Ο. Did Uber categorize all the incidents 14 15 based on face value as opposed to 16 excluding incidents that were not corroborated or that were later determined 17 18 to have conflicting responses by the other 19 party, it just includes all of them, not 20 only a portion of them; correct? 21 MS. WILKINS: Objection to the 22 compound nature of the question and 23 it's vagueness. THE WITNESS: So I think what I'm 24 25 struggling with is the term face value

	Page 271
1	KELLER
2	because what the Flack data
3	interrogatory responses is the
4	tabulation and I can pull the rog open
5	if you would let me here because I
6	have it in cite Uber's words, but if I
7	recall, it reflects two data pulls and
8	two dates of data pulls. It reflects
9	the data at the time of those pulls.
10	And so it reflects how Uber
11	categorized those, whether an
12	investigation had happened, if they
13	contacted the driver, they received
14	information or if they couldn't make
15	any contacts, it contains all of
16	those, that information. And so I
17	think I've answered your question. I
18	think it's the term face value that
19	I'm having trouble with because face
20	value seems much more simplistic than
21	what I know to be in the Bliss and
22	Jira data. Now I have not
23	independently discussed earlier
24	because the Flack data has the
25	dominant ticket categorization, which

	Page 272
1	KELLER
2	now corresponds to this Flack
3	production and then that will
4	correspond to the Bliss and Jira data
5	or other tickets that are part of it,
6	so that analysis I haven't had a
7	chance to do because that data was
8	just produced. So I don't know if
9	that is
10	BY MS. LEVY:
11	Q. Let me take a specific example
12	that I think it's that I think will
13	make it easier. If a rider writes in or
14	calls in or makes a complaint, my driver
15	said and does not complete the sentence,
16	Uber is limited in its ability to classify
17	that by a lack of information, do you
18	agree with me?
19	MS. WILKINS: Objection to the
20	improper hypothetical.
21	THE WITNESS: So I think it's a
22	little bit more than that because if I
23	recall from the safety reports, Uber
24	classifies reports as sexual assault
25	or sexual misconduct that the reporter

Page 273 1 KELLER views as they classify them into those 2 3 categories if the reporter views them as sexual in some nature; right? So 4 that report, if that's the initial report, I've seen follow-up. So is 6 7 there follow-up in your hypothetical? 8 I don't know. 9 BY MS. LEVY: 10 Is it your understanding, I want Ο. to make sure I understand your last 11 12 answer. Is it your understanding that 13 Uber -- I think you said Uber categorizes 14 them based how the reporter feels about 15 Is that your understanding of how 16 Uber conducts the classification, trying 17 to understand how the reporter felt, whether it was sexual assault or 18 misconduct? 19 20 Α. Let me get to the safety report because it's late in the day. I want to 21 22 make sure I have a clear recollection of 23 the safety reports here. If you want I 24 can review that, but what I'm trying to 25 say is that the first report is not always

Page 274 1 **KELLER** 2 the last piece of information that Uber 3 has and so the Flack data represents the data at the time that it pulled it. 4 5 for some reports that might have been the very first report but a lot of this data 6 is from 2024. It's 2025. There's been a 8 year for follow-up. Maybe they were able 9 to obtain follow-up with individuals, 10 maybe they didn't even correspond with drivers or riders, I don't know. What I'm 11 12 showing in my analysis in this report because I haven't had the data to do it 13 from the Flack data is the volumes that 14 15 are in those categories. 16 I'm asking you a much simpler Ο. 17 question. If no one ever, no one ever, 18 not a question of timing, but if no one provides ever the information to Uber that 19 20 I was raped, Uber doesn't have that 21 information and therefore can't classify 22 Do you agree with that? 23 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 24 THE WITNESS: So if someone 25 doesn't say I was raped, they can't

Page 275 1 KELLER 2 make that report, is that your 3 question? BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Yeah, I'm trying to -- this seems Ο. 6 to be a pretty easy proposition. Uber cannot classify something as one 8 particular type or the other unless it is 9 provided with information about the 10 incident. That's all I'm trying to make 11 sure that we agree on. 12 Well, I think it's more than that Α. because based off of the data that I've 13 14 seen in the driver profiles that I've put together, so for the plaintiffs' trips and 15 their drivers, as well as from the 16 17 attachments, the sampling attachments, 18 there's data that Uber doesn't just 19 receive that's part of its investigation. 20 And I think that's where I'm getting hung 21 up is there's GPS data, there's the 22 Voyager system, there's lots of datasets 23 that Uber is looking, did you get dropped 24 off near your house, were you at the right 25 pickup location, how much time elapsed,

Page 276 1 KELLER did the driver go off line after the trip, 2 3 these are all things Uber uses in an investigation. So that's what -- there's 4 a lot of data that Uber has and so the 5 report is one piece of that when they are 6 doing their investigations from what I've seen from those attachments. 8 9 If Uber receives a report that 10 contains insufficient information, in your view how should it categorize that? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I'm not offering that opinion on how it should 14 15 categorize it. I'm offering the 16 opinion that incidents are categorized by Uber in that category and this 17 18 paragraph where we were earlier today isn't the total of those incidents in 19 20 that category, both in insufficient 21 information and parent category use 22 tracking. 23 (Exhibit 10, Appendix A, marked for identification.) 24 /// 25

Page 277 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 If we turn to Appendix A, I'm going to move back to this in here, 4 5 Appendix A of your report which we had marked previously as tab -- or Exhibit 6 5 -- I'm sorry, tab 5, I don't think we 8 used it yet today so I failed to pull up 9 Appendix A is your -- is described tab 5. 10 by you as your methodology? Α. 11 Yes. 12 That includes data validation, Q. 13 includes what data you received and how --14 what you have done as part of your methodology in this case; is that fair? 15 16 Yes, to process the data, the 17 steps that I took, et cetera. 18 Ο. And for paragraph 41 on Exhibit 19 10, which is your methodology, it's on 20 page 15 of the document? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And that's where I got the phrase 23 missingness and no value, what I 24 understand that to be from paragraph 41 is 25 just where there was data missing, where

Page 278 1 KELLER 2 the data did not exist. Is that right or 3 is there something different about missingness that you meant to capture 4 here? 5 So this is about the -- it's 6 defined as the SA/SM incident data. Today 8 I've been referring to that as the Bliss 9 and Jira data. This is my identification 10 of the various pieces of information that are missing or incomplete in those 11 12 datasets but on the next page, on page 17, I talk about the Flack data and that there 13 are fields in the Flack data that were not 14 15 produced at the time that I filed this 16 report that potentially may provide insight to some of these missing field in 17 18 the Bliss and Jira data. 19 Okay, and that is not an analysis Q. 20 you've yet done; correct? 21 Because the data, the Flack data was just produced and reproduced within 22 23 the most recent few days. 24 Back to tab 1, Exhibit 1, your Ο. 25 report and opinion 3, we've talked earlier

	Page 279
1	KELLER
2	today about precursors to sexual assault
3	and sexual misconduct incidents. You
4	agree with me that a trip that has what
5	you're referring to here as a precursor
6	does not necessarily mean that a sexual
7	misconduct incident is going to take
8	place; right?
9	MS. WILKINS: This has been asked
LO	and answered a number of times.
L1	THE WITNESS: So the precursors
L2	are data points that Uber has
L3	identified itself and also many of
L4	which it's included in its S-RAD
L5	algorithm that are potential
L6	indicators of sexual assault on a trip
L7	and so
L8	BY MS. LEVY:
L9	Q. Excuse me, I thought you were
20	finished. Keep going.
21	A. Go ahead.
22	Q. Even if one or more indicators
23	are present, that doesn't mean an incident
24	of sexual misconduct is going to take
25	place; right?

Page 280

KELLER

A. Those indicators, Uber developed the S-RAD model, for example, off of previous trip data and trained it off of incidents where sexual assaults did occur and so it created that machine-learning algorithm off those incidents. So in some cases incidents did occur that had those inputs and in other cases it didn't. That was part of the training methodology.

- Q. You agree with me that just because there's a pickup near a bar, that doesn't mean a sexual assault is going to occur, certainly you agree with that?
- A. I have the opinion that Uber knows that to be an indicator of a potential sexual assault in both its internal documents and also as an input to its S-RAD score which scores the riskiness of the trip.
- Q. You understand that S-RAD is a tool that Uber has developed to try to use its safety data to reduce the number of sexual misconduct, sexual assault on its platform, that's the purpose of S-RAD;

	Page 281
1	KELLER
2	correct?
3	A. I think I say a similar thing in
4	my report.
5	Q. These incidents, you've
6	quantified the numbers here but you read
7	the depositions of the data scientists and
8	the tech developers who developed these
9	products, you read those depositions as
LO	parts of your work in this case and
L1	reflected in Appendix G; correct?
L2	A. Yes.
L3	Q. You read Frank Chang's
L4	deposition, you read Sunny Wong's
L5	deposition?
L6	A. Yes.
L7	Q. You understand that S-RAD, the
L8	point of S-RAD is to take to study
L9	events that are very, very, very rare on
20	the Uber platform and make them even more
21	rare, that's what they are trying to do
22	with S-RAD?
23	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
24	BY MS. LEVY:
25	Q. Do you agree with that?

Page 282 1 KELLER So I characterize the S-RAD 2 Α. 3 program the way Uber did in those documents which is it's developing S-RAD 4 5 as a way to, quote, prevent sexual assaults. 6 Ο. When matches are rated as higher 8 risk in S-RAD, that can still be 9 extraordinarily rare, less likely than 10 being hit by lightning; true? 11 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: You're saying when 12 13 matches are above -- say that one more time. I got distracted. 14 BY MS. LEVY: 15 16 What is a risky ride in your 17 Lacey Keller opinion? 18 Α. I don't have that opinion. Uber determines what it determines to be a 19 20 risky ride because it exceeds a threshold 21 it sets and that threshold is only on the 22 of trips it has viewed whole 23 and scored to be more risky. It has 24 scored every single supply plan and how 25 many nights are coming up. You've got

Page 283

KELLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everybody out, they are taking trips home on that night, they score every single plan, but Uber only intervenes in that matching for a small percentage,

of trips on the whole.

- Q. And you don't have any opinion on what a better percentage would be, that's not what you've done in preparation of this report?
- Uber itself has calculated Α. percentages, what percentage of sexual assaults it could accurately predict I think is the word from their document. don't want to mischaracterize those but it has a chart and this was used in Wong's deposition, he brought this as part of his binder, if I recall, a chart that showed if that threshold was -- that trigger rate, that percentage was -- if S-RAD was applied to more trips it would be applied to more sexual assaults or correctly predict more sexual assaults. And so I'm not offering that opinion independently. I'm offering it based off of what Uber's

Page 284 1 KELLER own documents showed. 2 3 Ο. What you know about S-RAD you've only learned from Uber's own documents and 4 its own witnesses, you haven't done any 5 independent analysis or study or modeling 6 of the S-RAD algorithm; is that true? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 9 lacks foundation and assumes facts not in evidence. 10 THE WITNESS: Uber has not 11 12 produced the inputs necessary to do 13 that analysis, nor has it produced all 14 supply plans that even for the 15 plaintiffs' trips because it says it 16 deletes those. So that data was not 17 produced and so that analysis I would 18 love to do some type of analysis but I can't because that data has not been 19 20 produced. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 How frequently does sexual 23 misconduct in terms of one out of blank rides occur on this Uber platform as a big 24 25 picture globally, based on all the data

	Page 285
1	KELLER
2	you've looked at?
3	A. What is that like? I think we've
4	already covered those images in my report.
5	Q. It occurs in what percentage of
6	the rides?
7	A. I've only shown the numbers in
8	the per 100 million so go ahead.
9	Q. Can you agree with me that sexual
LO	misconduct is rare on the Uber platform?
L1	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L2	THE WITNESS: If you have a
L3	percentage, I would be happy to verify
L4	that math but rare is kind of a
L5	judgment term on those percentages so
L6	if you want to do some math together,
L7	I'm happy to do that.
L8	BY MS. LEVY:
L9	Q. You don't do you have what
20	is your definition of rare, do you have
21	one? Have you looked at that in the
22	context of sexual assault and sexual
23	misconduct?
24	MS. WILKINS: Object to form,
25	object to the compound nature of the

Page 286 1 KELLER 2 question and object to the extent that 3 it's outside of the scope of the opinions that Ms. Keller offers in her 4 5 report. BY MS. LEVY: 6 7 Ο. You have not compared the rate of 8 sexual misconduct on Uber to other 9 platforms so you will not be offering any 10 opinions about whether sexual assault and 11 sexual misconduct happens more frequently 12 on the Uber platform compared to other places, we've established that; correct? 13 14 MS. WILKINS: Object to this 15 having been asked and answered at 16 least 10 times in this deposition. 17 THE WITNESS: It's the same -- go 18 ahead, I'm sorry. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 I just said am I correct? Ο. 21 It's the same answer that I Α. provided before. I did not need to do 22 23 that analysis to show what I was intending 24 to show which is the volume of reports 25 that Uber had in its own data, what it did

Page 287 1 KELLER 2 with those reports, how it analyzed them 3 internally and compared it to what it disclosed to the public. 4 5 When you use the term high risk Ο. on page 35 in subheading A, high risk for 6 sexual assault and misconduct, you have 8 that in quotes because you're quoting from an Uber document; correct? 9 That's correct. 10 Α. 11 Ο. And you, Lacey Keller, do not 12 have an opinion as to what is high risk or 13 not high risk, you're simply parroting 14 information you found in the Uber documents; is that right? 15 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I am using the term that Uber uses in those documents when 18 19 describing data inputs. I would have 20 loved to study the data inputs independent of these documents but 21 22 Uber did not produce data on the 23 gender of the driver and rider, for 24 example, for every safety incident, 25 nor did they produce the proximity to

Page 288 1 KELLER a bar for every incident, so that 2 3 analysis would not be possible with the data that was produced at the time 4 of filing my report. BY MS. LEVY: 6 And is it your understanding that Ο. 8 S-RAD acts for gender of driver, rider or 9 both? 10 MS. WILKINS: This has already been asked and answered so I object on 11 12 that account. THE WITNESS: So I have a 13 timeline in my report of all the 14 15 inputs in S-RAD. I know that they 16 have changed over time. I would need to conduct that timeline or the 17 18 current global features or U.S. 19 features inputs from the Wong 20 deposition to note the specifics, but I know at some point in time rider and 21 22 driver gender were included. How that 23 has been included in the model in its current form, I would need those 24 25 sources. So if you have them, I would

Page 289 1 KELLER 2 be happy to look at them but I know 3 that I address that in my report in Appendix F. 4 BY MS. LEVY: 5 S-RAD in the U.S., in the United 6 Q. States has always been gender agnostic. 8 You're aware of that; right? 9 I don't know how you're defining 10 the U.S. global model. I have this 11 timeline in my report that looks at the 12 different inputs to the S-RAD model over 13 time that was considered by Uber and I 14 know at one point in time gender was 15 included in a version of the model, if not 16 multiple versions of the model. 17 As you're sitting here today, can 18 you tell us whether it is your 19 understanding that S-RAD in the United 20 States has always been gender agnostic? 21 Do you agree or disagree without looking 22 at more information? 23 MS. WILKINS: Objection, asked 24 and answered. She just answered it 25 and your question is misstating her

Page 290 1 KELLER testimony. If you would like her to 2 look at her documents she had offered 3 to do that. 4 BY MS. LEVY: 5 I want you to quickly tell me if 6 S-RAD has ever considered gender in the 8 United States, that's all I want to know. 9 MS. WILKINS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: This is not meant 10 to be a memory test. I have produced 11 12 an entire appendix dedicated to the 13 features of S-RAD and I'm happy to consult that. 14 BY MS. LEVY: 15 16 I agree with you it's not meant to be a memory test. If you don't 17 18 remember and can't tell me without looking in your report, that's fine. That's all I 19 20 want to know. I don't remember sitting 21 here is a fine answer. 22 MS. WILKINS: You are misstating 23 her testimony. She has told you what she remembers. 24 /// 25

Page 291 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 So you agree, I believe, that based on what your testimony is earlier, 4 5 that Uber's attempt to use data to attack the sexual assault and sexual misconduct 6 problem, you agree that that's a good 8 thing for Uber to do; correct? 9 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I think we've 10 answered or I've answered a number of 11 12 questions about these judgment type 13 calls. I'm answering what the Uber is doing with the vast amount of data it 14 15 collects, the GPS data as to whether 16 or not your phone's got low battery, how it uses that information. 17 18 those opinions in my report. I let the court decide what it will do with 19 20 that information. 21 BY MS. LEVY: You understand that when 22 23 individuals working on S-RAD use the term 24 risk or high risk with respect to S-RAD, 25 that's specific to the S-RAD context, you

Page 292 1 KELLER understand that? Like something that's 2 3 high risk in the S-RAD model does not equate to high risk in absolute terms, it 4 doesn't mean that the ride is a high risk to the rider, you agree with that, don't 6 you? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: So S-RAD is a 10 program that Uber has created to prevent sexual assaults. And in that 11 12 program it scores trips according to 13 the risk that its machine algorithm has calculated about that trip for 14 sexual assault. 15 16 BY MS. LEVY: 17 Q. And you understand, do you not, 18 that even pairings that are scored as having high risk cannot predict whether a 19 20 sexual assault will actually occur on an 21 individual trip, you understand that, 22 don't you? 23 MS. WILKINS: Object, asked and 24 answered. THE WITNESS: Uber trained this 25

Page 293 1 KELLER model and continues to train this 2 3 model because it of the trips that it is running the 4 model on. It trains the model on real world incidents, whether a sexual 6 7 assault did occur or a sexual misconduct incident did occur or 8 whether it didn't occur. They trained 9 10 it on all of that data. And on top of that its documents talk about 11 12 incidents that were above the 13 threshold that were dispatched by the 14 program that slipped through and 15 ultimately resulted in a sexual 16 assault and I -- or a sexual 17 misconduct report. And I record those 18 volumes in my report as well. BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 When Uber uses the term high risk Q. 21 with respect to S-RAD, it doesn't mean 22 that it is more likely than not that a 23 pairing is going to result in a sexual 24 assault, that's not what it means, can we 25 agree on at least that?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 294
1	KELLER
2	A. So the S-RAD score, which is what
3	it creates for every single rider driver
4	pairing, and I'm reading this directly
5	from my report, is it a computed
6	numerical score between
7	
8	for each
9	potential driver/rider pairing, and that's
LO	the risk of a sexual assault occurring on
L1	that trip.
L2	Q. And you understand from the
L3	testimony you've read on the data and the
L4	S-RAD model that even pairings with a
L5	higher S-RAD score are very likely to
L6	result in sexual misconduct in actuality?
L7	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L8	THE WITNESS: So I'm aware, and
L9	this is from paragraph 70 in my
20	report, that from 2021, September 2021
21	through April 30 of 2022,
22	
23	
24	And just for
25	context during that same time period,

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 295
1	KELLER
2	there were I'm sorry, in addition
3	between July 1, 2022 and December 31,
4	2022,
5	
6	So these are plans that
7	Uber knew were above the threshold,
8	that the S-RAD program identified were
9	above the threshold and remember the
10	threshold is just of
11	trips and it was above those
12	thresholds and they still slipped
13	through and an incident occurred.
14	BY MS. LEVY:
15	Q. And no model can predict human
16	behavior with precision; correct?
17	MS. WILKINS: Object to the
18	questioning, outside the scope that
19	Ms. Keller's opinions offered in her
20	report and this litigation.
21	BY MS. LEVY:
22	Q. Let me clarify the question.
23	No model that you've ever seen or
24	heard of can predict whether someone's
25	going to assault somebody else with

Page 296 1 KELLER 2 accuracy, that's not possible to do in 3 your knowledge and experience, is it? Same objections, MS. WILKINS: 4 outside the scope. 5 THE WITNESS: So when -- if we're 6 7 talking generally, when one builds a 8 model, a machine-learning algorithm, 9 you develop a confusion matrix which 10 is the term that I'm attributing to It sounds like kind of a crazy 11 12 term but confusion matrix is what it's 13 called to identify the true positives, 14 the false negatives, the true 15 negatives, et cetera. It's how you 16 identify the accuracy of your model. BY MS. LEVY: 17 18 Q. So, for example, one of the metrics that S-RAD considers is pickups 19 20 near bars; correct? 21 Specifically I think the 22 proximity to the bars or the number of 23 bars within 50 meters, it's changed a few times but I think it's the proximity 24 25 within 50 meters or something like that.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 297 1 KELLER 2 There's like a geo fence that they apply 3 around the pickup that they review. And we can agree that not every 4 Ο. 5 pickup within 50 meters of of a bar is going to result in sexual misconduct, 6 that's common sense; correct? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: It's -- Uber knows 10 that pickups near a bar are more likely to result in a sexual assault. 11 12 BY MS. LEVY: 13 That wasn't my question. Not Ο. 14 every pickup near a bar is going to result 15 in a sexual misconduct incident, correct, 16 that is a matter of common sense? But Uber knows that it's more 17 18 likely to happen which is why it's both in the documents that I cite and in the S-RAD 19 20 model. 21 And that's why it's studying it 22 and trying to analyze things like pickups 23 near a bar that it can use to combat those 24 incidents; right? 25 MS. WILKINS: Object to form.

	Page 298
1	KELLER
2	THE WITNESS: Uber has built the
3	S-RAD model using proximity to a bar
4	as one of the inputs.
5	BY MS. LEVY:
6	Q. And that's a good thing?
7	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
8	THE WITNESS: It is a thing that
9	Uber does. Whether it's good or bad I
LO	leave that value judgment to the
L1	court.
L2	BY MS. LEVY:
L3	Q. And just because someone gets
L4	picked up within 50 meters of a bar
L5	doesn't mean they've been to the bar, does
L6	it?
L7	MS. WILKINS: Object, outside the
L8	scope.
L9	THE WITNESS: Proximity to a bar
20	is one of the inputs to the S-RAD
21	model. Intoxication which I think is
22	a different input that you're talking
23	about is yet another input that Uber
24	knows to be a precursor or risk factor
25	in sexual assault.

Page 299 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 Ο. And the proximity to a bar input is going to trigger very differently 4 5 depending on what geography you're in in the United States; right? 6 7 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know what 9 geo fence that Uber puts in. I know 10 this was discussed at the Wong 11 deposition. I know exactly what tab I 12 would like to consult to refresh my 13 memory because the tab discloses the distance that they consider. 14 15 without consulting that I wouldn't be 16 able to say one way or the other. BY MS. LEVY: 17 18 Q. You would assume as a matter of 19 common sense that more pickups in 20 New York City would be within 50 meters of 21 a bar than a rural place in North Dakota, 22 for example? 23 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 24 improper hypothetical. 25 THE WITNESS: The S-RAD program

Page 300 1 KELLER is also scored and the thresholds are 2 set in each city which would normalize 3 such discrepancies such as that and I 4 think that's discussed at length in 5 the Wong deposition. 6 BY MS. LEVY: 8 And you don't have any dispute or 9 quibble with Uber for normalizing the thresholds by city, that's not a problem 10 with S-RAD in your view, is it? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I'm describing why we see different thresholds for the 14 15 plaintiffs, that's the only dataset 16 that we have on -- the only S-RAD data 17 we have from Uber that was produced in 18 this litigation from Uber about S-RAD. And so from those datasets, we are 19 20 able to see, I'm able to see that 21 there are different thresholds in different cities and because there are 22 23 different dates and times, that is opinions that I do offer. 24 /// 25

Page 301 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 When you calculated dates and times, I think you mention in paragraph 28 4 of your methodology, Appendix A that you 5 added some categories for times. Do you 6 know what I'm referring to there? 8 I'm looking at some in Appendix A 9 which I think we marked as Exhibit -there we go. Paragraph 28 you said I've 10 added five fields to the driver trip data. 11 12 Are you with me? 13 Α. Sorry, just one second. Yes. 14 15 Okay. And in 28.5 when you're Ο. 16 discussing daytime category, you have subcategories 1 through 4 of weekend late 17 18 night hours, weekday late night hours, 19 weekend non-late night hours and weekday 20 non-late night hours. Where did you come 21 up with these definitions, did you use 22 them from Uber or did you create these 23 yourself? That's cited in footnote 55. 24 Α. 25 Those are Uber's definitions as late night

Page 302 1 KELLER 2 as it pertains to the S-RAD program. 3 And for the weekend hours, non-late night hours, you've used the same 4 definition that Uber uses as set forth in 5 Sunny Wong's deposition? 6 7 Α. Correct. 8 Ο. And that includes Monday, 9 Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday from 12 a.m. 10 to 4:59 a.m. for weekday late night hours and Monday through Thursday, 5 a.m. 11 through 11:59 a.m. for weekday non-late 12 13 night hours; correct? 14 Sorry, you talked so fast and I 15 was trying to follow along. 16 definitions that I use are defined in 17 paragraphs 28.5.1 through 28.5.4. 18 Q. And you don't impose any different definitions or have any problems 19 20 with the way that Uber's S-RAD model 21 categorizes the time; is that correct? 22 Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 23 THE WITNESS: I'm using the 24 categorization that Uber uses. /// 25

Page 303 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 And same with the inputs in the metrics to the model. You have not 4 5 proposed or generated or modeled your own alternative metrics; is that true? 6 7 MS. WILKINS: Objection, form, 8 asked and answered. 9 BY MS. LEVY: 10 Ο. Do you need me to restate the question? 11 12 I'm just reviewing a piece of my Α. 13 I apologize. I may need a 14 refresh on the question, too, but one 15 second. Can you please -- please state 16 the question? 17 Ο. You haven't done any data or 18 analysis to suggest that the inputs that Uber uses to S-RAD shouldn't be used in 19 20 S-RAD, that's not an opinion you're 21 offering in this case; right? 22 My Appendix F which I've 23 referenced a number of times -- let me make sure it's Appendix F so I'm not 24 25 confusing. Appendix F discusses the

Page 304 1 KELLER opinions that I found in Uber's documents 2 3 that it considered as part of the program over time. I have -- I don't say whether 4 5 those inputs are good or bad, I just describe Uber's process based off of the 6 data I've seen. 8 Are you going to offer any 9 opinions in this case that Uber should 10 have changed its inputs or used different inputs, that is not part of your opinions 11 in this case? 12 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form and object to the extent it misstates her 14 15 prior testimony. 16 THE WITNESS: I offer opinions 17 what could have been used by Uber or 18 at least could have been done by Uber, 19 whether it's through reporting or 20 setting the trigger rate at a 21 different threshold but I don't offer 22 the opinion that Uber should have done 23 that. BY MS. LEVY: 24 25 Q. And you haven't yourself come up

Page 305 1 KELLER 2 with an alternative better way to set up 3 S-RAD, that's again you're stopping short of your opinions of just showing what the 4 5 data shows but not building an alternative yourself or suggesting alternatives 6 yourself, am I right about that? 8 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 9 object as asked and answered and 10 object to the extent it mischaracterizes the prior testimony. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Uber has not 13 produced data that would be -- that would even allow me to do such an 14 15 analysis so I would be prohibited from 16 doing that analysis. BY MS. LEVY: 17 18 Q. You don't intend to offer an 19 opinion that Uber should not have had a 20 holdout group for S-RAD, that's not part 21 of your opinion in this case that Uber 22 should not have done that? 23 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I offer the opinion 24 that Uber does do that and has done 25

Page 306 1 KELLER 2 The extent to my opinion is 3 encapsulated in my report. BY MS. LEVY: 4 5 Okay, we see that in paragraph 6 62.9, Uber of all potential driver/rider pairings as a 8 control group to study the effectiveness 9 of SA/SM on an ongoing basis. Is that 10 what you're talking about? Α. 11 Yes. 12 Okay. And you're not going to 13 offer an opinion that Uber should not do 14 that and should not continue to study the 15 effectiveness of SA/SM on an ongoing basis that's beyond what you plan to offer in 16 17 this case; true? 18 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: The opinions that I 20 have on that are currently in my 21 report. 22 BY MS. LEVY: 23 And you quote in 64, paragraph 64 24 of your report a quote from Sunny Jeon 25 saying that S-RAD may represent Uber's

Page 307 1 KELLER most effective intervention for preventing 2 3 sexual assault. Do you see that quote? 4 Α. Yes. Are you suggesting that that's 6 not true? 8 Α. I'm not saying it's true or not 9 I'm saying what Uber said about its 10 own program, just like I said that -- just like I quoted that it would be used to 11 12 prevent sexual assaults, this is how Uber 13 characterizes its own program. 14 You note several places in your 15 report that Uber began developing S-RAD in 16 2017 and deployed it in 2022; right? You note that in your report. 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 I do not see anywhere in your 20 report any opinion that Uber could have or 21 should have deployed S-RAD earlier. You 22 are not offering the opinion in this case 23 that Uber could have or should have 24 deployed S-RAD earlier than it did, am I 25 right?

Page 308 1 KELLER MS. WILKINS: Objection to the 2 extent it mischaracterizes what is in 3 Ms. Keller's report. 4 THE WITNESS: I'm offering the 5 opinion that Uber began development in 6 7 2017 and spent several years 8 developing the program and I timeline 9 that to some extent in my report, and 10 then Appendix F chronicles all of the inputs that were along that -- along 11 12 that journey for Uber as they were 13 testing and deploying the model in various cities around the United 14 States. And so I don't offer the 15 16 opinion that Uber should have done that earlier. I offer the opinion 17 18 that Uber was testing that program in numerous cities, whether it was in 19 20 shadow mode or in full semi rollout 21 throughout that time period. BY MS. LEVY: 22 23 And just to make sure I'm understanding you, you don't intend to 24 25 offer any opinion that it should have

Page 309 1 KELLER 2 rolled it out in any different way than it 3 did? MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 4 5 THE WITNESS: I offer the opinion on what they were doing when they were 6 7 doing those things and in what cities 8 they were rolling out the program and 9 in what ways. I don't offer an 10 opinion on what they should have done differently but I do chronicle that 11 12 timeline in my report. BY MS. LEVY: 13 14 Paragraph 66 of your report on 15 page 45 under heading C, heading C states 16 S-RAD dispatches some trips above the flagging threshold. Are you with me? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 And you do not -- the opinions 20 you intend to offer about S-RAD's 21 dispatching trips above the flagging 22 threshold are contained in the following 23 paragraphs of your report in 76 through 24 71; correct? Sorry, through 73. MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 25

	Page 310	
1	KELLER	
2	THE WITNESS: So that section,	
3	those are all the paragraphs within	
4	that heading for that section. Those	
5	paragraphs as well as the images	
6	within those paragraphs are my	
7	opinions that support that statement.	
8	BY MS. LEVY:	
9	Q. And you're not offering the	
LO	opinion that Uber should never dispatch	
L1	trips above a flagging threshold, you're	
L2	not offering that opinion in this case?	
L3	A. I'm offering the opinion that	
L4		
L5	and hasn't but I'm not offering	
L6	the opinion that they should	
L7	I'm offering the opinion that that's how	
L8	the model works, that they	
L9		
20	Q. And you have not analyzed	
21	flagging thresholds in any particular	
22	jurisdiction or across the United States	
23	and done any study of whether dispatching	
24	trips above the flagging threshold puts	
25	riders who call for an Uber in more danger	

	Page 311
1	KELLER
2	or less danger than if Uber did not
3	dispatch trips above a flagging threshold,
4	that's not part of your analysis in this
5	case; right?
6	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
7	THE WITNESS: I do have the
8	opinion because I am limited by the
9	data that Uber has produced to the
10	totals that I have seen in documents.
11	And what I have obtained through
12	documents is that there are incidents
13	that have occurred that Uber calls
14	them a
15	
16	
17	or
18	Jesus.
19	MS. WILKINS: Sorry guys, we just
20	had a huge unexpected thunder boom.
21	MS. LEVY: Is everything okay?
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was
23	extremely loud. It's like really
24	strange weather.
25	///

Page 312 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 Ο. You're also aware, are you not, Ms. Keller, that 4 5 6 7 I'm aware that there are I think 8 I have asked 9 for that data but it has not been produced 10 so that I can determine how many of those 11 do result in a sexual assault or 12 misconduct report. 13 Ο. And again, you know there are not of sexual misconduct; 14 15 right? 16 We know that there are the reports that Uber has produced in this 17 18 litigation. And I think I'm clear on where 19 20 you're drawing the lines here. But if the 21 judge or the jury wanted to understand 22 what should Uber do with flagged trips 23 above a threshold, should Uber just never send drivers or should it send drivers and 24 would that be better or worse for what 25

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 313 1 KELLER 2 would ultimately happen to people who call 3 those trips, you would need a lot more data than you have or than you looked at 4 to understand and answer that question; right? 6 7 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: So I think that's a 9 pretty multipart question. Do you 10 want to ask me something specific? BY MS. LEVY: 11 You don't understand the way I 12 Q. ask it? 13 14 Α. No, because it's -- should it 15 consider data, should it be better --16 Fair point. Let me ask you this. 17 A trip above a flagging threshold you 18 agree doesn't necessarily mean that 19 anything bad is going to happen, agree? 20 It might or it might not? 21 I disagree. There are incidents 22 that I show in my report that have 23 resulted in a sexual assault or sexual 24 misconduct and I highlight those from the 25 documents that I've reviewed. I would

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 314 1 KELLER 2 love to do the analysis of the safety data 3 but Uber has not produced the data that would allow me to do that. 4 5 And again, you don't know what Ο. alternatives to taking an Uber ride under 6 any particular circumstances would be for 8 a rider calling a driver, and you would 9 need to know that to compare the risk of 10 an Uber trip to the risk of a rider's alternatives, wouldn't you? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, and 13 object that it's outside the scope of Ms. Keller's opinions in this case. 14 15 THE WITNESS: So if I wanted to 16 do an analysis of the risk -- I just 17 don't understand your question. What 18 if I wanted to run my own version of 19 S-RAD, are you asking if I wanted to 20 compare the risk factors like you were 21 talking about earlier? I'm a little 22 confused of what type of study I would 23 hypothetically be running here. BY MS. LEVY: 24 25 Q. If you were trying to analyze

	Page 315
1	KELLER
2	whether a rider would be safer taking Uber
3	with a particular S-RAD score or doing
4	something different, you would need to
5	know a lot about what that rider's
6	alternatives were, wouldn't you?
7	A. Well, first I would need to know
8	the data that's in Uber's own S-RAD score
9	and Uber has refused to produce that data
10	and says it deletes that data.
11	Q. You can't form any opinion about
12	the relative safety of a mode of
13	transportation, you can't compare the
14	relative safety of Uber versus any other
15	mode of transportation because you don't
16	have that data, can we agree on that?
17	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
18	THE WITNESS: I'm saying I can't
19	even compare the relative risk of the
20	supply plans on the Uber platform
21	because Uber has refused to produce
22	that data.
23	BY MS. LEVY:
24	Q. And even when S-RAD scores are
25	elevated or high risk, the incidents of

Page 316 1 KELLER 2 sexual misconduct are still very rare, 3 they happen infrequently, even with elevated S-RAD scores, you understand that 4 from the review you've done in this case; correct? 6 Object to form, MS. WILKINS: 8 object that this has been asked and 9 answered. 10 THE WITNESS: So I'm aware of incidents and I discuss them only 11 12 because I found them in documents, not because Uber has produced data, that 13 allows me to do this analysis that 14 have resulted in sexual assaults and 15 16 sexual misconducts that have occurred because after the fact, after Uber has 17 18 allowed that trip to dispatch knowing 19 that it had a risk support above its 20 threshold. 21 BY MS. LEVY: 22 And how often did that happen, 23 how often do above threshold flags result in sexual assault and misconduct, one out 24 25 of how many trips?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 317 1 **KELLER** I would love to do that analysis. 2 3 Uber has refused to produce that data that would allow me to do that. 4 5 Now looking at paragraph 76 of Ο. your report where you talk about S-RAD 6 scores for other plaintiffs, are you with 8 me, table 3? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. There you list the S-RAD score in the first row of data under the heading 11 12 for the trips for the four plaintiffs listed in the chart; correct? 13 So the first row shows the S-RAD 14 15 score that Uber gave to those trips for 16 those four individuals. There's no -- well, you do not 17 18 intend to offer any opinion about the S-RAD score for these particular drivers 19 20 other than that which is contained in 21 paragraph 76 and Appendix D; correct? 22 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 23 object to the mischaracterization of 24 Ms. Keller's report. 25 THE WITNESS: The opinions that I

Page 318 1 KELLER can offer about the drivers and the 2 plaintiffs' trips are included in this 3 paragraph and in Appendix D. I am --4 5 because Uber has not produced the supply plan data or all of the driver 6 7 star rating data or the number of 8 trips that are near a bar, anything 9 like that, I am unable to produce or 10 to put into context these trips and the information contained within the 11 12 S-RAD scores. BY MS. LEVY: 13 14 You don't -- you have not 15 calculated an S-RAD score that you believe 16 Uber should not dispatch rides above, that's not what you've done in this case 17 18 and not an opinion you intend to offer? I have asked for all the 19 Α. 20 thresholds that Uber has maintained 21 nationwide and continues to maintain. 22 Those have not been produced in this litigation so what I am left with is the 23 information that's in this chart. 24 25 Q. And is it your opinion that Uber

Page 319 1 KELLER should not pick up -- should not have 2 drivers allow -- let me strike that and 3 say this in a better way. 4 5 Is it your opinion that Uber's platform should not allow drivers to pick 6 up riders within 50 meters of a bar? 8 It's my opinion Uber knows that 9 to be a risk factor because it includes 10 that in its S-RAD scoring. It is my 11 opinion that Uber sometimes dispatches 12 those trips despite knowing that that trip is above a threshold that it has set to 13 14 only apply to less than about 15 of data on average, just to name a few 16 opinions from my report. 17 Ο. And you don't intend to tell the 18 jury or the court that Uber should not 19 dispatch rides or allow pairings when the 20 pickup is near a bar, that is beyond what 21 the opinions are that you're offering in the case, that Uber should not do that? 22 23 MS. WILKINS: (Inaudible). 24 THE WITNESS: I offer the opinion 25 that sometimes they do, whether it's a

Page 320 1 KELLER or whether it's one of 2 these plaintiffs' trips where they 3 were near bars. Those trips were 4 dispatched. BY MS. LEVY: 6 7 And you're not offering the Q. 8 opinion that by doing a dispatch that is 9 near a bar, Uber is making the rider less safe than the rider would have been in 10 other circumstances, that's not an 11 12 analysis you have done or an opinion 13 you're going to offer? 14 MS. WILKINS: Object to form, 15 object to the misstatement of the 16 prior testimony. I offer the opinion 17 THE WITNESS: that Uber did know that these were 18 indicators of risk for a ride and I 19 20 offer the opinion that Uber did not 21 disclose such indicators as proximity to a bar or the rate of incidents when 22 23 the pickup was near a bar in its 24 safety reports, to name a few 25 examples.

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 321 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 And is that -- you are not 3 Ο. offering again in this area of allowing 4 5 dispatches, you're not offering opinions what Uber should have done, other 6 thresholds it should have used or whether 8 the provision of rides put the rider in a 9 safer or less safe situation, that's all 10 beyond the scope of what you're going to testify in this case; right? 11 12 MS. WILKINS: Object to the 13 compound nature of the question, 14 object that this has been asked and 15 answered. 16 THE WITNESS: So I offer -- I kind of lost track of your list there. 17 18 I offer the opinion that that there is information that Uber knows about the 19 20 risk of a trip through its own 21 internal analyses or from its scoring 22 of the -- through the S-RAD program. 23 I offer the opinion that it doesn't tell the public about those factors 24 and whether or not that would make 25

	Page 322
1	KELLER
2	someone safer or less safe, I leave
3	that open to interpretation but I am
4	discussing the pieces of information
5	and the lack of disclosure around that
6	information.
7	BY MS. LEVY:
8	Q. Have you done any analysis or
9	comparison of what Uber does and doesn't
10	disclose to the public with other forms of
11	public transportation, that's beyond the
12	scope of what you've done; right?
13	MS. WILKINS: Object, asked and
14	answered many, many, many times
15	today.
16	THE WITNESS: So does Uber
17	disclose in its safety reports its
18	relative risk? I don't understand the
19	question.
20	BY MS. LEVY:
21	Q. Does Uber disclose more or less
22	information about sexual assault on its
23	platform than taxi companies disclose?
24	MS. WILKINS: Same objection.
25	THE WITNESS: That is not an

	Page 323
1	KELLER
2	analysis that I needed to do to
3	understand what Uber was saying about
4	its own platform as compared to what
5	it knew internally about the number of
6	reports occurring on the platform and
7	how it was using that data.
8	BY MS. LEVY:
9	Q. And the same you're not
LO	offering any opinions about Uber's duty or
L1	requirements or regulations about
L2	disclosure obligations, that's beyond the
L3	scope of opinions you intend to offer in
L4	this case; right?
L5	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.
L6	THE WITNESS: The same answer
L7	that I've given throughout the
L8	deposition today, I am offering
L9	opinions on what Uber knew internally,
20	what they did with that data and what
21	they told the public.
22	BY MS. LEVY:
23	Q. Looking at table 76, you have
24	listed S-RAD scores and select feature
25	values for individual plaintiff trips. On

	Page 324
1	KELLER
2	the S-RAD score, you are offering no
3	opinions in this case about what is a safe
4	or appropriate S-RAD score; true?
5	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.
6	THE WITNESS: I'm offering the
7	opinion of what the score was, what
8	the thresholds that Uber set at that
9	time were because that was the data
10	provided to me and that is what is
11	limiting my opinion on this. Uber did
12	not produce all the supply plans
13	because it says it deletes that data.
14	Uber did not produce the bar count or
15	other input values for all of the
16	trips, for example, so I am limited to
17	the data it produced for the
18	bellwethers in this spreadsheet.
19	BY MS. LEVY:
20	Q. And you don't have an opinion on
21	what a safe or a dangerous S-RAD score is,
22	that's beyond the scope of what you've
23	done?
24	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered,
25	misstates prior testimony.

	Page 325
1	KELLER
2	THE WITNESS: I'm offering the
3	opinions on what the inputs were to
4	that trip, what Uber knew those inputs
5	to be.
6	BY MS. LEVY:
7	Q. And the same I'm sorry, I
8	didn't mean to cut you off.
9	A. Go ahead.
10	Q. The same answer would be true for
11	all the other feature values that are
12	listed in the table 3 of your paragraph
13	76, same answer for each of the feature
14	values?
15	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
16	THE WITNESS: So I am not able to
17	contextualize those feature values.
18	
19	
20	because Uber has only
21	produced this data for these
22	plaintiffs. I do not have this data
23	for every trip, I don't have this data
24	for every driver, I don't have this
25	data for every rider because Uber did

	Page 326
1	KELLER
2	not produce it.
3	BY MS. LEVY:
4	Q. You do not have and have not
5	formulated any opinions about whether Uber
6	should or should not have dispatched these
7	trips?
8	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
9	THE WITNESS: I offer the opinion
10	that . I
11	offer the opinion that trips that are
12	above a flagging threshold do
13	and that
14	I'm able to calculate just from Uber's
15	documents the volume of those trips.
16	Those are two opinions, for example,
17	that I offer related to that topic.
18	BY MS. LEVY:
19	Q. My question is a little bit
20	different. You have not stated anywhere
21	in this 55 pages of your report or
22	anywhere I can find in any of your
23	appendices an opinion that Uber should not
24	have dispatched or allowed a pairing
25	between any one of the four plaintiffs

Page 327 1 KELLER listed in table 3 under paragraph 76; is 2 3 that correct? I offer the opinion that those Α. 4 5 trips were dispatched and these are the inputs that Uber had about those trips 6 when it dispatched those plaintiff trips. 8 And again, my question was: You 9 do not intend to go further and say Uber 10 should have blocked any one of these four pairings, that's beyond what you've looked 11 12 at? 13 Α. I offer the opinion that they 14 15 when operating S-RAD and that's 16 the extent to my opinion on -- that's one . I also discuss 17 opinion on but I think we've discussed 18 that a number of times in my deposition 19 20 today. 21 MS. LEVY: Let's turn to -- are 22 you doing okay, do you need a break? 23 THE WITNESS: I could use a 24 quick. 25 MS. LEVY: Let's go off the

	Page 328
1	KELLER
2	record. Take a quick break.
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
4	record. The time is 7:24 p.m. This
5	is the end of media unit 5.
6	(Recess taken from 7:24 p.m. to
7	7:43 p.m.)
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
9	the record. The time is 7:43 p.m.
10	Eastern time. This is the beginning
11	of media unit 6.
12	BY MS. LEVY:
13	Q. Ms. Keller, is it your opinion
14	that Uber should report data even if the
15	data is unreliable?
16	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
17	THE WITNESS: I don't offer an
18	opinion what Uber should report. I
19	offer opinions on the discrepancy of
20	the hundreds of thousands of tickets
21	that Uber had in its possession and
22	knew about and categorized versus the
23	five categories that it reported on
24	its safety reports.
25	///

	Page 329
1	KELLER
2	BY MS. LEVY:
3	Q. And you have no opinion on
4	whether Uber should have reported numbers
5	of incidents in categories that are
6	unreliable, offering no opinion on that?
7	A. What are categories that you are
8	defining as unreliable?
9	Q. My question isn't that. My
LO	question is: Are you going to offer the
L1	opinion that Uber should release data even
L2	if it didn't have reliable data?
L3	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L4	THE WITNESS: I'm offering the
L5	opinion that Uber did not produce and
L6	disclose the reports that it had and
L7	categorized in its possession through
L8	the which I know about through the
L9	Flack interrogatory responses and it
20	only disclosed the 15 I'm
21	forgetting the number now that it's
22	late in the day but the reports it had
23	in the five reportable categories.
24	BY MS. LEVY:
25	Q. Are you offering any opinion of

	Page 330
1	KELLER
2	which of the 21 or 27 categories I
3	think we've got some background noise.
4	Let me start that question over.
5	Have you done any analysis or
6	formed any opinions yourself as to which
7	of the 21 or 27, depending how you count
8	categories in the taxonomy, that Uber did
9	and did not have reliable data on?
LO	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L1	THE WITNESS: Are you suggesting
L2	that Uber produced unreliable data in
L3	this litigation?
L4	BY MS. LEVY:
L5	Q. I am asking you have you read
L6	testimony from Uber's witnesses to the
L7	effect that Uber did not release data in
L8	other categories because it did not
L9	believe that data to be reliable, have you
20	seen that testimony from Uber's witnesses?
21	A. I don't know if I would
22	characterize that as reliable. I've seen
23	testimony that I'm recalling about
24	auditing alignment but I don't know if
25	that's the same thing that you're

Page 331 1 KELLER 2 referring to as reliable. 3 And I think what we're referring to generally is there are categories in 4 5 the taxonomy according to Uber's witnesses that have lower alignment among competing 6 auditors looking at the same data than 8 other categories; is that fair? 9 Α. That is -- what I have in my mind 10 is what I was reviewing this morning which is in the safety reports and in the 11 12 appendix to the 2019 and 2020 safety 13 report. I would be happy to pull that up 14 if you don't mind discussing the alignment 15 process. 16 Yeah, I think I'm going to be Ο. 17 really precise in my question. 18 Do you intend to offer any 19 opinion in this case that, in fact, Uber 20 witnesses are wrong and Uber -- that the 21 data that it had in other categories in 22 the taxonomy was just as reliable as the 23 data that it provided incident numbers 24 for? 25 MS. WILKINS: Object to form,

	Page 332
1	KELLER
2	vague, overbroad.
3	THE WITNESS: So I'm offering
4	opinions based off of data that Uber
5	has produced in this litigation, that
6	it has represented as accurate in this
7	litigation as far as how I understand
8	interrogatory responses go so my
9	analysis is based off of those
10	representations from the company. If
11	you are suggesting in some way that
12	Uber has not provided accurate data, I
13	would be interested in knowing
14	specifically what you are talking
15	about.
16	BY MS. LEVY:
17	Q. You understand that there are
18	categories in the taxonomy that are easier
19	to classify than other categories, do you
20	that, do you agree with that or you don't
21	know?
22	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
23	THE WITNESS: I don't have an
24	opinion because I have not categorized
25	the data itself. I don't need to

Page 333 1 KELLER because Uber has already done that 2 3 categorization for me through the plaque interrogatory response. 4 BY MS. LEVY: 5 And if hypothetically Uber's 6 Q. witnesses were to say to you some of the 8 categorizations that we use and provide we 9 have a great deal of reliability and 10 others we have less so, would you have any 11 reason to disagree with that statement? 12 Uber has not produced a Α. 13 line-by-line documentation of which 14 categories are reliable in this hypothetical that you have so I would be 15 16 theorizing what types of things Uber would 17 be saying about its data. I am making the 18 assumption that Uber has produced reliable 19 data in this litigation when it comes to 20 the Flack interrogatory response and the 21 Flack data that it just recently produced. 22 Are you making the assumption 23 that the categorization of each of those 24 incident types is equally reliable, is 25 that your assumption?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 334

KELLER

A. I'm using the categorization that Uber has put forth in that data itself.

- Q. And you are assuming that Uber's categorization is equally reliable in each level of the taxonomy, is that your assumption?
- A. I'm assuming something different.

 I'm assuming that Uber has produced in a

 truthful manner the data that it has in

 its possession and I am taking Uber's

 representation of that data.

An example of that not being complete as its previous productions was Uber's production and reproduction of the Flack data where Uber did not produce fields that it used internally to classify data so I need and have reserved my right to analyze data from that data system because Uber did not produce that data previously.

- Q. Have you reviewed Katie
 McDonald's deposition transcripts in this
 case?
 - A. Yes.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 335 1 KELLER 2 Both the April 24th and April Ο. 3 25th transcripts? Α. Yes. 4 And do you have any different 5 information than Ms. McDonald about Uber's 6 confidence in the reliability of how it classified the incidents in the Flack 8 9 data? 10 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. So I am not sure 11 THE WITNESS: 12 what you are referring to when you say 13 reliability or confidence. What I'm thinking about is what I read in the 14 15 safety reports this morning about the 16 auditor process and their reason, 17 their process there. I would be happy 18 to look at other deposition testimony. Regardless of that, what I do 19 20 know is the data that I have is how 21 Uber classified it at the time of two 22 data pulls when they produced the data 23 to me. BY MS. LEVY: 24 I want to turn in our last few 25 Q.

Page 336 1 KELLER 2 minutes to your Appendix D. 3 information that you've provided in the appendix to your report, additional 4 5 information that we haven't already talked about about driver profiles. Do you have 6 that in front of you? 8 Α. Have we marked that as an exhibit 9 yet? 10 MS. LEVY: We haven't marked it 11 as an exhibit yet. I'm trying to 12 figure out what tab it is and pull it 13 up for you. Give us a minute. 14 We're going to take a minute and 15 e-mail it. We don't have it in our 16 tabs. While we're doing that, 17 circling back on some other questions that we discussed earlier. 18 BY MS. LEVY: 19 20 S-RAD you agree is a proprietary 21 software that Uber developed, we've 22 discussed that at length today; correct? 23 At its base, Uber is a machine-learning model, but it is using 24 25 data that only Uber has access to.

	Page 337
1	KELLER
2	Q. And is it your opinion that Uber
3	has some duty or obligation to disclose to
4	the public its proprietary technology
5	tool?
6	A. I'm not offering the opinion that
7	Uber should disclose that tool. I am
8	offering the opinion that Uber has not
9	disclosed that tool and I'm offering
10	opinions to the court describing how that
11	tool was created and what are the inputs
12	that went into it and the broad strokes of
13	how it works and how Uber utilizes that
14	tool to, quote, prevent sexual assaults on
15	the platform.
16	Q. But you don't intend to offer any
17	opinion in this case that Uber has a duty,
18	obligation or should have disclosed S-RAD
19	publicly?
20	MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered.
21	THE WITNESS: Same answer as just
22	before. I thought the questions were
23	the same.
24	BY MS. LEVY:
25	Q. And the same would be true for

Page 338

1 KELLER

other studies or metrics that it looks at in an attempt to combat incidents of sexual assault and misconduct, you're not intending to offer opinions in this case that Uber should have or had any duty or obligation to disclose to the public anything about its study of this issue that it didn't disclose, that's beyond the scope of what you've been asked to do here; correct?

A. I'm offering opinions that Uber has studied those inputs, whether they are the differences in rider driver, gender, proximity to bars or time of day but none of that is disclosed in its safety reports. Uber chooses to show the rate of assaults on its platform by looking at five categories of data and comparing them to all trips that occur on the platform, as opposed to looking at the volume of incidents that occur in late night trips or near bars similar to the analysis that it has done internally.

Q. And to be very specific, and

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 339 1 KELLER let's see if you can answer this with a 2 3 yes or no, you don't intend to offer any opinions about any disclosure, duties or 4 5 obligations; true? MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I offer opinions on 8 disclosures that have happened but I 9 don't offer opinions on what they 10 should have done differently. I offer opinions on what they could have shown 11 12 with the data that they had in their 13 possession as well as the analyses 14 that they were doing internally. BY MS. LEVY: 15 16 And I don't see anywhere in your 17 report that you talk about duties or 18 obligations. That's beyond the opinions 19 you're offering; correct? 20 MS. WILKINS: Asked and answered. 21 THE WITNESS: The same answer to 22 disclosures is I offer opinions on 23 what has -- and describe what has occurred and what has been disclosed. 24 25 I don't offer opinions on what should

	Page 340	
1	KELLER	
2	be disclosed, but instead offer	
3	opinions on what they could have	
4	disclosed to the public based off of	
5	the information that they had studied	
6	and held within their possession.	
7	(Exhibit 11, Appendix D, marked	
8	for identification.)	
9	BY MS. LEVY:	
10	Q. Let's pull up the document that	
11	we just marked. I think it's going to be	
12	Exhibit 11, which is Appendix D to your	
13	expert report. Tell me when you've got	
14	it.	
15	A. Okay.	
16	Q. This appendix is the contains	
17	your analysis of the individual rides or	
18	trips that you've studied that are	
19	encompassed by this expert report;	
20	correct?	
21	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.	
22	THE WITNESS: So these, the data	
23	that's contained in these, this	
24	appendix is slightly different than	
25	the data that's in the remainder of	

	Page 341
1	KELLER
2	the report or the main report, I
3	should clarify. As part of the
4	litigation, Uber produced data only
5	for some of the plaintiffs' drivers
6	and trips. This data was not
7	available for all drivers in their
8	database, nor was it available for all
9	trips in their database so this is
10	only a limited subset of the data that
11	Uber has in its possession but only
12	what it produced as part of the
13	litigation.
14	BY MS. LEVY:
15	Q. Let's turn to the next page,
16	table of contents here. For each of the
17	five drivers that are listed in II through
18	VI, you've conducted four different types
19	of analysis, correct, a driver trip
20	profile, an analysis of driver ratings,
21	idle ride notifications and sexual assault
22	and sexual misconduct incident history;
23	true?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Those four analyses you did using

Page 342 1 KELLER the same methodology for each of the 2 drivers that are listed here; is that 3 right? 4 For each of the drivers that are 5 Α. listed here, yes. 6 7 Okay, and you are offering no 8 opinion again as to Uber's conduct -- let 9 me ask it in a different way -- strike 10 that. 11 You are not offering the opinion 12 and have not put in Appendix D any opinion 13 that Uber should not have allowed any of 14 these trips to go forward. That is beyond 15 the scope of what you are going to testify 16 about in this case; correct? I'm offering opinions on what 17 18 Uber knew about that trip, the types of information that it reviewed as part of 19 20 its incident review. I'm offering opinions on what Uber knew about that 21 22 driver prior to that trip, whether it's 23 through their previous trip incident 24 history or their ratings or their S-RAD

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

score, but I'm not offering opinions on

25

Page 343 1 KELLER what Uber should have done with that 2 information. I'm just offering opinions 3 about what Uber knew at the time of that 4 5 trip and before that trip. And if we skip over --6 Ο. 7 Α. I'm sorry, I should clarify. At 8 the time of the trip and its investigation 9 of the trip because I believe there are 10 some investigative documents that Uber produced so that would occur, just to be 11 12 most clear. 13 Let's turn to page 4 of 43. Looking at table 1, this is your summary 14 15 of the bellwether driver profiles; 16 correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And in this summary, you list the 19 metrics that you evaluated for each of the 20 five drivers and then analysis or the 21 output of what you looked at is contained 22 in this report; fair? 23 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: So this table is 24 25 kind of a summary table of the

	Page 344
1	KELLER
2	information that is of the types of
3	information that's contained in each
4	of the subsequent driver profiles.
5	BY MS. LEVY:
6	Q. If we look at page 5 of 5 of 43
7	where it addresses 1 star ratings, are you
8	with me?
9	A. Yes.
LO	Q. And here you've tabulated the
L1	number of 1 star ratings that you see in
L2	this data; is that correct?
L3	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L4	THE WITNESS: So for each driver
L5	Uber produced all of the rating
L6	history for that drivers, no other
L7	driver, so just for those five
L8	drivers, and using that information I
L9	calculated how many were 1 star.
20	BY MS. LEVY:
21	Q. And did you do any other analysis
22	on 1 star rating; for example, have you
23	formed any opinions on an appropriate
24	absolute number or threshold of a
25	percentage for 1 star ratings or is that

Page 345 1 KELLER beyond the work that you've done in this 2 3 case? Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 4 THE WITNESS: I would have loved 5 to do an analysis of all of the 6 7 drivers' 1 star -- or all of the 8 drivers on the platform, their 9 ratings, all of the drivers on the platform that had sexual assault or 10 misconduct incidents. Uber did not 11 12 produce that information in this 13 litigation. They only processed the files here so the opinions that I am 14 15 able to offer are limited to counting 16 the number of 1 star ratings that are produced in these five documents. 17 BY MS. LEVY: 18 19 0. You're not intending to offer an 20 opinion, for example, that if a driver 21 receives a 1 star rating, they should be 22 deactivated solely because of the 1 star 23 rating; that's beyond anything that you 24 would offer in this case as an example; 25 correct?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	INGILI CONTIDENTIAL - ATTORNETS ETES ONET
	Page 346
1	KELLER
2	MS. WILKINS: Object to form,
3	object to the extent it misstates
4	Ms. Keller's opinions that are offered
5	in her report.
6	THE WITNESS: I'm offering the
7	opinion that the analysis of these
8	five drivers' 1 star ratings is the
9	extent of the opinions that I am able
10	to offer with that data as it stands
11	right now because Uber has not
12	produced data about all drivers. I am
13	not able to say if six or 160 or 12 1
14	star ratings is a lot, a little, they
15	are in the first in the 1
16	percentile, the 99 percentile.
17	Because Uber has not produced that
18	data, I'm not able to contextualize
19	what that means.
20	BY MS. LEVY:
21	Q. Can you look at page 10 which is
22	the start of your analysis of Hassan
23	Turay? Are you with me?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. The next page in paragraph 9 you

Page 347

KELLER

have charted out a graphic depiction of time of day reflected on the trips that were driven by Mr. Turay; is that correct?

- A. This image shows when Hassan
 Turay is driving. You can see that the
 dark bars are when they're a late night
 weekend. The super dark blue bars are
 late night weekend, the light lighter blue
 bars are weekday late night, and then the
 weekdays are also shades of orange. So
 this is plotting how many trips, not
 incidents but how many trips he is taking
 or driving over the course of his time on
 the platform.
- Q. And this analysis and the similar analysis that you did for the other drivers in Appendix D, this is the limit of the late night -- I'm sorry, this is the limit of the analysis that you've done on this issue; in other words, you don't intend to offer any opinions about whether this pattern is good or bad or problematic, that's not work that you've done in this case; correct?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 348
1	KELLER
2	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
3	THE WITNESS: The Uber has only
4	produced data for these drivers. They
5	have not produced trip level data for
6	all of the drivers on its platform,
7	nor have they produced trip level data
8	that would allow me to do a similar
9	analysis for any driver that had a
10	sexual misconduct or sexual assault
11	report. I am unable to do that
12	analysis because Uber has not produced
13	that data so I am limited by those
14	productions to analyzing the data in
15	this way in my report.
16	BY MS. LEVY:
17	Q. And you're not suggesting that
18	Uber shouldn't allow drivers to drive
19	during late night hours?
20	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
21	THE WITNESS: I am showing the
22	data in the way, especially on the
23	next image, I believe it's a heat map
24	of the data, how Uber has even
25	analyzed that data internally to the

Page 349 1 **KELLER** 2 extent that I have been given this type of data for these five drivers by 3 Uber. 4 BY MS. LEVY: 5 For none of the five drivers do 6 Ο. you intend to offer value judgments about 8 whether the patterns you see are good or 9 bad or problematic, you don't intend to do 10 that in this case; correct? I offer opinions on what that 11 Α. 12 data show. I am unable to draw 13 comparisons to other data because Uber did 14 not produce that data and I cannot provide any value judgments to that data. 15 16 In paragraph 10 and Figure 3, 17 this is a visual depiction of the percent 18 of Mr. Turay's trips by hour on various days of the week; correct? 19 20 Correct, it's another way of Α. 21 looking at the same data that we were 22 looking at just recently in Figure 2 but 23 as a percentage and in a heat map. This 24 image is one that Uber has internally that I've seen in documents. 25

Page 350

KELLER

Q. And again, the darker images represent a higher percentage of trips; correct?

- A. The darker squares I think you mean represent a higher percentage of trips, yes.
- Q. So at its highest level for
 Mr. Turay, it looks like his highest
 percentage of trips by hour occur
 Saturdays and Sundays and a little bit on
 Friday night; correct?
- A. It looks like from this we see the red images start or the red shading start Friday at what would be 2100 hours local time so that would be 9 p.m. Again a similar time frame on Saturday as well extending into the early morning hours -- it would be Friday into the early morning hours and then again on Saturday into the early hours of Sunday. So you can see that carry over from basically 9 p.m. on Friday into nearly 3 a.m. on Saturday and so on and so forth.
 - Q. Is there anything wrong with a

Page 351 1 **KELLER** driver choosing to drive more on the 2 3 weekend? Object to form. MS. WILKINS: 4 THE WITNESS: I'm not providing a 5 value judgment. I'm showing the data 6 7 in a way that I've seen Uber 8 internally look at this data 9 specifically in this way and so that's 10 why I'm presenting this information in this fashion in this table for this 11 12 figure I should say. BY MS. LEVY: 13 And that would be for all the 14 Ο. 15 drivers, not just Mr. Turay, but you're 16 not providing any value judgment about their patterns for any of these drivers in 17 18 Appendix D; correct? I am describing the patterns that 19 Α. I am able to see in their data and those 20 21 are contained in Appendix D. 22 And table 2 for Mr. Turay breaks 23 down his ratings in four different time slots; correct? 24 This table shows the breakdown of 25 Α.

Page 352 1 KELLER 2 ratings, whether it was 1 star rating by 3 time of day and those times of day are defined in the same way that we described 4 earlier using the S-RAD definitions as put forward in the Wong deposition. 6 7 And if I'm reading this Ο. 8 correctly, and making sure I understand 9 what you've done here, for 1 star ratings 10 for Mr. Turay, it looks like he had a 11 higher percentage of 1 star rating on 12 non-late night hours than on late night 13 hours during the weekday; is that true? 14 Α. So it looks like in this table, 15 46.77 percent of Turay's 1 star ratings 16 occurred on weekend non-late night hour 17 trips. 18 Q. And another 29 percent occurred 19 on weekday non-late night trips; correct? 20 You're reading the chart Α. 21 correctly. 22 Okay. And so if you add those 23 together, if we round up the 29 to 30, 24 it's roughly 36 -- I'm sorry, 76 or 75 25 percent of his trips that are 1 star

Page 353 1 KELLER rated, 75 percent of those occurred not 2 3 during late night hours. Do you agree? That is the -- that's how that Α. 4 5 table adds up but I would urge you to remember that late night hours are a small 6 percentage of the hours in the day so 8 keeping that in mind when reviewing this 9 chart is important. 10 Late night hours are a large Ο. 11 percent of Mr. Turay's trips however; 12 right? 13 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: They are a larger 15 percentage of his trip volume. 16 BY MS. LEVY: Turning to page 14, idle ride 17 Ο. 18 notifications, what you've depicted here is a graphic depiction of idle ride 19 20 notifications based on the Bates number 21 described in this Exhibit 1605 from the 22 Mariana Esteves deposition; correct? 23 Α. This is another spreadsheet of communications data that Uber maintains 24 25 and in this dataset, when it detects a

Page 354

KELLER

ride that has been idle for I believe five minutes or longer will send a nudge to the driver and rider to ask basically if everything's okay. They do that in a number of different messages but that's what the idle notification is so I was asked to identify the volume of those using a few phrases as provided by counsel and the results of that analysis is shown in this figure.

- Q. By idle ride notifications, you mean RideCheck; right? That's the same as what is sometimes referred to as RideCheck?
- A. Yes, I think they are the same.

 It's when someone's -- I know them when you're sitting in the car and there's something -- that car has not moved in a time -- in some time, I think it's five minutes, that Uber sends out a notification to both rider and driver.
- Q. And there can be many, many reasons why a RideCheck notification or an idle ride notification would go out that

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Page 355 1 **KELLER** would have nothing whatsoever to do with 2 3 sexual assault or sexual misconduct; true? MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Those notifications 5 are sent out by the platform due to 6 the car not moving. Whether that 7 8 sexual assault is occurring, which I 9 know that has been the case for some. In others I understand that sometimes 10 there's just traffic and that car 11 12 hasn't moved in five minutes, but I do know that those notifications are sent 13 a number of times if the car continues 14 15 not to move. 16 BY MS. LEVY: And have you done any other 17 analysis of idle ride notification to 18 RideCheck other than that as reflected in 19 20 -- for Mr. Turay other than that reflected 21 in paragraph 12? 22 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: I think I state 24 this in my main report. I asked for 25 the RideCheck notification data,

Page 356 1 KELLER 2 especially as it pertains to the 3 sexual assault incident data or the sexual safety report data. That has 4 not been provided to me so I am limited by the data that was produced 6 by Uber to being able to analyze the 8 data for these profiles for these 9 drivers. BY MS. LEVY: 10 11 And the last paragraph that you Q. 12 included in Exhibit D with respect to 13 Mr. Turay is the figure that we see on 14 page 15 of 43, Figure 5, that is a -- is a 15 screenshot, I believe, of Mr. Turay's safety incident history, sometimes 16 referred to as safety lens. 17 18 Α. Yes, this is a screenshot from an internal document from Uber. I have not 19 20 changed it in any way. 21 Okay. And you do not intend to 22 offer any analysis of the safety lens, you 23 do not intend to offer any value judgments 24 about the safety lens, the extent of what 25 you intend to say about Mr. Turay's safety

	Page 357
1	KELLER
2	incident history is contained within this
3	report; correct?
4	MS. WILKINS: Object to the
5	compound and vague nature of the
6	question.
7	THE WITNESS: I would say I'm not
8	offering any value judgments about the
9	safety lens image or the document
10	that's cited here. It is possible
11	that I'm asked to analyze the numbers
12	that are in that screenshot, the
13	number of incidents that were reported
14	for this individual, and being able to
15	calculate how many those total up to
16	be because that is in my area of
17	expertise.
18	BY MS. LEVY:
19	Q. And you've calculated that,
20	you've calculated the number of incidents
21	already and provided that in your report;
22	correct?
23	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's in the
25	table that I think we discussed

Page 358 1 KELLER earlier, the number of prior incidents 2 3 of specifically sexual assault and misconduct incidents are in that 4 The resolution is pretty bad table. on my end here so I don't know if you 6 7 can see any better, but I understand 8 that there's other types of data that 9 is reflected here so I don't want to rule out that I would be asked at some 10 11 point to count these things at some 12 point in time, but I'm not offering 13 value judgments about that similar to 14 the ways I've analyzed the data 15 elsewhere in my report. 16 BY MS. LEVY: 17 Q. And you're not going to say, for 18 example, that Uber should have taken 19 action against Mr. Turay at a different 20 point in time or Uber didn't act 21 responsibly with respect to a particular 22 actioning of something in the safety lens; 23 that's beyond what you intend to offer 24 with respect to your opinions about these

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

individual drivers; correct?

25

Page 359 1 KELLER 2 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. 3 THE WITNESS: So I offer opinions on the types of data that Uber had in 4 its possession and the types of information Uber was aggregating 6 7 itself about these data including 8 through these screenshots. Since I 9 didn't have the underlying data for 10 the screenshot, for example, here, this is other data that Uber had in 11 12 its possession that it did not produce so I'm analyzing the data that it did 13 14 have in a few ways. I've also 15 produced a comprehensive timeline of 16 all of the data that -- or of all the time based data that Uber -- that I 17 18 had for these drivers and trips so I 19 produced that in my report as well. 20 BY MS. LEVY: 21 I want to make sure I understood 22 your last point which is: Is it your 23 testimony that Uber did not produce data, 24 any additional data underlying these incidents? 25

Page 360

KELLER

A. So there's reports in this screenshot that I don't have, or not in this screenshot but for other drivers that I don't have. Uber did not produce that data. It's outside the time frame that Uber produced data.

Q. Okay. I understand. But for the -- do you understand that Uber did, in fact, produce Bliss and Jira tickets about these drivers that appear in the safety lens, you understand that that data was produced?

MS. WILKINS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I understand that
Uber produced pdf copies of those but
what I'm saying is in the safety data
that's in the secured BDO environment,
that's outside of -- because that data
ends in 2022, many of the incidents
that are discussed in the tickets that
are pdf copies are not in that data as
well as some of the pre -- the events
that happened prior to the incidents
affecting the plaintiffs.

Page 361 1 KELLER BY MS. LEVY: 2 3 Ο. The analysis that we've been through with Mr. Turay that we've just 4 5 talked about, you did the same for the other drivers that are in Appendix B, 6 Edwin Castaneda, Jeffrey Richardson, Felix 8 Perez Rodriguez and Michael Le --9 apologies, let me ask it in a more simple 10 way. 11 Your analysis with respect to the 12 other drivers in Appendix B follows the 13 same methodology with the same limitations 14 that we just discussed with Mr. Turay; 15 correct? 16 A point of clarification, I think 17 we're talking about Appendix D as in dog. 18 I thought I heard you say B as in boy. 19 Ο. Sorry, I meant to say D. 20 Α. For D as in dog Appendix, I wrote 21 a script that generated the same image for all of the drivers in the exact same way 22 23 so it ran as a loop and so for each driver 24 it generated the same analyses. And if 25 there was data available, it was displayed

Page 362

KELLER

in their section of the report.

Q. We talked about at the beginning of this deposition some of the work you've done in other -- before MK Analytics in other career -- points in your career, and my question is: In any of the work that you've done in other cases, have you done any of these same analyses that we talked about for Mr. Turay? Have you ever done these analyses in any other litigation or any other job besides this case?

MS. WILKINS: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I write profiles all the time. I think we were talking when I was first at the -- when we were talking about my time at the union, I would write profiles of the companies that were on the other side of the bargaining table analyzing the information that I could find on them. When I was at the Attorney General's office, I would write profiles up on the investigative leads that I had for the office, including recently we've

	Page 363
1	KELLER
2	written MK Analytics has written
3	profiles on potential gun trafficking
4	leads.
5	I in the opioids litigation wrote
6	profiles on the prescribers to show
7	the richness of the data and exemplify
8	that to the court. So writing
9	profiles is something that I've done a
LO	number of times throughout my career.
L1	BY MS. LEVY:
L2	Q. And is this the first time that
L3	you've analyzed individual drivers and
L4	their history with respect to sexual
L5	assault and sexual misconduct?
L6	MS. WILKINS: Object to form.
L7	THE WITNESS: So throughout my
L8	career, I've worked with various types
L9	of data. The methodology that I use
20	throughout my work remains the same.
21	The tool sets will often be similar
22	but the subject matter will change and
23	so in this case I may be using the
24	same methodology as a data analyst but
25	applying it to that of drivers, to

	Page 364
1	KELLER
2	that of rides, to that of sexual
3	assaults, but the methodology where
4	I've been admitted as an expert a
5	number of times including in federal
6	court remains the same.
7	BY MS. LEVY:
8	Q. Have you provided your data or
9	your analysis to anybody else other than
10	the attorneys that you are working on in
11	this case?
12	A. Have I provided the data to
13	anybody else?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. Absolutely not.
16	Q. And have you had any contact with
17	any press or media about the work that
18	you've done in this case?
19	A. Absolutely not.
20	Q. Have you or anybody on your staff
21	had any contact with The New York Times
22	about the work that you have done in this
23	case or the data that you've looked at?
24	A. Absolutely not.
25	MS. LEVY: I believe that we're

	Page 365
1	KELLER
2	close to time. I would like to go off
3	the record and check and see if I have
4	any other questions that we're going
5	to do today and I'll ask your
6	indulgence for like 30 seconds to do
7	that but don't go away.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
9	record. The time is 8:25 p.m. Eastern
10	time.
11	(Discussion off the record.)
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
13	the record. The time is 8:26 p.m.
14	MS. LEVY: I am going to leave
15	the deposition open for purposes of
16	evaluating whether there are
17	additional questions we need to ask
18	and whether we would like to go to the
19	court and get clearer answers to some
20	of the questions but subject to that,
21	I thank you for your time today,
22	Ms. Keller, and Counsel. I know it's
23	late wherever you are. It's even
24	later where we are and we appreciate
25	your time.

	Page 366
1	KELLER
2	MS. WILKINS: We are just
3	slightly over time. If you have
4	additional questions right now that
5	you feel have not been answered, I
6	invite you to ask them now and am
7	willing to indulge you in a bit more
8	time. Otherwise we will object to any
9	efforts to reopen this.
10	MS. LEVY: I understand we are
11	going to have to agree to disagree
12	about that.
13	MS. WILKINS: I have a few
14	additional questions. I should
15	hopefully be very, very quick. Do you
16	need a break before that?
17	MS. LEVY: No.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. WILKINS:
20	Q. Ms. Keller, did your political
21	views affect your opinions in this case in
22	any way?
23	A. Absolutely not. That is not a
24	factor in any of the cases that I have
25	been a part of, especially not this case.

Page 367 1 KELLER Does your political views affect 2 Ο. 3 your judgment in conducting your research to reach your opinions in this case in any 4 5 way? The same answer that my 6 Α. methodology is that of a data scientist or 8 data analyst. 9 Q. Earlier today, quite awhile ago, 10 you were asked to look at some documents 11 about allegations that were made against 12 certain individuals who have relationships with The New School, with the Service 13 Employees International Union and with the 14 15 New York Attorney General's office. Do 16 you recall that questioning generally? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Do you recall that you were shown three documents about that topic? 19 20 I recall being shown some Α. 21 documents. I don't remember how many. 22 Do you have any personal 23 knowledge as to whether the allegations 24 against any of the individuals identified

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

in those documents were true or not?

25

Page 368

KELLER

- A. Many of the -- I think all of the allegations occurred after I had left my position in those organizations, whether I was a student or working at the union or working at the Attorney General's office so the allegations were after my time there, and I also was not aware of any of the allegations personally.
- Q. Do you know whether the allegations that were made against those individuals would be defined as sexual misconduct, sexual assault, sexual harassment or anything else?
- A. I'm not aware of the specifics of the allegations so I would be theorizing one way or the other.
- Q. Earlier today you were asked a series of questions about whether you have authored any publications related to sexual assault or sexual misconduct. Do you recall those questions?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was there something unclear to you about the question that was being

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 369

KELLER

asked of you?

A. So that was early in our deposition. I was not sure what Ms. Levy meant by sexual assault and misconduct, if she was using the terms defined by Uber or some other term. Because I had authored a number of reports specifically about using data in investigations, I wasn't sure what the truthful answer would be. If asking about have I authored a study specific to sexual assault in the way that or misconduct that Uber defines it, I have not authored publications in that context.

Q. We've talked a lot about S-RAD scores and the data that Uber uses to evaluate S-RAD scores and the data that has been produced in this litigation with respect to S-RAD scores.

Has Uber produced in this
litigation data that allows you to
determine how a particular S-RAD score
equates to an estimated increased risk of
sexual assault occurring on that ride?

A. So hopefully I understand what

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 370

KELLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're asking. Uber has not produced data that I need to contextualize that S-RAD score. Was it -- and that would be in the supply plans and I think I spoke about this earlier. The supply plans would show the volume of S-RAD -- I'm sorry. I thought I saw somebody at the door and it's late here. Let me start over.

So Uber had -- has not produced data that would allow me to contextualize a particular S-RAD score and I think I discussed this a little bit earlier is that would be in the supply plan data. every trip that was requested, whether it was the plaintiffs' trips or any trip that resulted in a sexual assault or misconduct in the safety data, Uber has not produced the supply plans for those trips, so I am unable to see if that was the highest S-RAD score available or if it was the lowest, I don't have an ability to determine where that S-RAD score fell. Nor has Uber produced the inputs to that score other than what it's produced for

Page 371

KELLER

the plaintiff trips. I don't have those inputs for other trips that were scored on the platform. I don't have those inputs, those scores of those inputs, the values like I have for the plaintiffs for other trips that occurred on the platform. Uber has not produced that data, just to name a few examples of datasets that I know Uber has in its possession but has not produced.

- Q. You mentioned today a few times supply plans or supply plan data. What does that mean?
- A. So when you request or anybody on the platform requests a trip, Uber calls that a supply plan or a driver rider pairing, so that supply plan looks at all trips that are available to service that ride. And it -- when so far as S-RAD it then scores those supply plans for their risk of assault according to the S-RAD model.
- Q. If Uber had produced its supply plan data, is there additional analysis

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 372

KELLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you would have done using that data?

So I think I talked about this a little bit. I would be able to analyze if there were trips with lower S-RAD scores that could have been dispatched or trips with higher S-RAD scores, that's the type of analysis I could run for the plaintiffs' trips. I could run that same type of analysis if there was a link to the safety data through trip UUID, which is a unique identifier assigned to a trip. If that identifier plus the S-RAD score was produced, I would be able to -- I would want to analyze what the supply plans were for a trip in the safety data. I would want to look at were there trips available with higher scores, with lower scores as compared to the trip in the safety data that was ultimately dispatched. I could probably name a few other examples but I know it's getting late but there's a number of analyses that I would like to run and I know I had said

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

this in my report, I reserve the right to

Page 373

KELLER

run said analyses if that data had been produced.

- Q. Talking about reserving the right, are you reserving the right to supplement your opinion with respect to what metrics Uber could have included or considered in S-RAD model based on the review that you intend to do of the Flack raw data that was just recently produced?
- A. Because the Flack data was just produced, I have not had a chance to fully review it for its contents and how it relates to the other datasets that I analyzed in this report. So yes, I would like to reserve that right and have the time to adequately analyze the dataset that I've been waiting for.
- Q. Are you also reserving the right to supplement your opinions with respect to Uber's dispatch of trips above Uber's S-RAD flagging thresholds based on a review of the Flack raw data that was recently produced after you served your current report?

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 374 1 KELLER Because the Flack data includes 2 Α. 3 years including years that were not produced in the Bliss and Jira data, I'm 4 5 interested in reviewing that data for a number of things including how it relates 6 to the plaintiffs' trips, so in short I 8 reserve my right to make additional 9 analyses from that data. 10 MS. WILKINS: That's all I have, 11 thank you. 12 MS. LEVY: I just in my bonus 12 13 or 14 minutes that you've given me, 14 just a couple more follow-ups. FURTHER EXAMINATION 15 16 BY MS. LEVY: 17 Is a woman more likely to be 18 assaulted in her own home or while taking an Uber ride? 19 20 Object to form, MS. WILKINS: 21 object to this being outside the scope 22 of Ms. Keller's opinions as she has 23 talked about many, many times today. THE WITNESS: So the answer is 24 25 the same answer to the other questions

	Page 375
1	KELLER
2	related to this. My analysis centers
3	on the data Uber had in its possession
4	and what it did with that data, how it
5	analyzed that data, how it built S-RAD
6	using some of that data versus what it
7	told the public in its safety reports.
8	BY MS. LEVY:
9	Q. You don't know if a woman is more
10	likely to be assaulted in her own home or
11	while taking an Uber, you don't know the
12	answer to that, do you?
13	MS. WILKINS: Same objection.
14	THE WITNESS: That would be a
15	different analysis than what I've
16	done. That's not necessary for me to
17	do because my analysis centers on the
18	information that Uber has in its
19	possession.
20	BY MS. LEVY:
21	Q. Is a rider more likely to be
22	sexually assaulted in an Uber or struck by
23	lightning?
24	MS. WILKINS: Same objections.
25	This is outside the scope of

Page 376 1 **KELLER** Ms. Keller's opinions and I believe 2 3 you asked that identical or a nearly identical question earlier so it's 4 been asked and answered. BY MS. LEVY: 6 7 You don't know the answer to that Ο. 8 question either, do you? 9 MS. WILKINS: Same objection. 10 THE WITNESS: The same answers I 11 gave to the previous question and the 12 number of -- the numerous questions 13 related to this that you've asked me 14 before, it's not necessary for my 15 analysis because what I set forth to 16 do is analyze what Uber had in its 17 possession, the data it had in its 18 possession, how it analyzed that data, 19 how it built a machine algorithm using 20 that data and what it told the public 21 about that data. 22 BY MS. LEVY: 23 And you've looked at no data and 24 done no comparison of the frequency or 25 rate of sexual assault on Uber compared to

	Page 377
1	KELLER
2	anywhere else; correct?
3	MS. WILKINS: I am going to
4	object to that question as asked and
5	answered at least probably 20 times in
6	this deposition. And I'm going to
7	instruct the witness not to answer.
8	Ms. Levy, I'm glad to afford you a few
9	additional minutes of time here. Now
LO	it will be beyond the seven hours.
L1	The questions you're asking are
L2	outside the scope of the redirect and
L3	they have been asked and answered many
L4	times. If you have new questions or
L5	questions that fall within the scope
L6	of redirect, I'm happy to allow the
L7	witness to answer them, but we're not
L8	going to recover testimony we have
L9	covered numerous times today.
20	MS. LEVY: You're instructing the
21	witness not to answer that question?
22	MS. WILKINS: I am.
23	BY MS. LEVY:
24	Q. Are you going to follow your
25	counsel's instruction?

Page 378 1 KELLER 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. With the skills you have and from your education and experience, it would be 4 5 possible, even though you haven't done it, to study the rate and frequency of sexual 6 assault elsewhere, outside of Uber, that 8 would be something that is doable; 9 correct? 10 MS. WILKINS: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know how 11 12 you're defining rate. I don't know 13 how you're defining study. I have my 14 skill set as a data analyst. If there 15 are datasets that I can analyze, I 16 will take that into consideration. don't know what datasets exist 17 nationwide or that would be -- that 18 would allow me to do such an analysis. 19 20 I haven't considered that so I would 21 be theorizing beyond what I've already 22 included in my report. 23 BY MS. LEVY: Looking at the prevalence of 24 sexual assault or sexual misconduct 25

Page 379 1 KELLER incidents anywhere else besides Uber is 2 3 outside the scope of what you were asked to do and what you did in this case? 4 5 MS. WILKINS: Object again, and I'm again going to again instruct the 6 7 witness not to answer. This is the 8 same question that's been asked many, 9 many, many times today, Ms. Levy. If 10 you have questions about Ms. Keller's opinions that are in her report, I 11 12 will allow her to answer those 13 questions. She has told you many, 14 many, many times that she is not 15 comparing Uber's incident rates to 16 anything other than what Uber has told the public. So if you're asking 17 18 questions about comparisons to anything other than what Uber has told 19 20 the public, I'm going to instruct Ms. Keller not to answer those 21 22 questions and we're going to close the 23 deposition. MS. LEVY: With Ms. Wilkins' 24 25 fervent representation on the record

	Page 380
1	KELLER
2	that your opinions are contained in
3	the report, I am satisfied that we can
4	conclude for the day. I am leaving
5	the deposition open, as I mentioned
6	before, reserving rights to petition
7	the court or seek additional time with
8	Ms. Keller. We can conclude for
9	today.
10	MS. WILKINS: I'm going to object
11	with the misrepresentation of what I
12	just said, but with that said we can
13	move on and close the deposition.
14	MS. LEVY: Thank you very much.
15	It's nice to see you again,
16	Ms. Keller. Have a great rest of your
17	evening.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the
19	record at 8:42 p.m. Eastern time and
20	this concludes today's testimony given
21	by Lacey Keller. The total must be of
22	media used was 6 and will be retained
23	by Veritext.
24	(Time noted: 8:43 p.m.)
25	

	Page 381
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4	: SS.
5	COUNTY OF NASSAU)
6	
7	I, CATHI IRISH, a Registered
8	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
9	Reporter, and Notary Public within and for
10	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
11	That LACEY KELLER, the witness whose
12	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was
13	duly sworn by me and that such deposition
14	is a true record of the testimony given by
15	the witness.
16	I further certify that I am not
17	related to any of the parties to this
18	action by blood or marriage, and that I am
19	in no way interested in the outcome of
20	this matter.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
22	set my hand this 27th day of October,
23	2025.
24	\bigcirc
25	
	CATHI IRISH, RPR, CRR, CLVS

	Page 382
1	
2	I N D E X
3	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE
4	LACEY KELLER MS. LEVY 6, 374
5	MS. WILKINS 366
6	
7	
8	EXHIBITS
9	EXHIBIT NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
10	Exhibit 1, expert report 9
11	Exhibit 2, Appendix C 14
12	Exhibit 3, article titled SEIU Has 30
13	A Sexual Predator Problem
14	Exhibit 4, article titled Student 34
15	Sues University Over Response to
16	Sexual Misconduct
17	Exhibit 5, article from The 69
18	New Yorker
19	Exhibit 6, statement from Attorney 74
20	General James
21	Exhibit 7, MK Analytics website 86
22	page
23	Exhibit 8, document Bates labeled 134
24	UBER_JCCP_MDL_000356814
25	

	THORIET CONTIDENTIAL - ATTORNETS ETES OF	
		Page 383
1		
2	Exhibit 9, 2017-2018 Safety Report 173	1
3	Exhibit 10, Appendix A 276	5
4	Exhibit 11, Appendix D 340	0
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 2 3			384
3	*** ERRATA SHEET ***		
	NAME OF CASE: In Re: Uber Technologies,		
4	Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation		
5	DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 27, 2025		
6	WITNESS: Lacey Keller		
7			
8	PAGE LINE FROM TO		
9		_	
10		_	
11		_	
12		_	
13		_	
14		_	
15		_	
16		_	
17		_	
18		_	
19			
20		_	
	LACEY KELLER		
21			
22			
	Witness and sworn to before me		
23	this, day of, 2025.		
24			
		_	
25	(Notary Public) My Commission Expires:	:	

	Page 385
1	
2	
	10/27/2025 - In Re: Uber Technologies,
3	Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation
4	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT
5	I, Lacey Keller, do hereby declare
6	that I have read the foregoing transcript,
7	I have made any corrections, additions, or
8	changes I deemed necessary as noted on the
9	errata to be appended hereto, and that the
10	same is a true, correct and complete
11	transcript of the testimony given by me.
12	
13	
14	LACEY KELLER DATE
15	
16	
17	*IF NOTARY IS REQUIRED
18	
19	
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME
21	THIS, DAY OF, 20
22	
23	
24	
25	NOTARY PUBLIC

	Page 386
1	JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ.
2	jlevy@kirkland.com
3	October 28, 2025
4	RE: In Re: Uber Rideshare Cases v.
5	10/27/2025, Lacey Keller (#7684484)
6	The above-referenced transcript is available for
7	review.
8	Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should
9	read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are
LO	any changes, the witness should note those with the
L1	reason, on the attached Errata Sheet.
L2	The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of
L3	Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.
L4	Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at
L5	(Erratas-CS@veritext.com).
L6	Return completed errata within 30 days from
L7	receipt of testimony.
L8	If the witness fails to do so within the time
L9	allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed.
20	
21	
22	Yours,
23	Veritext Legal Solutions
24	
25	